

Gamma-rays from CR sources

Michael Kachelrieß

NTNU, Trondheim

TeV gamma-rays from UHECR sources



TeV gamma-rays from UHECR sources

- during propagation: “cosmogenic” photons
- in sources:

TeV gamma-rays from UHECR sources

- during propagation: "cosmogenic" photons
- in sources:
  - galactic CR sources
  - GRB:
    - ★ large redshift
    - ★ time-delay UHECR $\leftrightarrow$ photons makes direct correlation impossible
    - ★ for small EGMF: auto-correlation $n_{\rm GRB} \sim \tau \dot{n}_{\rm GRB} < n_{\rm AGN}$

TeV gamma-rays from UHECR sources

- during propagation: "cosmogenic" photons
- in sources:
  - galactic CR sources
  - GRB:
    - ★ large redshift
    - ★ time-delay UHECR $\leftrightarrow$ photons makes direct correlation impossible
    - ★ for small EGMF: auto-correlation $n_{\rm GRB} \sim \tau \dot{n}_{\rm GRB} < n_{\rm AGN}$
  - AGN:
    - ★ jets: small densities, B
    - ★ core: high B, large UV and IR densities, $\tau_{p\gamma} \sim 1$

HESS observations of M87:



HESS observations of M87:





- fast variability excludes acceleration along kpc jet
- acceleration in hot spots marginally okay
- favors acceleration close to SMBH

HESS & Veritas observations of M87:





- fast variability excludes acceleration along kpc jet
- favors acceleration close to SMBH

Outline of the talk

1. Introduction
2. Gamma-rays produced in UHECR sources
   - How do get multi TeV gamma-rays out off AGN cores: electromagnetic cascades in UHECR sources
3. Cosmogenic fluxes:
   - Cosmogenic neutrino limits from Fermi-LAT
   - Cosmogenic photons: diffuse flux
   - Secondary photons from CR point sources
4. Lower limit on EGMF using gamma-rays
5. Summary

Outline of the talk

1. Introduction
2. Gamma-rays produced in UHECR sources
   - How do get multi TeV gamma-rays out off AGN cores: electromagnetic cascades in UHECR sources
3. Cosmogenic fluxes:
   - Cosmogenic neutrino limits from Fermi-LAT
   - Cosmogenic photons: diffuse flux
   - Secondary photons from CR point sources
4. Lower limit on EGMF using gamma-rays
5. Summary

Outline of the talk

1. Introduction
2. Gamma-rays produced in UHECR sources
   - How do get multi TeV gamma-rays out off AGN cores: electromagnetic cascades in UHECR sources
3. Cosmogenic fluxes:
   - Cosmogenic neutrino limits from Fermi-LAT
   - Cosmogenic photons: diffuse flux
   - Secondary photons from CR point sources
4. Lower limit on EGMF using gamma-rays
5. Summary

Outline of the talk

1. Introduction
2. Gamma-rays produced in UHECR sources
   - How do get multi TeV gamma-rays out off AGN cores: electromagnetic cascades in UHECR sources
3. Cosmogenic fluxes:
   - Cosmogenic neutrino limits from Fermi-LAT
   - Cosmogenic photons: diffuse flux
   - Secondary photons from CR point sources
4. Lower limit on EGMF using gamma-rays
5. Summary

Multi-messenger astronomy with Cen A?

+ 2 events correlated with Cen A within 3.1°
+ more events close-by
+ general correlation with AGN

[*Gorbunov et al. '07, Fargione '08, Rachen '08*]

– confusion with LSS?
– no confirmation by HiRes
– tension to PAO chemical composition
– $E_{\max}$ for most AGN (incl. Cen A) high enough?

correlations with AGN:

- independent/additional evidence?
- Cen A closest AGN

$\Rightarrow$ good test case for multi-messenger astronomy: accompanying γ-ray and neutrino fluxes?

Results for acceleration close to the core: $\alpha = 1.2$



Results for acceleration close to the core: $\alpha = 2$



- promising test case for HESS / FERMI
- γ-ray spectrum rather insensitive to α

HESS observations of Cen A



- no variability

HESS observations of Cen A



- no variability
- consistent with point source

HESS observations of Cen A



- no variability
- consistent with point source
- HE emission from central region ($1' \simeq 1.1\,\mathrm{kpc}$)

