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## Exclusive processes are theoretically challenging

## How to deal with QCD?

example: Compton scattering


- Aim: describe $M$ by separating:
- quantities non-calculable perturbatively
some tools:
- Discretization of QCD on a 4-d lattice: numerical simulations
- $\mathrm{AdS} / \mathrm{CFT} \Rightarrow \mathrm{AdS} / \mathrm{QCD}: A d S_{5} \times S^{5} \leftrightarrow$ QCD

Polchinski, Strassler '01
for some issues related to Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):
B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, C. Roiesnel, S. W. Phys.Lett.B670 (2008) 84-90 for some issues related to Deep Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS):
C. Marquet, C. Roiesnel, S. W. JHEP 1004:051 (2010) 1-26

- pertubatively calculable quantities
- We will here focus on theory and phenomenology of exclusive processes for which the dynamics is governed by QCD in the perturbative regime


## Exclusive processes are phenomenologically challenging

Key question of QCD:
how to obtain and understand the tri-dimensional structure of hadrons in terms of quarks and gluons?

Can this be achieved using hard exclusive processes?

- The aim is to reduce the process to interactions involving a small number of partons (quarks, gluons), despite confinement
- This is possible if the considered process is driven by short distance phenomena ( $d \ll 1 \mathrm{fm}$ ) $\Longrightarrow \alpha_{s} \ll 1$ : Perturbative methods
- One should hit strongly enough a hadron Example: electromagnetic probe and form factor

$\tau$ electromagnetic interaction $\sim \mathcal{T}$ parton life time after interaction $\ll \tau$ caracteristic time of strong interaction

To get such situations in exclusive reactions is very challenging phenomenologically: the cross sections are very small

## Introduction

## Hard processes in QCD

- This is justified if the process is governed by a hard scale:
- virtuality of the electromagnetic probe
in elastic scattering $e^{ \pm} p \rightarrow e^{ \pm} p$
in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) $e^{ \pm} p \rightarrow e^{ \pm} X$
in Deep Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) $e^{ \pm} p \rightarrow e^{ \pm} p \gamma$
- Total center of mass energy in $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow X$ annihilation
- t-channel momentum exchange in meson photoproduction $\gamma p \rightarrow M p$
- A precise treatment relies on factorization theorems
- The scattering amplitude is described by the convolution of the partonic amplitude with the non-perturbative hadronic content


The partonic point of view... and its limitations

- Counting rules:

$$
F_{n}\left(q^{2}\right) \simeq \frac{C}{\left(Q^{2}\right)^{n-1}} \quad n=\text { number of minimal constituents: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { meson: } n=2 \\
\text { baryon: } n=3
\end{array}\right.
$$

Brodsky, Farrar '73

- Large angle (i.e. $s \sim t \sim u$ large) elastic processes $h_{a} h_{b} \rightarrow h_{a} h_{b}$
e.g. : $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ or $p p \rightarrow p p$
$\frac{d \sigma}{d t} \sim\left(\frac{\alpha_{S}\left(p_{\perp}^{2}\right)}{s}\right)^{n-2} n=\#$ of external fermionic lines $(n=8$ for $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi)$
Brodsky, Lepage ' 81
Other contributions might be significant, even at large angle: e.g. $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$


Brodsky Lepage mecanism: $\frac{d \sigma_{B L}}{d t} \sim\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{6}$


Landshoff '74 mecanism: $\frac{d \sigma_{L}}{d t} \sim\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{5}$

Accessing the perturbative proton content using inclusive processes no $1 / Q$ suppression
example: DIS


$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\gamma^{*} p} & =\left(q_{\gamma}^{*}+p_{p}\right)^{2}=4 E_{\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m} .}^{2} \\
Q^{2} & \equiv-q_{\gamma^{*}}^{2}>0 \\
x_{B} & =\frac{Q^{2}}{2 p_{p} \cdot q_{\gamma}^{*}} \simeq \frac{Q^{2}}{s_{\gamma^{*} p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $x_{B}=$ proton momentum fraction carried by the scattered quark
- $1 / Q=$ transverse resolution of the photonic probe $\ll 1 / \Lambda_{Q C D}$


## Introduction

DIS
The various regimes governing the perturbative content of the proton


- "usual" regime: $x_{B}$ moderate ( $x_{B} \gtrsim .01$ ):

