Cosmological Background Radiation and Extragalactic Gamma-ray Opacity



# Rudy Gilmore SISSA

### TeV Particle Astrophysics July 21, 2010





### **Collaborators:**

- Joel Primack UCSC
- Rachel Somerville STScI (Baltimore)
- Piero Madau UCSC
- Francesco Haardt Università dell'Insubria (Como, Italy)
- Alberto Dominguez UCSC and Inst Astro Andalusia
- Francesco (Paco) Prada Inst Astro Andalusia



# The Extra-Galactic Background Light (EBL)

### Cumulative photon population created by structure formation

Created by stars and AGN, modified by absorption and reemission by dust and processing by neutral hydrogen

Roughly 1/20 the energy of the CMB in combined UV, optical and IR fields

Modeling of galaxy formation allows us to understand evolution of this photon population

#### Motivation:

 Measurement of the UV-IR background ('photon archeology') gives us constraints on structure formation.

• The EBL has implications for observations of the highest energy (GeV and TeV) extragalactic gamma-rays.



### **Direct Measurement**

• Photometry measurements must contend with difficult foreground subtraction and calibration issues!

**Optical** - Bernstein (2002, 2007) using Hubble and ground-based data in 3 optical bands

IR - DIRBE detections in near-IR (e.g. Wright 2001, Levenson et al. 2007) and far- IR (Hauser et al. 1998, Wright 2004)

FIRAS - absolute measurement of CMB and EBL >125 µm (Fixsen et al. 1998)

### Measurement of the Local Background

### Direct Measurement

 Photometry measurements must contend with difficult foreground subtraction and calibration issues!

**Optical** - Bernstein (2002, 2007) using Hubble and ground-based data in 3 optical bands

IR - DIRBE detections in near-IR (e.g. Wright 2001, Levenson et al. 2007) and far- IR (Hauser et al. 1998, Wright 2004)

FIRAS - absolute measurement of CMB and EBL >125 µm (Fixsen et al. 1998)

**Galaxy Number Counts** 

- Can provide robust lower limits, but degree of convergence often controversial
- Available in many bands, including UV (GALEX), optical/NIR (HST, various ground-based), mid and far IR (Spitzer, ISO), and submillimeter (SCUBA, BLAST)
- Limits in optical and near-IR generally below direct photometry estimates

### Measurement of the Local Background

### Direct Measurement

 Photometry measurements must contend with difficult foreground subtraction and calibration issues!

Optical - Bernstein (2002, 2007) using Hubble and ground-based data in 3 optical bands

IR - DIRBE detections in near-IR (e.g. Wright 2001, Levenson et al. 2007) and far- IR (Hauser et al. 1998, Wright 2004)

FIRAS - absolute measurement of CMB and EBL >125 µm (Fixsen et al. 1998)

#### Galaxy Number Counts

- Can provide robust lower limits, but degree of convergence often controversial
- Available in many bands, including UV (GALEX), optical/NIR (HST, various ground-based), mid and far IR (Spitzer, ISO), and submillimeter (SCUBA, BLAST)
- Limits in optical and near-IR generally below direct photometry estimates

#### Extragalactic Gamma-ray Observations

• Assumption that intrinsic VHE spectra are softer than  $-\Gamma = 1.5$  (e.g. Aharonian et al. 2006; Albert et al. 2008; also Costamante et al. 2004; Mazin & Raue 2007)

## Modeling of the galaxy population

## Evolution inferred from observations

Kneiske et al. (2002, 2004); Finke et al. (2009) - models based on star formation rate density, stellar synthesis models, dust reradiation

<u>Franceschini et al. (2008)</u> - model based on measured LFs, separate treatment of optical and IR, and different galaxy population.

<u>Dominguez et al. (MNRAS submitted)</u> - sophisticated model based on K-band LFs plus analysis of ~6000 AEGIS galaxy SEDs

Backwards evolution of the existing galaxy population Stecker et al. (2006) - based on power law evolution of existing galaxy pop.

Forward evolution, from cosmological initial conditions Primack et al. (1999, 2001, 2005, 2008) and Gilmore et al. (2009), and in prep.

## Modeling of the galaxy population

## Evolution inferred from observations

Kneiske et al. (2002, 2004); Finke et al. (2009) - models based on star formation rate density, stellar synthesis models, dust reradiation

<u>Franceschini et al. (2008)</u> - model based on measured LFs, separate treatment of optical and IR, and different galaxy population.