Comparison to recent HESS and FERMI observations



Comparison to recent HESS and FERMI observations



- shape and normalization okay
- TeV γ-ray and neutrino source

Regenerating TeV photons: a) (isotropic) source

- injection spectrum $F_\gamma(E) \propto 1/E^2$



Regenerating TeV photons: a) (isotropic) source

- : thin above 10^{16}eV, ultra-rel. regime



Regenerating TeV photons: b) on EBL

- photons above 10^{14}eV cascade on EBL



Regenerating TeV photons: b) on EBL

- photons above 10^{14}eV cascade on EBL : fill up GeV–TeV range



Regenerating TeV photons: b) on EBL

- photons above 10^{14}eV cascade on EBL : fill up GeV–TeV range



main criteria: L_{UHE}, not shape

- evidence for acceleration to UHECRs?
  however: anisotropic UV field complicates picture already in source

Regenerating TeV photons: collinear regime



Regenerating TeV photons: decaying muons



Regenerating TeV photons: adding IR



adding IR from a compact source:



Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

- Photon and neutrino production relatively tight connected:
  - protons:

$$p + \gamma_{3K} \rightarrow \begin{cases} p + \pi^0 \rightarrow p + 2\gamma \\ n + \pi^+ \rightarrow p + 2e^{\pm} + 4\nu \end{cases}$$

Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

- Photon and neutrino production relatively tight connected:
  - protons:

$$p + \gamma_{3K} \rightarrow \begin{cases} p + \pi^0 \rightarrow p + 2\gamma \\ n + \pi^+ \rightarrow p + 2e^{\pm} + 4\nu \end{cases}$$

  - nuclei: $A + \gamma_{3K} \rightarrow (A-1) + n \rightarrow (A-1) + p + e^- + \nu_e$
  - connection to UHECRs looser

Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

- Photon and neutrino production relatively tight connected:
  - protons:

$$p + \gamma_{3K} \rightarrow \begin{cases} p + \pi^0 \rightarrow p + 2\gamma \\ n + \pi^+ \rightarrow p + 2e^{\pm} + 4\nu \end{cases}$$

  - nuclei: $A + \gamma_{3K} \rightarrow (A-1) + n \rightarrow (A-1) + p + e^- + \nu_e$

- cascade limit: [*Berezinsky, Smirnov '75*]

  all energy in γ and $e^{\pm}$ cascades below $\sim 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$



Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

- Photon and neutrino production relatively tight connected:
  - protons:
$$p + \gamma_{3K} \rightarrow \begin{cases} p + \pi^0 \rightarrow p + 2\gamma \\ n + \pi^+ \rightarrow p + 2e^{\pm} + 4\nu \end{cases}$$
  - nuclei: $A + \gamma_{3K} \rightarrow (A-1) + n \rightarrow (A-1) + p + e^- + \nu_e$

- cascade limit: [*Berezinsky, Smirnov '75*]

  all energy in γ and $e^{\pm}$ cascades below $\sim 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$

$$J_\gamma(E) = \begin{cases} K(E/\varepsilon_X)^{-3/2} & \text{at} \quad E \leq \varepsilon_X \\ K(E/\varepsilon_X)^{-2} & \text{at} \quad \varepsilon_X \leq E \leq \\ 0 & \text{at} \quad E > \varepsilon_a \end{cases}$$

Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

- Photon and neutrino production relatively tight connected:
  - protons:
$$p + \gamma_{3K} \to \begin{cases} p + \pi^0 \to p + 2\gamma \\ n + \pi^+ \to p + 2e^{\pm} + 4\nu \end{cases}$$
  - nuclei: $A + \gamma_{3K} \to (A-1) + n \to (A-1) + p + e^- + \nu_e$

- cascade limit: [*Berezinsky, Smirnov '75*]

  all energy in γ and $e^{\pm}$ cascades below $\sim 100\,\mathrm{GeV}$

- Fermi-LAT measurement of EGRB:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\rm cas} &> \frac{4\pi}{c}\int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE\; E I_\nu(E) \geq \frac{4\pi}{c} E_0 I_\nu(>E_0) \\ &\lesssim 6\cdot 10^{-7}\,\mathrm{eV/cm^3} \end{aligned}$$

Comparison of Monte Carlo and analytical estimate



Comparison of Monte Carlo and analytical estimate



Fermi-LAT vs. UHECR data:

[*Berezinsky et al. '10*]



Fermi-LAT vs. UHECR data:

[*Berezinsky et al. '10*]



integrating $EJ(E)$ gives bound $\omega_{\rm cas} \lesssim 6 \cdot 10^{-7}\,{\rm eV/cm}^3$

Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

[*Berezinsky et al. '10*]



Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

[*Ahlers et al. '10*]



Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

Main difference: expected IceCube sensitivity

- end of exp. sensitivity for $1/E^2$ flux: 10^{17} vs. 10^{19} eV
- overall sensitivity of IceCube



Cascade limit for cosmogenic neutrinos

Main difference: expected IceCube sensitivity

- end of exp. sensitivity for $1/E^2$ flux: 10^{17} vs. 10^{19} eV
- overall sensitivity of IceCube



All plots for proton primaries

- for nuclei reduced neutrino fluxes. . .