Evolution in $Q$ governed by the QCD renormalization group
(Dokshitser, Gribov, Lipatov, Altarelli, Parisi equation)

$$
\sum_{n}\left(\alpha_{s} \ln Q^{2}\right)^{n}+\alpha_{s} \sum_{n}\left(\alpha_{s} \ln Q^{2}\right)^{n}+\cdots
$$

- perturbative Regge limit: $s_{\gamma^{*} p} \rightarrow \infty$ i.e. $x_{B} \sim Q^{2} / s_{\gamma^{*} p} \rightarrow 0$ in the perturbative regime (hard scale $Q^{2}$ )
(Balitski Fadin Kuraev Lipatov equation)

$$
\sum_{n}\left(\alpha_{s} \ln s\right)^{n}+\alpha_{s} \sum_{\text {NLLs }}\left(\alpha_{s} \ln s\right)^{n}+\cdots
$$

## From inclusive to exclusive processes

## Experimental effort

- Inclusive processes are not $1 / Q$ suppressed (e.g. DIS);

Exclusive processes are suppressed

- Going from inclusive to exclusive processes is difficult
- High luminosity accelerators and high-performance detection facilities

HERA (H1, ZEUS), HERMES, JLab@6 GeV (Hall A, CLAS), BaBar, Belle, BEPC-II (BES-III), LHC future: COMPASS-II, JLab@12 GeV, PANDA, LHeC, EIC, ILC

- What to do, and where?
- Proton form factor: JLab@6 GeV future: PANDA (timelike proton form factor through $p \bar{p} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$)
- $e^{+} e^{-}$in $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ single-tagged channel: Transition form factor $\gamma^{*} \gamma \rightarrow \pi$, exotic hybrid meson production BaBar, Belle, BES,...
- Deep Virtual Compton Scattering (GPD)

HERA (H1, ZEUS), HERMES, JLab@6 GeV future: JLab@12GeV, COMPASS-II, EIC, LHeC

- Non exotic and exotic hybrid meson electroproduction (GPD and DA), etc... NMC (CERN), E665 (Fermilab), HERA (H1, ZEUS), COMPASS, HERMES, CLAS (JLab)
- TDA (PANDA at GSI)
- TMDs (BaBar, Belle, COMPASS, ...)
- Diffractive processes, including ultraperipheral collisions LHC (with or without fixed targets), ILC, LHeC


## From inclusive to exclusive processes

## Theoretical efforts

Very important theoretical developments during the last decade

- Key words:

DAs, GPDs, GDAs, TDAs ... TMDs

- Fundamental tools:
- At medium energies: JLab, HERMES, COMPASS, BaBar, Belle, PANDA, EIC collinear factorization
- At asymptotical energies: HERA, Tevatron, LHC, LHeC, ILC (EIC and COMPASS at the boundary)
$k_{T}$-factorization
We will now explain and illustrate these concepts, and discuss issues and possible solutions...


## The ultimate picture



## Extensions from DIS

- DIS: inclusive process $\rightarrow$ forward amplitude $(t=0)$ (optical theorem)
(DIS: Deep Inelastic Scattering)
ex: $e^{ \pm} p \rightarrow e^{ \pm} X$ at HERA
$x \Rightarrow$ 1-dimensional structure

Structure Function
$=$ Coefficient Function $\otimes$ Parton Distribution Function (hard)
(soft)


- DVCS: exclusive process $\rightarrow$ non forward amplitude $\left(-t \ll s=W^{2}\right)$ (DVCS: Deep Vitual Compton Scattering)

Fourier transf.: $t \leftrightarrow$ impact parameter ( $x, t$ ) $\Rightarrow$ 3-dimensional structure

Amplitude
$=\underset{\text { (hard) }}{\text { Coefficient Function }} \otimes \underset{\text { (soft) }}{\text { Generalized }}$ Parton Distribution


## Extensions from DVCS

- Meson production: $\gamma$ replaced by $\rho, \pi, \cdots$

Amplitude
$=\underset{(\text { soft })}{\mathrm{GPD}} \otimes \underset{\text { (hard) }}{\mathrm{CF}} \otimes \otimes \underset{(\text { soft })}{\text { Distribution Amplitude }}$

