# Simulations of the magneto-rotational instability in core-collapse supernovae

### Martin Obergaulinger, Pablo Cerdá-Durán, Ewald Müller, Miguel Angel Aloy

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Universitat de Valéncia

ASTRONUM 2009, Chamonix, June 29th – July 3rd, 2009



## Progenitor and collapse

- ► Progenitor: a massive star (≥ 8M<sub>☉</sub>) after exhaustion of nuclear full (onion-shell structure)
- ▶ gravitational collapse of the core to a proto neutron star:  $\rho_{max}$  increases from  $\sim 10^9$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> to >  $\rho_{nuc} \sim 2 \times 10^{14}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> within  $\sim$  a free-fall time
- $e_{\rm core} \sim 10^{53}$  erg relased, mostly in neutrinos
- collapse stops when nuclear density is reached
  ⇒ formation of a shock wave
- the shock propagates outwards, but stalls due to energy loss in dissociation reactions
- ¿ How is the stalled shock wave revived?



## Ingredients

### multi-scale problem

- star: blue or red giant
- pre-collapse core: few 1000 km
- PNS: few 10 km
- stalled shock: few 100 km
- large (magnetic) Reynolds number
- many dynamical time scales

### multi-physics problem

- multi-dimensional (GR)(M)HD
- ► turbulence
- nuclear equation of state
- neutrino transport (from optically thick to transparent), neutrino-matter interactions

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

nuclear burning



### Ingredients

### multi-scale problem

- star: blue or red giant
- pre-collapse core: few 1000 km
- PNS: few 10 km
- stalled shock: few 100 km
- large (magnetic) Reynolds number
- many dynamical time scales

### multi-physics problem

- multi-dimensional (GR)(M)HD
- turbulence
- nuclear equation of state
- neutrino transport (from optically thick to transparent), neutrino-matter interactions

ヘロン ヘ週ン ヘヨン ヘヨン

nuclear burning



Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

Summary

## **Exlosion mechanisms**

### How is the failed explosion revived?

Not a matter of energy ( $e_{\rm core} \gg e_{\rm env}$ ), but of energy transfer.

- Spherical neutrino-driven explosion
- Standard model: neutrino heating aided by hydrodynamic instabilities
- Energy transfer by waves
- rotational mechanisms



MRI simulations

## **Exlosion mechanisms**

### How is the failed explosion revived?

Not a matter of energy ( $e_{\rm core} \gg e_{\rm env}$ ), but of energy transfer.



### Spherical neutrino-driven explosion

- Standard model: neutrino heating aided by hydrodynamic instabilities
- Energy transfer by waves
- rotational mechanisms

### Neutrino mechanism

- Neutrinos diffuse out of the PNS
- they heat the matter behind the shock.
- ⇒ explosions for cores in a limited mass range (Kitaura et al., 2006)

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

 compatible with standard pre-collapse evolution

MRI simulations

-

## **Exlosion mechanisms**

### How is the failed explosion revived?

Not a matter of energy ( $e_{\rm core} \gg e_{\rm env}$ ), but of energy transfer.



- Spherical neutrino-driven explosion
- Standard model: neutrino heating aided by hydrodynamic instabilities
- Energy transfer by waves
- rotational mechanisms

### Hydro instabilities

- Neutrino heating
- convection and standing accretion shock instability (Blondin et al., 2003, 2006; Foglizzo et al., 2007)

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

- $\Rightarrow$  successful for  $M \approx 11...15 M_{\odot}$ 
  - compatible with standard pre-collapse evolution

MRI simulations

## **Exlosion mechanisms**

### How is the failed explosion revived?

Not a matter of energy ( $e_{\rm core} \gg e_{\rm env}$ ), but of energy transfer.



- Spherical neutrino-driven explosion
- Standard model: neutrino heating aided by hydrodynamic instabilities
- Energy transfer by waves
- rotational mechanisms

### Waves

- accoustic (Burrows et al., 2006, 2007) or Alfvén waves (Suzuki et al., 2008) generated at the PNS
- waves dissipate near the shock

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

- successful?
- compatible with standard pre-collapse evolution?

Max-Planck-Invited Ret Astrophysik

MRI simulations

## **Exlosion mechanisms**

### How is the failed explosion revived?

Not a matter of energy ( $e_{\rm core} \gg e_{\rm env}$ ), but of energy transfer.



- Spherical neutrino-driven explosion
- Standard model: neutrino heating aided by hydrodynamic instabilities
- Energy transfer by waves
- rotational mechanisms

### Rotation

- tap into e<sub>rot</sub> by magnetic fields (Thompson et al., 2004)
- successful?
- realistic?
  - rapid rotation: only certain stars

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

- $|\vec{b}|$  sufficiently strong?
- $\rightarrow$  MRI? (Akiyama et al., 2003)

MRI simulations

Summary

## Field amplification in supernovae

### Why magnetic fields?

- pulsar fields, magnetars
- asymmetric explosions: caused by large-scale fields?
- additional energy reservoir: rotation

#### But...

- strong (equipartition) fields needed
- typical pre-collapse fields are too weak
- special class of progenitors

