# Injections of e<sup>±</sup> from Nearby Pulsars and their GeV/TeV Spectral Features

(NK, Ioka & Nojiri 2010, ApJ, **710**, 958) (NK, Ioka, Ohira & Kashiyama 2010 in prep.)

#### Norita Kawanaka (KEK, Japan)

TeV Particle Astrophysics@Paris 2010/7/22

## **Electron/Positron Excess**







10

1

10<sup>2</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>4</sup>

Energy (GeV)

# Astrophysical Origin

#### Pulsar

Shen 70; Aharonian+ 95; Atoyan et al. 95; Chi+ 96; Zhang & Cheng 01; Grimani 07; Yuksel+ 08; Buesching+ 08; Hooper+ 08; Profumo 08; Malyshev+09; Grasso+ 09; NK, Ioka & Nojiri 10

#### Supernova Remnant

Shen & Berkey 68; Pohl & Esposito 98; Kobayashi+ 04; Shaviv+ 09; Hu+ 09; Fujita, Kohri, Yamazaki & Ioka 09; Blasi 09; Blasi & Serpico 09; Mertsch&Sarkar 09; Biermann+ 09; Ahlers, Mertsch & Sarkar 09

#### • Microquasar (Galactic BH) Heinz & Sunyaev 02

- Gamma-Ray Burst loka 10
- Propagation Effect

Delahaye+ 08; Cowsik & Burch 09; Staw +09; Schlickeiser & Ruppel 09









## GeV/TeV Spectral Features of $e^-+e^+$

ATIC/PPB-BETS: sharp peak and (possibly) gradual decline ~600GeV

Fermi/H.E.S.S.:  $\sim \epsilon_e^{-3}$  spectrum with a cutoff ~ a few TeV

Future Observations (AMS-02/ CALET etc.): contributions from young sources are expected above ~1-10TeV



# **CR** Propagation Equation and Solution

diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, \vec{r}, \varepsilon_{e}) = \frac{K(\varepsilon_{e})\nabla^{2}f}{\text{diffusion}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_{e}} \left[P(\varepsilon_{e})f\right] + \frac{Q(t, \vec{r}, \varepsilon_{e})}{\text{injection}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{energy loss (synchrotron, inverse Compton scattering)}}{\text{inverse Compton scattering}} \xrightarrow{\text{B/C ratio}} K(\varepsilon_{e}) = K_{0}\left(1 + \varepsilon_{e}/3\text{GeV}\right)^{\delta}, K_{0} = 5.8 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^{2}\text{s}^{-1}, \delta = 1/3$$

$$P(\varepsilon_{e}) \approx -b\varepsilon_{e}^{2}, b = 10^{-16} \text{ GeV}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1} \leftarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Galactic Magnetic Fields \&} \\ \text{Radiation Fields (Thomson limit)} \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Spectrum from instantaneous injection from a point source (Atoyan+ 1995)}$$

$$G(t, \vec{r}, \varepsilon_e; t_0) = \frac{\dot{N}_e(\varepsilon_{e,0}, t)P(\varepsilon_{e,0})}{\pi^{3/2}r_{diff}^3 P(\varepsilon_e)} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{r_{diff}^2}\frac{1}{j} \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{e,0}: \text{ electron energy} \\ \text{at } t_0 \end{array}\right)$$

 $r_{diff} \approx 2\sqrt{K(\varepsilon_e)t}$  : diffusion length

## The case of transient source: $e^{\pm}$ spectrum

#### The cutoff energy corresponds to the age of the



## The case of transient source: *e*<sup>±</sup> spectrum

#### The cutoff energy corresponds to the age of the



Instantaneous injection  $\rightarrow$  sharp cutoff at  $\varepsilon_e \sim 1/bt$ ATIC/PPB-BETS peak may be broadened.  $\rightarrow$  Continuous  $e^++e^-$  injection?

