

Study of the Z resolution with Fit Method for Micromegas TPC



David Attié, Deb Bhattacharya, Paul Colas, Serguei Ganjour

CEA-Saclay/IRFU, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

LCTPC-Saclay Working Group Meeting Saclay May 23, 2014



Motivation



I Transverse and Longitudinal resolutions The TPC acts as a 3D camera are major characteristics of the TPC taking a snapshot of the this talk focuses on Z resolution passing particle measure time between ionization and detection multiply by drift velocity Ε ILD TPC requirements: $\sigma_z \sim 400 \mu m$ В \longrightarrow critical for recoil mass resolution $ZH \rightarrow (II)X$ → details are in A. Bellerive AWLC14 talk Each pad readout provide charge (ADC) as a function of time with 40 ns intervals \square It is possible to determine arrival time (T_{max}) and $\operatorname{amplitude}(A)$ for each pad best estimation if **pulse shape** is known **build** one hit per row by grouping pulses if t Pad Response Function (PRF) to the pulse amplitude A to find XY position of the hit

S. Ganjour

Study of the Z Resolution



Data Studied





Study was done with a multi-module setup of the LP Micromegas TPC detector using beam test data at DESY facility (Feb. 17– Mar. 2, 2014)

Data with B=0, 1 T, E=140, 230 V/cm were taken for $\Delta z = 5$ cm





Dataflow for the beam test: DAQ and analysis

- Image DAQ software store data in raw format (calib. view, event dispay, etc)
 - methylation (pedestal)
 - data taking (beam, cosmic, laser)
 - slow control (temperature)
- - subtract pedestals
 - build hits from pulses
 - reconstruct tracks (KalmanFit)
 - analysis (resolution, distortion, etc)

Determine resolution from residuals of the whole 3D track fit, e.g. Kalman algorithm







Pad Responce





 ${\tt Improved}$ estimation of amplitude A of the group of adjacend pulses can go beyond the current precision for XY position

- deserves special study (foreseen to be implemented in the future)
 - → subleading pulses have quite different shape
 - → implementation has to be at MMHitFinderProcessor level as it is done for GEM

Current study focuses on the leading pulse time reconstruciton only and implemented at MMHitTimeCorrectionProcessor





Study of time reconstruction with pulse shape method for GEM was reported by F. Müller

The following analytic function was proposed:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{lpha} \cdot ig(rac{\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{T}_0}{\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{rise}}}ig)^{lpha} \mathbf{e}^{-lpha rac{\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{T}_0}{\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{rise}}}} oldsymbol{ heta}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{T}_0) \, .$$

A - amplitude
$$T_0$$
 - offset, T_{rise} - risetime,
 α - pulse width,

Image: Two major obeservations with simulation study:
 Image: dependency of T_{rise} and T₀ on the pulse charge
 Image: inconsistency with drift distances and B-field

Due to such an instability of the fit parameters steek to barycenter and inflection point methods



https://agenda.linearcollider.org/getFile.py/access?contribId=1&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=6375



A/A max

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2



Module 3 row=1

100 200 300 400

T-T_{max} (ns)



I Modify parametric form according to transfromation $T_{rise} = \alpha \beta$ so that $\beta \simeq 1$ at $\alpha = 5$ and define $\Delta t = t - T_{max}$

$${
m f}({
m t})={
m A}\cdot \left(1+rac{\Delta{
m t}}{lphaeta}
ight)^{lpha}{
m e}^{-rac{\Delta{
m t}}{eta}}\cdot heta(\Delta{
m t}+lphaeta)$$



0

-400-300-200-100

S. Ganjour

Study of the Z Resolution





- \mathbb{R} Fit each individual (leading) pulse with f(t)
 - ${\scriptstyle \blacksquare \blacksquare}$ normalize amplitude to A_{max} pulse-by-pulse
 - force pulse maximum at zero
 - reasonable stability of the pulse shape
 - \rightarrow difference is minimal around the peak
 - \rightarrow sizable uncertainty around T_0
 - \rightarrow large variation in tails (can be negative)



Sholder structure indicates T_{rise} variation from channel-by-channel (event-by-event)



S. Ganjour

Study of the Z Resolution





Direct study of arrival time stability is troublesome with current setup

- ${\tt I}$ Jitter of T_{max} takes place due to
 - absolute variation of the start bin
 - finit size of the beam (absolute time)

includes a few silicon layers for precision beam position determination

However, it is not a problem for the resolution, which can be determined from residuals of the Kalman track fit







- Z resolution study with the Kalman fitter has been performed
 - improvement of about 25% is achived at short drift distance tracks
 - slightly reduces the improvement at long distance due to diffusion contribution
 - current method accounts channel-by-channel/eventby-event pulse shape variation and offers homogenious resolution accross the module







- Is a studied using the pulse shape fit method
 Is a studied using the pulse shape fit method
 - analytic function for pulse shape parameterization has been proposed
 - **i** fit to leading pulse with 3 floated parameters
 - reach reasonable stability of the fit in the restricted time range
- - ${}^{\scriptstyle \hbox{\tiny IMP}}$ improvement of about~25% is achived at short drift distance tracks
 - slightly reduces the improvement at long distance due to diffusion contribution
 - current method accounts channel-by-channel/event-by-event pulse shape variation and offers homogenious resolution accross the module
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}\xspace^{\circ}$ Further study foreseen
 - extend the pulse shape fit for the subleading pads
 - \blacksquare study of impact on $\sigma_{r\phi}$ resolution
 - code implementation at MMHitFinderProcessor

Worth a combination of efforts between MM and GEM groups for further study





