Fit of PAMELA and ATIC data with pulsars

I. Büsching

Unit for Space Physics North-West University, Potchefstroom Campus South Africa

Tango in Paris, 5. May 2009

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Outline

General considerations

Galactic propagation of cosmic ray electrons and positrons

Cosmic Ray Anisotropy

Pulsars

Interpreting PAMELA data with mature pulsars

Interpreting PAMELA and ATIC data with mature pulsars

Pulsar Wind Nebulae (PWN)

Interpreting PAMELA, ATIC and H.E.S.S. data: PWN

General Considerations

- Propagation model
 - Leaky box not suitable for high energy leptons
 - Time dependent 3D diffusion model (e.g. Syrovatskii 1959, Atoyan et al. 1995)
 - Magnitude and energy dependency of diffusion coefficient *k* = *k*₀*E*^δ
- Pulsar model
 - Polar cap models (Goldreich & Julian (1969), Sturrock (1971))
 - Polar gap models (Ruderman & Sutherland (1975))
 - Outer gap models (Cheng et al. 1986a,b)
 - Slot gap models (Muslimov & Harding 2003)
 - Simple power law models (Atoyan et al. 1995)
 - PWN calorimetric models (Venter & deJager 2006, Büsching et al. 2008)
- Working with positron fraction:
 - CR positron background?
 - CR electron background?

Propagation Model

- 3D diffusion model
- B/C, ¹⁰B/B ratios mainly probe propagation perpendicular to the Galactic disc
- Secondary to primary ratios have to be calculated in a 3D time dependent model if the SNR paradigm is correct



Primary ¹²C (left) and secondary ¹¹B (right) @10 GeV/nuc (see e.g. Büsching et al. 2005) $k = k_0 (E/3 \text{GeV})^{\delta}, k_0 = 0.003 \dots 1 \text{kpc}^2 \text{Myr}^{-1}, \delta = 0.3 \dots 0.9$ (Ptuskin et al. 2006, Delahaye et al. 2008)

Galactic propagation of cosmic ray electrons and positrons

Propagation equation:

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} - S = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla N) - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (bN)$$

Source function:

$$S = Q(E)\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{s})\delta(t - t_{injection})$$

Diffusion coefficient

$$k = k_0 \left(\frac{E}{3 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{0.6},$$

Energy losses due to synchrotron and inverse Compton radiation ($\propto {\it E}^2)$

$$b=b_0 E_{,}^2$$

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 </p

Galactic propagation of cosmic ray electrons and positrons

Propagation equation:

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} - S = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla N) - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (bN)$$

Green's Function

$$G = \delta \left(t - t_0 - \frac{1}{b_0} \left(E_0^{-1} - E^{-1} \right) \right) \frac{\exp \left(- \frac{(\overline{t} - \overline{t_0})^2}{\lambda} \right)}{b(\pi \lambda)^{1.5}},$$

with

$$\lambda = 4 \frac{k_0 \left(E^{\alpha - 1} - E_0^{\alpha - 1} \right)}{(1 - \alpha) b_0}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

(Berezinskii et al. 1990)

Distinguishing Pulsar from Dark Matter Signal

Anisotropy in the diffusion model:

$$\delta = \frac{I_{\max} - I_{\min}}{I_{\max} + I_{\min}} = \frac{3 \, k \, |\nabla N|}{c \, N}$$

(Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1964) for instantaneous injection:

$$\delta = \frac{3}{2c} r_i b_0 (\alpha - 1) E^{\alpha} \left(E^{\alpha - 1} - E_0^{\alpha - 1} \right)^{-1}$$

with

$$E_0 = E/((t-t_i)b_0E+1)$$

(Büsching et al. 2008) for $E \rightarrow 0$:

$$\delta=\frac{3}{2c}\frac{r_i}{t_i}.$$

as derived for energy independent diffusion (Mao & Shen 1972).

BUT for E < 10 GeV solar cycle dependent anisotropy due to modulation!