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

strong amplification

### field amplification mechanisms

- compression: gravitational infall  $\Rightarrow$  magnetic energy
- ▶ winding: differential rotation ⇒ magnetic energy
- ► hydromagnetic instabilities: differential rotation, entropy/composion gradients ⇒ magnetic energy



MRI simulations

Summary

## Field amplification in supernovae

### Why magnetic fields?

- pulsar fields, magnetars
- asymmetric explosions: caused by large-scale fields?
- additional energy reservoir: rotation

### But...

- strong (equipartition) fields needed
- typical pre-collapse fields are too weak
- $\Rightarrow$  > special class of progenitors

・ コ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 目 ト ・

strong amplification

### field amplification mechanisms

- compression: gravitational infall  $\Rightarrow$  magnetic energy
- ▶ winding: differential rotation ⇒ magnetic energy
- ► hydromagnetic instabilities: differential rotation, entropy/composion gradients ⇒ magnetic energy



MRI simulations

Summary

## Field amplification in supernovae

### Why magnetic fields?

- pulsar fields, magnetars
- asymmetric explosions: caused by large-scale fields?
- additional energy reservoir: rotation

### But...

- strong (equipartition) fields needed
- typical pre-collapse fields are too weak
- ⇒ ► special class of progenitors

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

strong amplification

### field amplification mechanisms

- compression: gravitational infall  $\Rightarrow$  magnetic energy
- ▶ winding: differential rotation ⇒ magnetic energy
- ► hydromagnetic instabilities: differential rotation, entropy/composion gradients ⇒ magnetic energy

## General properties of the MRI

- Iocal linear MHD instability of differentially rotating fluids
- weak initial magnetic field required
- run-away of angular-momentum transport along field lines
- instability criterion: negative Ω gradient
- growth time  $\sim$  rotational period
- leads to MHD turbulence and efficient transport



MRI simulations

Summary

## Peculiarties of the MRI in SNe

### Accretion discs

- Keplerian shear
- $\Rightarrow$  Rayleigh-stable, MRI-unstable
  - rapid growth
  - MHD turbulence may provide viscosity required for accretion
  - well-studied system, yet still many open questions

### Supernovae

- differential rotation, thermal stratification
- ⇒ possibly: hydrodynamically unstable + MRI unstable
  - growth: fast enough?
  - saturation: strong enough?
  - starting to receive interest

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

### study the MRI in supernovae

- theoretical analysis of the instability criteria
- simulations of MRI-unstable systems



MRI simulations

Summary

## Peculiarties of the MRI in SNe

### Accretion discs

- Keplerian shear
- $\Rightarrow$  Rayleigh-stable, MRI-unstable
  - rapid growth
  - MHD turbulence may provide viscosity required for accretion
  - well-studied system, yet still many open questions

### Supernovae

- differential rotation, thermal stratification
- ⇒ possibly: hydrodynamically unstable + MRI unstable
  - growth: fast enough?
  - saturation: strong enough?
  - starting to receive interest

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

### study the MRI in supernovae

- theoretical analysis of the instability criteria
- simulations of MRI-unstable systems

magneto-bouyant

convection stabilised by rotation, but destabilised

by the magnetic field

MRI simulations

Summary

## **Regimes of the axisymmetric MRI**

### convective

similar to hydrodynamic convection (Schwarzschild or Ledoux)

mixed interplay of many effects

## shear regime Rayleigh unstable

### stable stabilised by positive entropy or Ω gradients

### magneto-shear classical MRI, e.g., accretion discs



・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 目 ト



## **Physics and numerics**

### Simplified physics

- Full ideal MHD (rather than shearing box)
- simplified equation of state
- external gravity
- no neutrino transport

### Code

- Eulerian, conservative
- high-order reconstruction (MP or WENO)
- MUSTA Riemann solver (Titarev & Toro, 2005)

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

constraint transport

### Models

- ► gas in hydrostatic equilibrium; uniform field or vanishing net flux
- axisymmetric and 3d simulations
- small (few kilometres) boxes resembling the equatorial region
- resolution between 0.625 and 40 metres
- shearing-disc boundary conditions (Klahr & Bodenheimer, 200



э

## **Physics and numerics**

### Simplified physics

- Full ideal MHD (rather than shearing box)
- simplified equation of state
- external gravity
- no neutrino transport

### Code

- Eulerian, conservative
- high-order reconstruction (MP or WENO)
- MUSTA Riemann solver (Titarev & Toro, 2005)
- constraint transport

### Models

- gas in hydrostatic equilibrium; uniform field or vanishing net flux
- axisymmetric and 3d simulations
- small (few kilometres) boxes resembling the equatorial region
- resolution between 0.625 and 40 metres
- shearing-disc boundary conditions (Klahr & Bodenheimer, 2003)



Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Questions to be addressed

### **General problems**

- dependence of the saturation on numerics: box size, resolution, boundaries
- dependence of the saturation on initial field strength and geometry

### MRI in supernovae

- verify the regimes
- growth rates for typical SN conditions
- saturation level in SN cores

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

Many questions, but no final answers yet ...