Collins, Frankfurt, Strikman '97; Radyushkin '97

proofs valid only for some restricted cases [backup]

- Crossed process: $s \ll-t$

Amplitude
$=\underset{\text { (hard) }}{\text { Coefficient Function }} \otimes \underset{\text { (soft) }}{\text { Generalized Distribution Amplitude }}$

Diehl, Gousset, Pire, Teryaev '98


## Extensions from DVCS

- Starting from usual DVCS, one allows: initial hadron $\neq$ final hadron (in the same octuplet): transition GPDs

Even less diagonal:
baryonic number (initial state) $\neq$ baryonic number $_{\text {(final state) }} \rightarrow$ TDA Example:


Pire, Szymanowski '05
which can be further extended by replacing the outgoing $\gamma$ by any hadronic state

$$
\text { Amplitude }=\underset{\substack{\text { Transition Distribution Amplitude } \\ \text { (soft) }}}{\text { Thard) }} \underset{\text { (hard) }}{\mathrm{CF}} \otimes \underset{\text { (soft) }}{\mathrm{DA}}
$$

Lansberg, Pire, Szymanowski '06

## Extensions from DVCS

TDA at PANDA


TDA $\pi \rightarrow \gamma$
TDA $p \rightarrow \gamma$ at PANDA (forward scattering of $\bar{p}$ on a $p$ probe)


TDA $p \rightarrow \pi$ at PANDA (forward scattering of $\bar{p}$ on a $p$ probe)

Spectral model for the $p \rightarrow \pi$ TDA: Pire, Semenov, Szymanowski '10

## Collinear factorization

A bit more technical: DVCS and GPDs
The two steps for factorization, in a nutshell

- momentum factorization: light-cone vector dominance for $Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty$
$p_{1}, p_{2}$ : the two light-cone directions $\begin{cases}p_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{E}}{2}\left(1,0_{\perp}, 1\right) & p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}=0 \\ p_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}\left(1,0_{\perp},-1\right) & 2 p_{1} \cdot p_{2}=s \sim s_{\gamma^{*} p} \gtrsim Q^{2}\end{cases}$
Sudakov decomposition: $k=\alpha p_{1}+\beta p_{2}+k_{\perp}$
 key point:
large ( + ) $\times(-)$ flux
$\Rightarrow$ short distance
(masses neglected)
$\int d^{4} k S(k, k+\Delta) H(q, k, k+\Delta)=\int d k^{-} \int d k^{+} d^{2} k_{\perp} S(k, k+\Delta) H\left(q, k^{-}, k^{-}+\Delta^{-}\right)$
- Quantum numbers factorization (Fierz identity: spinors + color)

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{M}=\mathrm{GPD} \otimes \text { Hard part }
$$

## Collinear factorization

$\rho$-meson production: from the wave function to the
What is a $\rho-$ meson in QCD?
It is described by its wave function $\Psi$ which reduces in hard processes to its Distribution Amplitude

$\int d^{4} \ell M\left(q, \ell, \ell-p_{\rho}\right) \Psi\left(\ell, \ell-p_{\rho}\right)=\int d \ell^{+} M\left(q, \ell^{+}, \ell^{+}-p_{\rho}^{+}\right) \int d \ell^{-} \int^{\left|\ell_{\perp}^{2}\right|<\mu_{F}^{2}} d^{2} \ell_{\perp} \Psi\left(\ell, \ell-p_{\rho}\right)$
Hard part
DA $\Phi\left(u, \mu_{F}^{2}\right)$
(see Chernyak, Zhitnitsky '77; Brodsky, Lepage '79; Efremov, Radyushkin '80; ... in the case of form-factors studies)