Pulsars

- Rotating Neutron star (NS)
- "Birth" in Supernova explosions of stars with 4 to 8 Solar masses
- Mass 1.4 to 3 Solar masses
- Radius 10–30 km
 - $ightarrow I \approx 10^{45}\,\mathrm{g\,cm^2}$
- Density $\approx 10^{14} \, \mathrm{g} \, \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
- Conservation of magnetic flux and angular momentum during collapse
- Magnetic field $\approx 10^{12}$ G
- ▶ Period at "birth" P₀ ≈ 10 to 500 ms (Faucher-Giguere & Kaspi 2006) '

Pulsar Energetics

Spin down power: $L_{SD} = I\Omega\dot{\Omega}$

$$L_{\rm SD}(t) = L_{\rm sd,0} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\tau_0}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{n-1}},$$

(Rees & Gunn 1974)

$$au_0 = P_0 / ((n-1)\dot{P}_0)$$

n = 3 for magnetic dipole field

 P_0 , \dot{P}_0 pulsar period and its time derivative at "birth"

$$L_{\rm SD,0} = \left| -\frac{4\pi^2 I \dot{P}_0}{P_0^3} \right|$$

or for constant magnetic field (Flowers 1976)

$$L_{\rm sd,0} = \left| -\frac{4\pi^2 I \dot{P} P}{P_0^4} \right|$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Pulsar as particle accelerators: ST model

Simple power law

$$N(E) = \frac{L_{SD}f}{\int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} {}^{\prime}E^{a+1}d{}^{\prime}E}E^{a}$$

with

$$L_{SD} = I\Omega\dot{\Omega}$$

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 </p

f fraction of spin down power deposited into particles. Energy deposited into particles integrated over the time $\tau \ll \tau_0$:

$$E_{tot} = rac{f}{2} I \Omega_0^2 pprox f \cdot 2. imes 10^{46} P_0^{-2}$$
 erg

Pulsar as particle accelerators: HR Model

Harding & Ramaty [Proc. 20th ICRC, **2**, 92 (1987)] Positrons from one pulsar:

$$N(E) = 2.3 \times 10^{46} f B_{12}^{-0.7} t^{-0.85} E^{-2.2} s^{-1} \text{GeV}^{-1}$$

Integrated over the γ emitting lifetime τ

$$N(E) = 8.1 \times 10^{48} f B_{12}^{-0.7} \left(\frac{\tau}{10^4 \, \mathrm{yr}}\right)^{0.15} E^{-2.2} \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$$

Total galactic positron production rate

$$Q(E) = 8.6 \times 10^{39} b_{30} f B_{12}^{-0.7} \left(\frac{\tau}{10^4 \, \mathrm{yr}}\right)^{0.15} E^{-2.2} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$$

f ratio of positrons to γ -rays, b_{30} pulsar birthrate normalized to 1/(30 yr), *E* in GeV, B_{12} magnetic field in units of 10^{12} G

Pulsar as particle accelerators: CCY Model

Chi, Cheng & Young [ApJ 459, L83 (1996)]

For γ -ray pulsars $f = 6.3P^{9/7}B_{12}^{-4/7} < 1$

Positrons from one pulsar:

$$N(E) = 3.4 \times 10^{37} f B_{12}^{10/7} P^{-8/21} \delta \left((E - E_{ep}) \,\text{GeV}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \right)$$
$$E_{ep} = 1.1 \times 10^{-3} B_{12}^{4/7} P^{-76/21} \,\text{GeV}$$

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 </p

Pulsar as particle accelerators: ZC Model

Zhang & Chen [A&A **368**, 1070 (2001)] For γ -ray pulsars $f = 5.5P^{26/21}B_{12}^{-4/7} < 1$ Positrons from one pulsar:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{N}(E) &\approx & 9.7 \times 10^{35} \, (100 \zeta)^2 \, f^2 B_{12}^2 P^{2/3} \delta \, (E - 0.1 \cdot E_{ep}) \, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \\ E_{ep} &\approx & 0.61 \, f^{-1} \, (100 \zeta)^1 \, P^{-7/3} \, \, \mathrm{GeV} \end{array}$$

with

$$\zeta \approx 3.8 \times 10^{-4} f^{1/2} B_{12}^{7/12} P^{-19/12} \left(\frac{4 \left(\pi/2 - \alpha \right)}{9} \right)^{-0.54}$$

 α magnetic inclination Positron production rate above 1.5 GeV from all Galactic γ -ray pulsars older than 10⁵yr:

$$N(E) \approx 8.6 \times 10^{38} b_{100} \, (E/{
m GeV})^{-1.6} \exp{(-E/80 \, {
m GeV})} \, {
m GeV}^{-1} \, {
m s}^{-1},$$

 b_{100} pulsar birthrate normalized to $1/(100 \text{ yr})_{\circ}$, a_{\circ} , a