Case 1: pulsar-type decay  

$$Q_0(t) \propto L_{\text{spindown}} = \frac{E_{tot}}{\tau_0 (1 + t/\tau_0)^2}$$
cf.)  $\tau_0 = 7.4 \times 10^3 (B/10^{12} \text{ G})^2 P_{10\text{ms}}^2$  years  
Case 2: exponential decay  

$$Q_0(t) \propto \frac{E_{tot} \ln 4}{\tau_0} \exp\left(-\frac{t \ln 4}{\tau_0}\right)$$



### **Broadened Peak**



## **Broadened Peak**



## Constraints on pulsar-type decay time



# *e*<sup>±</sup> Injection from Multiple Sources

- Total injection energy required to account for the peak of ATIC/PPB-BETS ~ 10<sup>50</sup>erg
  - ~ Rotation energy of a pulsar with  $P_0 \sim 10$  msec

## Too efficient?

- Local pulsar birth rate  ${\sim}10^{\text{-5}}\,yr^{\text{-1}}\,kpc^{\text{-2}}$  (Narayan 1985; Lorimer+1994)

Pulsars which have not observed (e.g. off-beam) should contribute significantly.

Young pulsars (age<5x10<sup>5</sup>yr) should exist.

- The peak might be made by a pulsar with an extraordinary large amount of energy.
- Then, what is the spectrum like <u>on average?</u>

# Average $e^{\pm}$ Spectrum and Its Dispersion

Average flux from nearby sources with a birth rate of *R*:

$$f_{\rm ave}(\varepsilon_e) = \int_0^{1/(b\varepsilon_e)} dt \int_0^{d_{\rm diff}} 2\pi r dr \underline{f(t, r, \varepsilon)} R$$
  
Flux per source

Number of sources which contribute to the energy bin of  $\varepsilon_e$ 

$$N(\varepsilon_e) = \int_0^{(b\varepsilon_e)^{-1}} dt \int_0^{d_{\text{diff}}} dr 2\pi r R \sim \frac{2\pi K(\varepsilon_e) R}{(b\varepsilon_e)^2}$$
$$\sim 6 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_e}{\text{TeV}}\right)^{-5/3} \left(\frac{R}{1/(1.5 \times 10^5) \text{yr}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Assuming the Poisson statistics of the source distribution,  $\Delta f_{\rm ave}(\varepsilon_e) = f_{\rm ave}(\varepsilon_e) / \sqrt{N(\varepsilon_e)}$ 



solid lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_e)$ 

dashed lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_e) \pm \Delta f_{ave}$ 



solid lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_e)$ 

dashed lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_e) \pm \Delta f_{ave}$ 

 Average spectra are consistent with PAMELA, Fermi & H.E.S.S.





solid lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_{\rho})$ 

dashed lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_e) \pm \Delta f_{ave}$ 

 Average spectra are consistent with PAMELA, Fermi & H.E.S.S.

2. ATIC/PPB-BETS peak is largely separated from the average flux to the 10σ level.
→ Such a peak is hardly to produce by the sum of multiple pulsars.





solid lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_{\rho})$ 

dashed lines:  $f_{ave}(\varepsilon_e) \pm \Delta f_{ave}$ 

1. Average spectra are consistent with PAMELA, Fermi & H.E.S.S.

2. ATIC/PPB-BETS peak is largely separated from the average flux to the  $10\sigma$  level.  $\rightarrow$  Such a peak is hardly to produce by the sum of multiple pulsars.

3. Large dispersion in the TeV range due to the small  $N(\varepsilon_{e})$  $\rightarrow$  possible explanation for the cutoff inferred by H.E.S.S.

## Spectral Features in >TeV Band

Will be explored by CALET (Torii+ 08) etc.

Large dispersion in flux  $\rightarrow$  contributions from a few young and nearby sources are expected

Vela pulsar (age~10<sup>4</sup>year, distance~270pc), Cygnus loop, or undiscovered compact objects



A young PSR/PWN is surrounded by a SNR.

→ CR electrons/positrons from a pulsar should go through the SNR shock.

Low energy particles are trapped around the shock (i.e. have a smaller diffusion length).