Dominguez et al. (MNRAS submitted) - sophisticated model based on K-band LFs plus analysis of ~6000 AEGIS galaxy SEDs

Backwards evolution of the existing galaxy population Stecker et al. (2006) - based on power law evolution of existing galaxy pop.

Forward evolution, from cosmological initial conditions <u>Primack et al. (1999, 2001, 2005, 2008) and Gilmore et al.</u> (2009), and in prep.

### EBL from observations: Dominguez et al., ArXiv:1007.1459

Uses evolution galaxy number fraction across 25 spectral types seen in some 6000 AEGIS galaxies, with normalization to K-band luminosity functions (Cirasuolo 2010)

AGN and starburst-like spectral type fractions increase with redshift to  $z\sim1$ , while quiescent decrease.







 AEGIS multiwavelength data covers several optical and NIR bands, IR (IRAC and MIPS), and UV (GALEX)

• High redshift (z > 1): assumptions about SED types here do not strongly affect local EBL

## EBL from semi-analytic models

 $\bullet$  Treats co-evolution of AGN, black holes, and galaxies in  $\Lambda CDM$  framework

• Based on model of Somerville et al. (2008), including

 Galaxy formation based on hierarchical buildup of cold dark matter halos.

 Star formation in quiescent and burst modes, with regulation by AGN feedback

 Optical and UV starlight absorbed using dust model of Charlot & Fall (2000), IR re-emission based on Spitzer templates (Rieke et al. 2009)

"WMAP1" model based on concordance cosmology (Primack, Gilmore, Somerville 2008, Gilmore et al. 2009)

 new WMAP5/7 model with updated cosmological parameters nearly complete
Gilmore, Somerville, Primack, Dominguez in prep.)



### Wechsler et al. 2002

# **Galaxy Number Counts and Local Luminosity**

#### **Optical and IR counts**

#### Local Emissivity



• WMAP5 model improves agreement with data, especially in mid-IR bands

• Cosmology has only minimal effect on local galaxy emissivity



Wednesday, July 21, 2010



# **Absorption of Gamma Rays by EBL**

EBL leads to softening and cutoff in gamma ray spectra of distant extragalactic sources (blazars and GRBs):

 Gamma-rays interact with background photons to produce e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pairs.

 Opacity based on integrated EBL flux, tends to increase with energy and redshift:

$$\tau(E_o, z_s) = \int_0^{z_s} dz \frac{dl}{dz} \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos\theta) (1 - \cos\theta) \int_{\epsilon_{th}}^\infty d\epsilon n(\epsilon, z) \sigma(E, \epsilon, \theta)$$

 This effect links high-energy observations to galaxy formation by softening observed GR spectra





Characteristic wavelength of interaction:

 $\lambda \sim 1.24(E_{\gamma} / \text{TeV}) \mu \text{m}$ (90° interaction, max σ)

### Modification of VHE spectra in our semi-analytic model



Optical depth vs energy at several redshifts

Hardening of spectra for observed blazars (dN/dE  $\sim E^{-\Gamma}$ )

## Our models with gamma-ray upper limits



### Future Prospects

We want to understand not only the present-day EBL, but also evolution in redshift

• Results from first year of Fermi AGN and GRB - Abdo et al. 2010, ArXiv:1005.0996 Highest models (Stecker 2006) strongly disfavored, no constraints yet on others.

 More distant gamma-ray sources (both AGN and GRBs) can probe UV background and help us constrain high-redshift star formation





Source: TeVCAT

#### Attenuation edge of gamma rays

# Conclusions

 Much progress has been made recently in understanding the local EBL, with a convergence in results between very different modeling techniques

• Our latest WMAP5 model consistent with number counts, local luminosity functions, and luminosity density

• Our models are below most direct detection claims, except in far-IR, and are near level of resolved light (number counts) over wide range of wavelengths.

Good agreement with limits from gamma-ray experiments.

 Agreement with recent observationally-motivated models (Franceschini et al. 2008, Dominguez et al., submitted) out to z~1.

 Low-threshold IACTs should be able to view sources out to z > 1 without significant EBL attenuation

Wednesday, July 21, 2010