## Collinear factorization

Meson electroproduction: factorization with a GPD and a DA

$\int d^{4} k d^{4} \ell$

$$
S(k, k+\Delta)
$$

$$
H(q, k, k+\Delta)
$$

$$
\Psi\left(\ell, \ell-p_{\rho}\right)
$$

$=\int d k^{-} d \ell^{+} \int d k^{+} \int^{\left|k_{\perp}^{2}\right|<\mu_{F_{2}}^{2}} d^{2} k_{\perp} S(k, k+\Delta) H\left(q ; k^{-}, k^{-}+\Delta^{-} ; \ell^{+}, \ell^{+}-p_{\rho}^{+}\right) \int d \ell^{-} \int^{\left|\ell_{\perp}^{2}\right|<\mu_{F_{1}}^{2}} d^{2} \ell_{\perp} \Psi\left(\ell, \ell-p_{\rho}\right)$ GPD $F\left(x, \xi, t, \mu_{F_{2}}^{2}\right) \quad$ Hard part $T\left(x / \xi, u, \mu_{F_{1}}^{2}, \mu_{F_{2}}^{2}, \mu_{R}^{2}\right) \quad$ DA $\Phi\left(u, \mu_{F_{1}}^{2}\right)$

Collins, Frankfurt, Strikman '97; Radyushkin '97

## Collinear factorization

Meson electroproduction: factorization with a GPD and a DA
The building blocks

$\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}$ : Dirac matrices compatible with quantum numbers: $C, P, T$, chirality

Similar structure for gluon exchange

## Collinear factorization

Meson electroproduction: factorization with a GPD and a DA
The building blocks


## Collinear factorization

## Physical interpretation for GPDs



Emission and reabsoption of an antiquark
~ PDFs for antiquarks DGLAP-II region

Emission of a quark and emission of an antiquark
$\sim$ meson exchange ERBL region

Emission and reabsoption of a quark
~ PDFs for quarks DGLAP-I region

## Collinear factorization

## Classification of twist 2 GPDs

- For quarks, one should distinguish the exchanges
- without helicity flip (chiral-even $\Gamma^{\prime}$ matrices): 4 chiral-even GPDs: $H^{q} \xrightarrow{\xi=0, t=0}$ PDF $q, E^{q}, \tilde{H}^{q} \xrightarrow{\xi=0, t=0}$ polarized PDFs $\Delta q, \tilde{E}^{q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{q} & =\left.\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d z^{+}}{2 \pi} e^{i x P^{-} z^{+}}\left\langle p^{\prime}\right| \bar{q}\left(-\frac{1}{2} z\right) \gamma^{-} q\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)|p\rangle\right|_{z^{-}=0, z_{\perp}=0} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 P^{-}}\left[H^{q}(x, \xi, t) \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{-} u(p)+E^{q}(x, \xi, t) \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \frac{i \sigma^{-\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}}{2 m} u(p)\right], \\
\tilde{F}^{q} & =\left.\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d z^{+}}{2 \pi} e^{i x P^{-} z^{+}}\left\langle p^{\prime}\right| \bar{q}\left(-\frac{1}{2} z\right) \gamma^{-} \gamma_{5} q\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)|p\rangle\right|_{z^{-}=0, z_{\perp}=0} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 P^{-}}\left[\tilde{H}^{q}(x, \xi, t) \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{-} \gamma_{5} u(p)+\tilde{E}^{q}(x, \xi, t) \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \frac{\gamma_{5} \Delta^{-}}{2 m} u(p)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

- with helicity flip ( chiral-odd $\Gamma^{\prime}$ mat.): 4 chiral-odd GPDs:
$H_{T}^{q} \xrightarrow{\xi=0, t=0}$ quark transversity PDFs $\Delta_{T} q, E_{T}^{q}, \tilde{H}_{T}^{q}, \tilde{E}_{T}^{q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d z^{+}}{2 \pi} e^{i x P^{-} z^{+}}\left\langle p^{\prime}\right| \bar{q}\left(-\frac{1}{2} z\right) i \sigma^{-i} q\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)|p\rangle\right|_{z^{-}=0, z_{\perp}=0} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 P^{-}} \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\left[H_{T}^{q} i \sigma^{-i}+\tilde{H}_{T}^{q} \frac{P^{-} \Delta^{i}-\Delta^{-} P^{i}}{m^{2}}+E_{T}^{q} \frac{\gamma^{-} \Delta^{i}-\Delta^{-} \gamma^{i}}{2 m}+\tilde{E}_{T}^{q} \frac{\gamma^{-} P^{i}-P^{-} \gamma^{i}}{m}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Collinear factorization