Cosmogenic photons

[*Hooper, Taylor, Sarkar '10*]



Cosmogenic photons

[*Hooper, Taylor, Sarkar '10*]



- $A > 1$: photons suppressed by factor 10 compared to protons
- even proton: requires sensitivity improved by ~ 100
- large $E_{\max}$ and small α helps...

Cosmogenic photons

[*Hooper, Taylor, Sarkar '10*]



Cosmogenic photons: dependence on α

[Gelmini, Kalashev, Semikoz '05]



Secondary photons from CR point sources

- for $d < \text{few} \times 10\,\text{Mpc}$: UHE photons survive

[*Taylor et al. '09*]



Secondary photons from CR point sources

- for $d < \text{few} \times 10\,\text{Mpc}$: UHE photons survive [*Taylor et al. '09*]
- non-observation is no constraint, but observation identifies close source

Secondary photons from CR point sources

- for $d < \text{few} \times 10\,\text{Mpc}$: UHE photons survive [*Taylor et al. '09*]
- larger distance: UHE photons cascade down in TeV range [*Essey et al. '10*]
  - universal shape, depending only on EBL and z



Secondary photons from CR point sources

- for $d < \text{few} \times 10\,\text{Mpc}$: UHE photons survive [*Taylor et al. '09*]
- larger distance: UHE photons cascade down in TeV range [*Essey et al. '10*]
  - universal shape, depending only on EBL and z



- large luminosities $L_{\rm eff} \sim 10^{47} - 10^{49}$erg/s in UHECR
- relatively small EGMFs in voids, $B \lesssim 10^{-14}$ G

Gamma-rays and extragalactic magnetic fields (EGMF)

- Origin of seed for EGMF is mysterious
- Seed required as input for EGMF simulations

Gamma-rays and extragalactic magnetic fields (EGMF)

- Origin of seed for EGMF is mysterious
- Seed required as input for EGMF simulations



Gamma-rays and extragalactic magnetic fields (EGMF)

- Origin of seed for EGMF is mysterious
- Seed required as input for EGMF simulations
- Observations only in clusters,
  - synchrotron halo: $\Rightarrow B \sim (0.1 - 1)\,\mu$G
  - Faraday rotation: $\Rightarrow B \sim (1 - 10)\,\mu$G

Gamma-rays and extragalactic magnetic fields (EGMF)

- Origin of seed for EGMF is mysterious
- Seed required as input for EGMF simulations
- Observations only in clusters,
  - synchrotron halo: $\Rightarrow B \sim (0.1 - 1)\,\mu$G
  - Faraday rotation: $\Rightarrow B \sim (1 - 10)\,\mu$G
- Aharonian, Coppi, Völk '94: Pair halos around AGNs

Gamma-rays and extragalactic magnetic fields (EGMF)

- Origin of seed for EGMF is mysterious
- Seed required as input for EGMF simulations
- Observations only in clusters,
  - synchrotron halo: $\Rightarrow B \sim (0.1 - 1)\,\mu$G
  - Faraday rotation: $\Rightarrow B \sim (1 - 10)\,\mu$G
- Aharonian, Coppi, Völk '94: Pair halos around AGNs
- Plaga '95: EGMFs deflect and delay cascade electrons

  $\Rightarrow$ search for delayed "echoes" of multi-TeV AGN flares/GRBs

Observer misaligned with jet:

[Neronov et al. '10]



- probability for misalignement $p \propto \vartheta_{\rm obs} \Rightarrow$ most blazars viewed with $\vartheta_{\rm obs} \sim \vartheta_{\rm jet}$

Observer misaligned with jet:

[*Neronov et al. '10*]



- probability for misalignement $p \propto \vartheta_{\rm obs} \Rightarrow$ most blazars viewed with $\vartheta_{\rm obs} \sim \vartheta_{\rm jet}$

$\Rightarrow$ halos are not symmetric

Observer misaligned with jet:

[*Neronov et al. '10*]



- probability for misalignement $p \propto \vartheta_{\rm obs} \Rightarrow$ most blazars viewed with $\vartheta_{\rm obs} \sim \vartheta_{\rm jet}$

$\Rightarrow$ halos are not symmetric

$\Rightarrow$ time-delay is function of ϑ,

$$T_{\rm delay}(\vartheta) \sim 3 \times 10^6 {\rm yr} \left[\frac{(\vartheta_{\rm obs} + \Theta_{\rm jet})}{5^\circ}\right] \left[\frac{\vartheta}{5^\circ}\right]$$