MRI simulations

Summary

## **Dynamics**



- confirm all (relevant) regimes of the linear analysis
- (de-)stabilisation by interplay of Ω and S gradients
- growth rates in agreement with linear analysis, i.e., a few milliseconds for rapidly rotating cores

(日)

 $\blacktriangleright\,$  maximum field strength  $\gtrsim 10^{15}~G$ 



Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## **Dynamics**



- early phase: exponential growth of channel flows
- termination of growth and breakup of channels

L64×10<sup>-1</sup>

15.6 15.8



Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

### Termination ( $\neq$ saturation)

the Maxwell stress reached at the end of the growth of the MRI depends on (among other factors)

- the grid resolution: finer grid  $\Rightarrow$  higher  $M_{\varpi\phi}$
- ► the initial field: stronger b<sub>0</sub> ⇒ higher M<sub>∞φ</sub>
- the rotational profile: slower  $\Rightarrow$  higher  $M_{\varpi\phi}$





Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

- MRI growth terminates when channel flows are disrupted by resistive instabilities.
- Channels are generically unstable against secondary instabilities, here tearing modes (Goodman & Xu, 1994).
- MRI terminates approximately when the resistive instabilities grow faster than the MRI.





Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

- MRI growth terminates when channel flows are disrupted by resistive instabilities.
- Channels are generically unstable against secondary instabilities, here tearing modes (Goodman & Xu, 1994).
- MRI terminates approximately when the resistive instabilities grow faster than the MRI.





Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

- MRI growth terminates when channel flows are disrupted by resistive instabilities.
- Channels are generically unstable against secondary instabilities, here tearing modes (Goodman & Xu, 1994).
- MRI terminates approximately when the resistive instabilities grow faster than the MRI.





Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

- MRI growth terminates when channel flows are disrupted by resistive instabilities.
- Channels are generically unstable against secondary instabilities, here tearing modes (Goodman & Xu, 1994).
- MRI terminates approximately when the resistive instabilities grow faster than the MRI.





Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

### auxiliary simulations

simplified 2d models of channel flows to study resistive instabilities:

- ► wider channels ⇒ tearing modes grow slower
- ► stronger fields ⇒ tearing modes grow faster
- ► finer grids ⇒ tearing modes slow slower

### properties of channel flows

channel width determined from the MRI dispersion relation (fastest growing mode)

 $\blacktriangleright$  weaker initial fields  $\Rightarrow$  thinner

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

• slower rotation  $\Rightarrow$  wider

field strength increases at MRI growth rate

### growth rates of tearing modes & channel evolution



Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

### auxiliary simulations

simplified 2d models of channel flows to study resistive instabilities:

- ► wider channels ⇒ tearing modes grow slower
- ► stronger fields ⇒ tearing modes grow faster
- ▶ finer grids ⇒ tearing modes slow slower

### properties of channel flows

channel width determined from the MRI dispersion relation (fastest growing mode)

• weaker initial fields  $\Rightarrow$  thinner

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

► slower rotation ⇒ wider

field strength increases at MRI growth rate

### growth rates of tearing modes & channel evolution



Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level

### auxiliary simulations

simplified 2d models of channel flows to study resistive instabilities:

- ► wider channels ⇒ tearing modes grow slower
- ► stronger fields ⇒ tearing modes grow faster
- ► finer grids ⇒ tearing modes slow slower

### properties of channel flows

channel width determined from the MRI dispersion relation (fastest growing mode)

• weaker initial fields  $\Rightarrow$  thinner

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

► slower rotation ⇒ wider

field strength increases at MRI growth rate

### growth rates of tearing modes & channel evolution



Magnetic fields and the MRI in supernovae

MRI simulations

(日)

Summary

## Scaling of the termination level



### growth rates of tearing modes & channel evolution

MRI simulations

Summary

## Saturation: turbulence and coherent flows

- Saturation: turbulent state
- efficient transport of angular momentum
- coherent flow and field patterns can be identified
- stable over several rotational periods
- example: average value of the toroidal field on slices
   z = const. as a function of time (cf. Lesur & Ogilvie, 2008)





MRI simulations

Summary

## Saturation: turbulence and coherent flows

- Saturation: turbulent state
- efficient transport of angular momentum
- coherent flow and field patterns can be identified
- stable over several rotational periods
- example: average value of the toroidal field on slices
   z = const. as a function of time (cf. Lesur & Ogilvie, 2008)



・ロット (雪) (日) (日)



MRI simulations

Summary

## Saturation: turbulence and coherent flows

- Saturation: turbulent state
- efficient transport of angular momentum
- coherent flow and field patterns can be identified
- stable over several rotational periods
- example: average value of the toroidal field on slices
   z = const. as a function of time (cf. Lesur & Ogilvie, 2008)





・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

## Summary

- Explosion mechanism of core-collapse supernovae involves a combination of neutrino transport, hydrodynamic instabilities, and possibly magnetic fields.
- Post-collapse cores are MRI-unstable.
- Starting to explore the MRI in core-collapse supernovae by
  - analysis of the dispersion relation
  - simplified simulations of model systems
- Results suggest possible importance of the MRI.
- $\Rightarrow$  More accurate modelling required.