Twist 2 GPDs

## Classification of twist 2 GPDs

- analogously, for gluons:
- 4 gluonic GPDs without helicity flip:

```
\(H^{g} \xrightarrow{\xi=0, t=0}\) PDF \(x g\)
\(E^{g}\)
\(\tilde{H}^{g} \xrightarrow{\xi=0, t=0}\) polarized PDF \(x \Delta g\)
\(\tilde{E}^{g}\)
```

- 4 gluonic GPDs with helicity flip:
$H_{T}^{g}$
$E_{T}^{g}$
$\tilde{H}_{T}^{g}$
$\tilde{E}_{T}^{g}$
(no forward limit reducing to gluons PDFs here: a change of 2 units of helicity cannot be compensated by a spin $1 / 2$ target)


## A few applications

## Quark model and meson spectroscopy

- spectroscopy: $\vec{J}=\vec{L}+\vec{S}$; neglecting any spin-orbital interaction $\Rightarrow S, L=$ additional quantum numbers to classify hadron states

$$
\vec{J}^{2}=J(J+1), \quad \vec{S}^{2}=S(S+1), \quad \vec{L}^{2}=L(L+1)
$$

with $J=|L-S|, \cdots, L+S$

- In the usual quark-model: meson $=q \bar{q}$ bound state with

$$
C=(-)^{L+S} \quad \text { and } \quad P=(-)^{L+1}
$$

- Thus:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
S=0, & L=J, & J=0,1,2, \ldots: & J^{P C}=0^{-+}(\pi, \eta), 1^{+-}\left(h_{1}, b_{1}\right), 2^{-+}, 3^{+-}, \ldots \\
S=1, & L=0, & J=1: & J^{P C}=1^{--}(\rho, \omega, \phi) \\
& L=1, & J=0,1,2: & J^{P C}=0^{++}\left(f_{0}, a_{0}\right), 1^{++}\left(f_{1}, a_{1}\right), 2^{++}\left(f_{2}, a_{2}\right) \\
& L=2, & J=1,2,3: & J^{P C}=1^{--}, 2^{--}, 3^{--}
\end{array}
$$

- $\Rightarrow$ the exotic mesons with $J^{P C}=0^{--}, 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, \cdots$ are forbidden


## Experimental candidates for light hybrid mesons (1)

three candidates:

- $\pi_{1}(1400)$
- GAMS '88 (SPS, CERN): in $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^{0} n$ (through $\eta \pi^{0} \rightarrow 4 \gamma$ mode) $\mathrm{M}=1406 \pm 20 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=180 \pm 30 \mathrm{MeV}$
- E852 '97 (BNL): $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^{-} p$ $\mathrm{M}=1370 \pm 16 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=385 \pm 40 \mathrm{MeV}$
- VES '01 (Protvino) in $\pi^{-} B e \rightarrow \eta \pi^{-} B e, \pi^{-} B e \rightarrow \eta^{\prime} \pi^{-} B e$, $\pi^{-} B e \rightarrow b_{1} \pi^{-} B e$ $\mathrm{M}=1316 \pm 12 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=287 \pm 25 \mathrm{MeV}$ but resonance hypothesis ambiguous
- Crystal Barrel (LEAR, CERN) ' 98 ' 99 in $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \eta$ and $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 2 \pi^{0} \eta$ (through $\pi \eta$ resonance) $\mathrm{M}=1400 \pm 20 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=310 \pm 50 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\mathrm{M}=1360 \pm 25 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=220 \pm 90 \mathrm{MeV}$