Asymmetric halos around TeV blazars ("GeV jets"):



"GeV jets": B dependence



"GeV jets": time dependence of flares



$0 < T_{\rm delay} < 10^5$ yr

3×10^6 yr$< T_{\rm delay} < 10^7$ yr

Lower limit on EGMF:

- choose blazar: large z, stationary, low GeV, high multi-TeV emission



Lower limit on EGMF:

- choose blazar: large z, stationary, low GeV, high multi-TeV emission



- TeV photons cascade down:
  - small EGMF: fill up GeV range
  - "large" EGMF: deflected outside, isotropized

Lower limit on EGMF:

[F. Tavecchio et al. '10, A. Neronov, I. Vovk '10]



Lower limit on EGMF:

[F. Tavecchio et al. '10, A. Neronov, I. Vovk '10]



- $B \gtrsim 10^{-15}$ G
- some dependence on $\vartheta_{\rm jet}$

Lower limit on EGMF:

[F. Tavecchio et al. '10, A. Neronov, I. Vovk '10]



- $B \gtrsim 10^{-15}$ G
- some dependence on $\vartheta_{\rm jet}$
- no simulation of elmag. cascade with B
- what happens for structured B?

Lower limit on EGMF:

[*MK, Ostapchenko, Tomàs*]



Lower limit on filling factor:

[*MK, Ostapchenko, Tomàs '10*]

- model filaments by a top-hat:



Lower limit on filling factor:

[*MK, Ostapchenko, Tomàs '10*]



Lower limit on filling factor:

[*MK, Ostapchenko, Tomàs '10*]



linear filling factor $\gtrsim 50\%$

- mainly 3-step cascade: $\gamma \to e^{\pm} \to \gamma$
- photon mean free path $D_\gamma(E) \sim 1000$–$50\,\mathrm{Mpc}$
- electron mean free path $D_e(E) \sim$ few kpc

Lower limit on filling factor:

[*MK, Ostapchenko, Tomàs '10*]



linear filling factor $\gtrsim 50\%$

- mainly 3-step cascade: $\gamma \to e^{\pm} \to \gamma$
- photon mean free path $D_\gamma(E) \sim 1000$–$50\,\mathrm{Mpc}$
- electron mean free path $D_e(E) \sim$ few kpc

$\Rightarrow$ electrons are created "everywhere" and feel B only close to interaction point

EGMF in voids already observed?

[*Ando, Kusenko '10*]

- stack 170 brightest AGN

EGMF in voids already observed?

[Ando, Kusenko '10]

- stack 170 brightest AGN
- search for excess over PSF



EGMF in voids already observed?

[*Ando, Kusenko '10*]

- stack 170 brightest AGN
- search for excess over PSF



EGMF in voids already observed?

[Ando, Kusenko '10]

- stack 170 brightest AGN
- search for excess over PSF



$\Rightarrow$ explained by

$$B \sim 10^{-15}\ \mathrm{G}\,(\lambda_B/1\ \mathrm{kpc})^{-1/2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_B < 10 - 100\ \mathrm{kpc}$$

EGMF in voids already observed?

[*Ando, Kusenko '10*]

- stack 170 brightest AGN
- search for excess over PSF



- lower limit $B \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{-15}\,\mathrm{G}$ requires $\lambda_B \lesssim 0.1\,\mathrm{kpc}$

EGMF already observed? Probably not...

[*Neronov et al. '10*]

- point source Crab shows the same "halo" as stacked AGN:



EGMF already observed? Probably not...

[*Neronov et al. '10*]

- point source Crab shows the same "halo" as stacked AGN:



- tail of PSF wrong (?), difference between "front" and "back" photons

Summary

- multi-TeV photons from AGN cores require
  - photons in KN regime
  - HE muons

$\Rightarrow$ hadronic models

Summary

- multi-TeV photons from AGN cores require
  - photons in KN regime
  - HE muons

$\Rightarrow$ hadronic models

- secondary photons:
  - chemical composition
  - TeV sources ??

Summary

- multi-TeV photons from AGN cores require
  - photons in KN regime
  - HE muons

$\Rightarrow$ hadronic models

- secondary photons:
  - chemical composition
  - TeV sources ??

- cascade limit from Fermi data reduced by factor ~ 7

Summary

- multi-TeV photons from AGN cores require
  - photons in KN regime
  - HE muons

$\Rightarrow$ hadronic models

- secondary photons:
  - chemical composition
  - TeV sources ??
- cascade limit from Fermi data reduced by factor ~ 7
- lower limit on EGMF in voids $B \gtrsim 10^{-15}\,\mathrm{G}$