Interpreting PAMELA data with mature pulsars

Hooper et al. [astro-ph 0810.1527v2]

- ► Upper limit for the total injected energy at time *t* after pulsar "birth" $\approx 10^{49}$ erg for $P_0 = 40$ ms
- MC model for Galactic pulsar population, distribution from (Paczynski 1990, Sturner & Dermer 1996)
- Injection spectrum per pulsar given by the Galactic positron production rate as given by the ZC Model
- ► 3D diffusion model with $k = k_0 (1 + E/3 \text{GeV})^{0.6}$, $k_0 = 3.4 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$
- Primary electrons and secondary electrons/positrons from Moskalenko & Strong 1998
- Contributions of Geminga and B0656+14 is modelled in the context of the ST model
- Anisotropy (up to 0.5%) as way to distinguish from DM signal

Interpreting PAMELA data with mature pulsars



Positron spectrum (left) and positron fraction (right) for different pulsar birth rates (top) and varying local birth rate (bottom)

Interpreting PAMELA data with mature pulsars



Left: Contributions to the positron spectrum from B0656+14, Geminga and pulsars with D > 400 pc and positron fraction (right) for a Galactic pulsar birthrate of $1/25 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, injection spectrum $\propto E^{-1.5} exp(-E/600 \text{GeV})$ and 3×10^{47} erg in pairs.

Profumo [astro-ph 0812.4457v1,2]

- Uses ST, HR, CCY, ZC models to get total energy deposited in pairs up to time T after pulsar "birth"
- The total energy is then used as input for a ST model
- Comparison of the different models
- 3D diffusion model with parameters from Delahaye et al. 2008

 Anisotropy (up to 20% for CTA-1 pulsar) as way to distinguish from DM signal

Name	Distance [kpc]	Age [yr]	$\dot{E}~[{\rm ergs/s}]$	$E_{\rm out}$ [ST]	$E_{\rm out}$ [CCY]	$E_{\rm out}$ [HR]	$E_{\rm out}$ [ZC]	$f_{e^{\pm}}$	g
Geminga [J0633+1746]	0.16	3.42×10^5	3.2×10^{34}	0.360	0.344	0.013	0.053	0.005	0.70
Monogem [B0656+14]	0.29	1.11×10^5	3.8×10^{34}	0.044	0.133	0.006	0.020	0.020	0.70
Vela [B0833-45]	0.29	1.13×10^4	6.9×10^{36}	0.084	0.456	0.006	0.372	0.0015	0.14
B0355+54	1.10	5.64×10^{5}	4.5×10^{34}	1.366	0.677	0.022	0.121	0.2	0.61
Loop I [SNR]	0.17	$2 imes 10^5$		0.3				0.006	
Cygnus Loop [SNR]	0.44	$2 imes 10^4$		0.03				0.01	

Selected nearby pulsars and SNR, E_{out} in units of 10⁴⁸ erg, g is f from ZC model

Scenario	D_1	δ
MAX	1.8×10^{27}	0.55
MED	$3.4 imes 10^{27}$	0.7
MIN	2.3×10^{28}	0.46

Diffusion setups, D_1 is diffusion coefficient @ 1 GeV in cm²s⁻¹



Combined positron and electron flux



Positron fraction, secondary positrons/electrons from Moskalenko & Strong 1998



Combined positron and electron flux from CTA-1

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・ 日本



Likelihood contours for a single pulsar-like source for data from PAMELA (red) ATIC (green) combined (black). Blue E_{tot} contours in units of 10^{48} erg



Expected anisotropy

Pulsar Wind Nebulae (PWN)





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Crab in X-Rays (Chandra) (left) and optical (HST) (right)

Pulsar Wind Nebulae (PWN)



Pulsar as particle accelerators: Caliometric PWN

Assume:

- Energy source: rotational energy of NS (Spin-down energy L_{SD} = IΩΏ)
- Particles from the pulsar are re-accelerated at the pulsar shock ~> power law spectrum with index -1...-2
- Relativistic particles produced by the pulsar are confined inside the PWN

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- PWN breakup $\tau \approx$ 10 100 kyr after the birth of the pulsar
- PWN releases relativistic particles on breakup

• $N_{e^+} pprox N_{e^-}$

Pulsar as particle accelerators: Caliometric PWN

Büsching et al. [ApJ 678, L39 (2008)]