Escape condition:  $L_{diff} > L_{esc}$  $L_{diff} = D(p)/u_{sh}$ 

 $L_{\rm esc}$ : escape boundary (fixed)



# "Escape-Limited" Model

In Sedov phase, higher energy particles escape the SNR shock earlier (Ptuskin & Zirakashivili 03, 05; Caprioli+ 09; Gabici+ 09; Ohira+ 10; Casanova's talk;Caprioli's talk)

 $\leftarrow$  -> "Age-limited" model (Higher energy particles require a longer time for acceleration)

Predict (1) the softening of the CR spectrum from the injection and (2) the spectral break in the  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum

 $\rightarrow$  consistent with observations





Then how would the electron/positron spectrum be?

... It would have <u>a low energy cutoff</u> corresponding to  $\varepsilon_{esc}(t_{age})$ , as well as a high energy cutoff due to the energy loss.

$$\dot{N}_{e,\mathrm{esc}}(\varepsilon_{e}) = \dot{N}_{e,\mathrm{esc},1}(\varepsilon_{e}) + \dot{N}_{e,\mathrm{esc},2}(\varepsilon_{e}).$$

$$\dot{N}_{e,\mathrm{esc},1}(\varepsilon_{e},t) = \dot{N}_{e,\mathrm{pr}}(\varepsilon_{e},t) \Theta(\varepsilon_{e} - \varepsilon_{\mathrm{esc}}), \quad \text{step function}$$

$$\dot{N}_{e,\mathrm{esc},2}(\varepsilon_{e},t) = -\delta(\varepsilon_{e} - \varepsilon_{\mathrm{esc}}(t)) \times \left(\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{e}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial\varepsilon_{e}}{\partial t}\Big|_{\mathrm{ad}} \frac{1}{2}N_{e,\mathrm{conf}}(\varepsilon_{e},t)\right)$$

$$\dot{N}_{e,\mathrm{pr}}(\varepsilon_e,t) = K_e(t)\varepsilon_e^{-\alpha}\exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon_e}{\varepsilon_{e,\mathrm{cut}}}\right)$$
:  $e^{\pm}$  production rate from a pulsar

$$f(\varepsilon_e, t, r) = \int dt_0 \frac{\dot{N}_{e, \text{esc}}(\varepsilon_{e, 0}, t_0) P(\varepsilon_{e, 0})}{\pi^{3/2} r_{diff}^3 P(\varepsilon_e)} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{r_{diff}^2}\frac{1}{\dot{t}}\right)$$



Observed electron spectrum can have both high energy cutoff due to the energy loss and low energy cutoff due to  $\varepsilon_{esc}(t)$ !

→ The effect of CR escape-limited scenario can be seen directly.

Vela pulsar (with a certain duration of  $e^{\pm}$  injection)

age ~ 10<sup>4</sup>year, distance ~ 270pc, total energy ~ 10<sup>48</sup>erg

Sharp LE cutoff ~ a few x 10TeV??

CALET may detect it.

# Summary

- GeV/TeV spectral features of CR  $e^{\pm}$  from pulsars.
- Continuous injection from a single source comparison with the ATIC/PPB-BETS data
   → peak width, TeV tail: duration of the source
   → may be measured by AMS-02, CALET
- Multiple injections: average flux and its dispersion average e<sup>±</sup> spectrum ← seen in the Fermi data ATIC/PPB-BETS peak is hardly to produce by multiple pulsars, and requires a single (or a few) energetic source(s).
   spectral cutoff at ~a few TeV seen in the H.E.S.S. data : due to the small number of young and nearby sources
- CR escape from the SNR shock, which is the most important process in determining the CR spectrum, has been never probed directly from observations.
- → The electron flux from a young pulsar may have the low energy cutoff in >~TeV band, which can be the probe of CR escape.

# **Backup Slides**

#### CALorimetric Electron Telescope

#### A Dedicated Detector for Electron Observation in 1GeV – 20,000 GeV

Energy resolution: ~2% (>100GeV) e/p selection power: ~10<sup>5</sup>

sr<sup>-1</sup> GeV<sup>2</sup>





With the high energy resolution and statistics of the CALET observations, we will be able to discriminate models of injection.

(duration, the functional form of  $Q_0(t)$ , etc.)