## A few applications

Production of an exotic hybrid

## Experimental candidates for light hybrid mesons (2)

- $\pi_{1}(1600)$
- E852 (BNL): in peripheral $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p$ (through $\rho \pi^{-}$mode) '98 '02, $\mathrm{M}=1593 \pm 8 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=168 \pm 20 \mathrm{MeV} \pi^{-} p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} p$ (in $b_{1}(1235) \pi^{-} \rightarrow\left(\omega \pi^{0}\right) \pi^{-} \rightarrow\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}\right) \pi^{0} \pi^{-}{ }^{\prime} 05$ and $f_{1}(1285) \pi^{-}{ }^{\prime} 04$ modes), in peripheral $\pi^{-} p$ through $\eta^{\prime} \pi^{-}$'01 $\mathrm{M}=1597 \pm 10 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=340 \pm 40 \mathrm{MeV}$ but E852 (BNL) '06: no exotic signal in $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow(3 \pi)^{-} p$ for a larger sample of data!
- VES '00 (Protvino): in peripheral $\pi^{-} p$ through $\eta^{\prime} \pi^{-}$'93, '00, $\rho\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right) \pi^{-}$ ${ }^{\prime} 00, b_{1}(1235) \pi^{-} \rightarrow\left(\omega \pi^{0}\right) \pi^{-}$'00
- Crystal Barrel (LEAR, CERN) '03 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow b_{1}(1235) \pi \pi$
- COMPASS '10 (SPS, CERN): diffractive dissociation of $\pi^{-}$on Pb target through Primakov effect $\pi^{-} \gamma \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$(through $\rho \pi^{-}$mode) $\mathrm{M}=1660 \pm 10 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \Gamma=269 \pm 21 \mathrm{MeV}$
- $\pi_{1}(2000)$ : seen only at E852 (BNL) '04 '05 (through $f_{1}(1285) \pi^{-}$and $\left.b_{1}(1235) \pi^{-}\right)$


## What about hard processes?

- Is there a hope to see such states in hard processes, with high counting rates, and to exhibit their light-cone wave-function?
- hybrid mesons $=q \bar{q} g$ states T. Barnes '77; R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, and Z. Ryzak, G. S. Bali
- popular belief: $H=q \bar{q} g \Rightarrow$ higher Fock-state component $\Rightarrow$ twist-3 $\Rightarrow$ hard electroproduction of $H$ versus $\rho$ suppressed as $1 / Q$
- This is not true!! Electroproduction of hybrid is similar to electroproduction of usual $\rho$-meson: it is twist 2 dominated
I. V. Anikin, B. Pire, O. V. Teryaev, L. Szymanowski, S.W. '04


## A few applications

Distribution amplitude of exotic hybrid mesons at twist 2

- One may think that to produce $|q \bar{q} g\rangle$, the fields $\Psi, \bar{\Psi}, A$ should appear explicitly in the non-local operator $\mathcal{O}(\Psi, \bar{\Psi} A)$

- If one tries to produce $H=1^{-+}$from a local operator, the dominant operator should be $\bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} G_{\mu \nu} \Psi$ of twist $=$ dimension - spin $=5-1=4$
- It means that there should be a $1 / Q^{2}$ suppression in the production amplitude of $H$ versus the usual $\rho$-production (which is twist 2 dominated)
- But collinear approach describes hard exclusive processes in terms of non-local light-cone operators, among which are the twist 2 operator

$$
\bar{\psi}(-z / 2) \gamma_{\mu}[-z / 2 ; z / 2] \psi(z / 2)
$$

where $[-z / 2 ; z / 2]$ is a Wilson line, necessary to fullfil gauge invariance (i.e. a "color tube" between $q$ and $\bar{q}$ ) which thus hides gluonic degrees of freedom: the needed gluon is there, at twist 2.
This does not requires to introduce explicitely $A$ !

## A few applications

## Accessing the partonic structure of exotic hybrid mesons

- Electroproduction $\gamma^{*} p \rightarrow H^{0} p$ : JLab, COMPASS, EIC

- Channels $\gamma^{*} \gamma \rightarrow H$ and $\gamma^{*} \gamma \rightarrow \pi \eta$ : BaBar, Belle, BES-III

I. V. Anikin, B. Pire, O. V. Teryaev, L. Szymanowski, S.W.

Eur.Phys.J.C47 (2006)
$\Longrightarrow$ the partonic content of exotic hybrid meson is experimentally accessible This is very complementary to spectroscopy studies, e.g. GLUEx (JLab@12Gev, Hall D) devotted to hybrid meson studies (with a photon source based on a diamond crystal)

## A few applications

## What is transversity?

- Tranverse spin content of the proton:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
|\uparrow\rangle_{(x)} & \sim & |\rightarrow\rangle+|\leftarrow\rangle \\
|\downarrow\rangle_{(x)} & \sim & |\rightarrow\rangle-|\leftarrow\rangle \\
\text { spin along } x & & \text { helicity state }
\end{array}
$$