ST type injection at the pulsar wind shock (reacceleration at the shock):

$$\int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} Q'(E,t) E dE = f_{\text{part}} L_{\text{SD}}(t)$$

with

$$Q'(E, t) = \begin{cases} k'(t) \left(\frac{E}{E_B}\right)^{-2} & \text{for } E > E_B \\ k'(t) \left(\frac{E}{E_B}\right)^{-1} & \text{for } E < E_B \end{cases}$$

Fraction of the spin down power deposited in particles:

$$f_{\text{part}} = \eta \frac{1}{1+\sigma}$$

Magnetisation parameter

$$\sigma(t) = 0.003 \left(\frac{t}{1 \, \text{kyr}}\right)^{3/2}$$

Pulsar as particle accelerators: Caliometric PWN

Maximum particle energy at shock:

$$\Xi_{\max} = \epsilon \boldsymbol{e} \kappa \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+1}} \sqrt{\frac{L_{\mathrm{SD}}}{c}}$$

 $\epsilon = r_L/r_{\text{shock}} = 0.001 \dots 0.1$, $\kappa = 3$: Compression ratio at shock. Evolution of particle spectrum:

$$\frac{\partial Q(E,t)}{\partial t} - Q'(E,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left(B_{\text{PWN}}(t)^2 E^2 Q(E,t) \right)$$

Mean PWN magnetic field:

$$B_{\rm PWN}(t) = rac{1200}{\left(1 + t/{
m kyr}
ight)^2} \ [\mu {
m G}].$$

Particle spectrum at time T is:

$$Q(E, T) = \int_0^T Q'(E_0, t_0) E_0^2 E^{-2} \Theta(E_0 - E_{\min}) \Theta(E_{\max} - E_0) dt_0,$$

with

$$E_0 = \frac{E}{E \int_t^{t_0} B_{\text{PWN}}(t')^2 dt' + 1}$$

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うらぐ

Sources

Near pulsars with ages in the range $10^5 - 10^6$ yr

	Geminga	B0656+14	Unit	
Distance	0.16	0.29	kpc	
Age	340	110	kyr	
L _{SD}	3.2e+34	3.8e+34	erg/sec	
Magnetic field	1.63e+12	4.66e+12	G	
Р	0.237099	0.384891	sec	

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

(Manchester et al. 2005) www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat

Results: Geminga



long dashed: $k_0 = 0.1 \text{ kpc}^2 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, $P_0 = 40 \text{ ms}$, T = 20 kyrdot-dased: $k_0 = 0.1 \text{ kpc}^2 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, $P_0 = 40 \text{ ms}$, T = 60 kyrdashed: $k_0 = 0.1 \text{ kpc}^2 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, $P_0 = 60 \text{ ms}$, T = 20 kyrsolid: isotropic background (Barwick et al. 1998) (Büsching et al. 2008)

Results: B0656+14



long dashed: $k_0 = 0.1 \text{ kpc}^2 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, $P_0 = 40 \text{ ms}$, T = 20 kyrdot-dased: $k_0 = 0.1 \text{ kpc}^2 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, $P_0 = 40 \text{ ms}$, T = 60 kyrdashed: $k_0 = 0.1 \text{ kpc}^2 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, $P_0 = 60 \text{ ms}$, T = 20 kyrsolid: isotropic background (Barwick et al. 1998) (Büsching et al. 2008)

Interpreting PAMELA, ATIC UN H.E.S.S. data



left: electron/positron data from ATIC (Chang et al. 2008), fits to H.E.S.S. (Aharonian et al. 2008) and PPB-BETS (Yoshida 2008) data. positron data from Boezio et al. (2000), DuVernois et al. (2001) right: PAMELA positron fraction (Adriani e al. 2008)

Interpreting PAMELA, ATIC and H.E.S.S. data



Model for B0656+14: $P_0=100 \text{ ms}, \epsilon=0.01, T=30 \text{ kyr}, E_B=1.25 \text{ TeV}, k_0=0.0069 \text{ kpc}^2 \text{Myr}^{-1}$ Left: Combined electron positron flux Right: Positron fraction



- It is possible to explain the PAMELA and ATIC data with pulsars
- Expected: anisotropy in the direction of the outer Galaxy (for Geminga & B0656+14).
- Pulsar models can be constraint by CR data
- Possibility to constrain propagation parameters?
- Not discussed here Yüksel et al. [astro-ph 0810.2784]

・ロト・国ト・ヨト・ヨト ヨー ろくの