**Pre-proposal’s title**

Please use **an easily readable document layout** (A4 pages, Calibri 11 or equivalent, single spaced, 2cm margins, numbered pages).

**Proposals must be in PDF format and cannot exceed a four-page limit. No annexe are allowed.**

CVs of scientific coordinator and partner’s scientific leaders must be completed on-line, on the submission website.

**Preproposals must fulfil the two main evaluation criteria** : « Quality and scientific aim » and « Organisation and implementation of the project ». **Applicants are advised to consult the AAPG2023 guide for further information about different sub-criteria related to the chosen funding instrument**.

**No budget information is required in the scientific document,** given that no sub-criteria is linked to budget information in step 1.

# Pre-proposal’s context, positioning and objective(s)

**This paragraph refers to the evaluation criterion « Quality and scientific aim ». The following information should be detailed here:**

* Project’s objectives and research hypotheses;
* Position of the project as it relates to the state of the art;
* Methodology to reach the scientific objectives of the project, detailed description of the intended method(s), including its disciplinary coverage (mono-trans-inter-disciplinary) ;
* Added-value in terms of scientific contribution, concerning the object, the research issue, the methodology ; added-value in terms of knowledge production;
* Ability of the project to address the research issues covered by the chosen research theme (cf. §G. Scientific themes covered by the Generic Call for Proposals 2023, in the call text).

T**he criterion « Quality and scientific aims» will be the determining one:** only the projects having received an « A » will proceed to the second step of the evaluation process.

# Partnership (consortium or team)

***This paragraph refers to the evaluation criterion « Organisation and implementation of the project ». The following information should be detailed here:***

* **For a collaborative research project (PRC, PRCE),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project covered by the pre-proposal;
	+ consortium and its complementarity, involvement of each partner in the goal achievement: indicate the different skills to achieve the project, detail the identity of the scientists involved, their institution and any other information providing a framework for judging the quality and complementarity of the partners and of the effectiveness of the partnership.
* **For a mono-team project (PRME),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project covered by the pre-proposal including her/his involvement rate;
	+ team and its expertise to achieve the scientific goals, identity of the scientists involved and their involvement rate, demonstration of the sustainability of the team throughout the project.

Warning : the information must be identical to the one given in the certificate from the director of the laboratory (filled on the submission website).

* **For young researcher’s project (JCJC),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his position within the organisation of the host laboratory, her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project covered by the pre-proposal; year in which the doctoral thesis was defended and year of assumption of duties in the different organisation (including trial period or trainee);
	+ scientific coordinator’s team, its quality and complementarity to reach the objectives;
	+ project's capacity to promote the coordinator’s level of responsibility or development of the young researcher's own team.

# References related to the project

**This section refers to the evaluation criterion « Quality and scientific aim ».**

List of the bibliographical references used for the pre-proposal.

Please, provide “usable” references, i.e. including the first co-authors, complete title, title of the journal, year, etc. You can complete these references by indicating the « open access » link to improve accessibility for the reviewers.

Preprints are allowed, especially those referencing preliminary data.

Impact factors should not be mentioned.

**Bibliography is included in the “limit of 4 pages”.**