- An observable sensitive to helicity spin flip gives thus access to the transversity $\Delta_{T} q(x)$, which is very badly known (first data have recently been obtained by COMPASS)
- The transversity GPDs are completely unknown
- Chirality: $\quad q_{ \pm}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \gamma^{5}\right) q(z)$ with $q(z)=q_{+}(z)+q_{-}(z)$ Chiral-even: chirality conserving $\bar{q}_{ \pm}(z) \gamma^{\mu} q_{ \pm}(-z)$ and $\bar{q}_{ \pm}(z) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} q_{ \pm}(-z)$
Chiral-odd: chirality reversing

$$
\bar{q}_{ \pm}(z) \cdot 1 \cdot q_{\mp}(-z), \quad \bar{q}_{ \pm}(z) \cdot \gamma^{5} \cdot q_{\mp}(-z) \text { and } \bar{q}_{ \pm}(z)\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] q_{\mp}(-z)
$$

- For a massless (anti)particle, chirality $=(-)$ helicity
- Transversity is thus a chiral-odd quantity
- QCD and QED are chiral even $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \sim(\text { Ch.-odd })_{1} \otimes(\text { Ch.-odd })_{2}$


## How to get access to transversity?

- The dominant DA for $\rho_{T}$ is of twist 2 and chiral-odd ([ $\left.\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right]$ coupling)
- Unfortunately $\gamma^{*} N^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \rho_{T} N^{\prime}=0$
- this is true at any order in perturbation theory (i.e. corrections as powers of $\alpha_{s}$ ), since this would require a transfer of 2 units of helicity from the proton: impossible!
Diehl, Gousset, Pire '99; Collins, Diehl '00
- diagrammatic argument at Born order:


$$
\text { vanishes: } \gamma^{\alpha}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] \gamma_{\alpha}=0
$$

## Can one circumvent this vanishing?

- This vanishing is true only a twist 2
- At twist 3 this process does not vanish
- However processes involving twist 3 DAs may face problems with factorization (end-point singularities: see [back-up])
- Classification of twist 3 chiral-odd GPDs: see later based on our Light-Cone Collinear Factorization framework recently developped (Pire, Szymanowski, S. W.)


## A few applications

$$
\gamma N \rightarrow \pi^{+} \rho_{T}^{0} N^{\prime} \text { gives access to transversity }
$$

- Factorization à la Brodsky Lepage of $\gamma+\pi \rightarrow \pi+\rho$ at large $s$ and fixed angle (i.e. fixed ratio $t^{\prime} / s, u^{\prime} / s$ )
$\Longrightarrow$ factorization of the amplitude for $\gamma+N \rightarrow \pi+\rho+N^{\prime}$ at large $M_{\pi \rho}^{2}$

- a typical non-vanishing diagram:

M. El Beiyad, P. Pire, M. Segond, L. Szymanowski, S.W

Phys.Lett.B688:154-167,2010
see also, at large $s$, with Pomeron exchange:
R. Ivanov, B. Pire, L. Symanowski, O. Teryaev '02
R. Enberg, B. Pire, L. Symanowski '06

- These processes with 3 body final state can give access to all GPDs: $M_{\pi \rho}^{2}$ plays the role of the $\gamma^{*}$ virtuality of usual DVCS (here in the time-like domain) JLab, COMPASS


## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS

DVCS and TCS


Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering

$$
l N \rightarrow l^{\prime} N^{\prime} \gamma
$$



Timelike Compton Scattering

$$
\gamma N \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-} N^{\prime}
$$

- TCS versus DVCS:
- universality of the GPDs
- another source for GPDs (special sensitivity on real part)
- spacelike-timelike crossing and understanding the structure of the NLO corrections
- Where to measure TCS? In Ultra Peripheral Collisions LHC, JLab, COMPASS, AFTER


## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS <br> DVCS and TCS at NLO

One loop contributions to the coefficient function


(2)
Belitsky, Mueller, Niedermeier, Schafer, Phys.Lett.B474, 2000
Pire, Szymanowski, Wagner
Phys.Rev.D83, 2011

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\mu \nu}=g_{T}^{\mu \nu} \int_{-1}^{1} d x\left[\sum_{q}^{n_{F}} T^{q}(x) F^{q}(x)+T^{g}(x) F^{g}(x)\right]
$$

(symmetric part of the factorised amplitude)

## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS

## Resummations effects are expected

- The renormalized quark coefficient functions $T^{q}$ is


$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{q}=C_{0}^{q}+C_{1}^{q}+C_{\text {coll }}^{q} \log \frac{\left|Q^{2}\right|}{\mu_{F}^{2}} \\
& C_{0}^{q}=e_{q}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{x-\xi+i \varepsilon}-(x \rightarrow-x)\right) \\
& C_{1}^{q}=\frac{e_{q}^{2} \alpha_{S} C_{F}}{4 \pi(x-\xi+i \varepsilon)}\left[\log ^{2}\left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \varepsilon\right)+\ldots\right]-(x \rightarrow-x)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Usual collinear approach: single-scale analysis w.r.t. $Q^{2}$
- Consider the invariants $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} & =\frac{x-\xi}{2 \xi} Q^{2} & \ll Q^{2} & \text { when } x \rightarrow \xi \\
\mathcal{U} & =-\frac{x+\xi}{2 \xi} Q^{2} & \ll Q^{2} & \text { when } x \rightarrow-\xi
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ two scales problem; threshold singularities to be resummed analogous to the $\log \left(x-x_{B j}\right)$ resummation for DIS coefficient functions

## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS

## Soft-collinear resummation effects for the coefficient function

- The resummation easier when using the axial gauge $p_{1} \cdot A=0\left(p_{\gamma} \equiv p_{1}\right)$
- The dominant diagram are ladder-like [backup]
resummed formula (for DVCS), for $x \rightarrow \xi$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T^{q}\right)^{\mathrm{res}}=\left(\frac { e _ { q } ^ { 2 } } { x - \xi + i \epsilon } \left\{\cosh \left[D \log \left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right)\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{D^{2}}{2}\left[9+3 \frac{\xi-x}{x+\xi} \log \left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \left.\quad+C_{\text {coll }}^{q} \log \frac{Q^{2}}{\mu_{F}^{2}}\right)-(x \rightarrow-x) \quad \text { with } \quad D=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{2 \pi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

T. Altinoluk, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, S. W.

JHEP 1210 (2012) 49; [arXiv:1206.3115]

- Our analysis can be used for the gluon coefficient function [In progress].
- The measurement of the phenomenological impact of this procedure on the data analysis needs further analysis with the implementation of modeled generalized parton distributions [backup].


## QCD at large s

Theoretical motivations

## A particular regime for QCD:

The perturbative Regge limit $s \rightarrow \infty$
Consider the diffusion of two hadrons $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ :

- $\sqrt{s}\left(=E_{1}+E_{2}\right.$ in the center-of-mass system) $\gg$ other scales (masses, transfered momenta, ...) eg $x_{B} \rightarrow 0$ in DIS
- other scales comparable (virtualities, etc...) $\gg \Lambda_{Q C D}$
regime $\alpha_{s} \ln s \sim 1 \Longrightarrow$ dominant sub-series:

with $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0)-1=C \alpha_{s}(C>0)$ hard Pomeron (Balitsky, Fadin, Kuraev, Lipatov)
- This result violates QCD $S$ matrix unitarity $\left(S S^{\dagger}=S^{\dagger} S=1\right.$ i.e. $\sum$ Prob. $\left.=1\right)$
- Until when this result could be applicable, and how to improve it?
- How to test this dynamics experimentally, in particular based on exclusive processes?

$$
\gamma^{*} \gamma^{*} \rightarrow \rho \rho \text { as an example }
$$

- Use Sudakov decomposition $k=\alpha p_{1}+\beta p_{2}+k_{\perp}\left(p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}=0,2 p_{1} \cdot p_{2}=s\right)$
- write

$$
d^{4} k=\frac{s}{2} d \alpha d \beta d^{2} k_{\perp}
$$

- t-channel gluons with non-sense polarizations $\left(\epsilon_{N S}^{u p}=\frac{2}{s} p_{2}, \epsilon_{N S}^{d o w n}=\frac{2}{s} p_{1}\right)$ dominate at large $s$


Impact representation for exclusive processes $\quad \underline{k}=$ Eucl. $\leftrightarrow k_{\perp}=$ Mink.
$\mathcal{M}=i s \int \frac{d^{2} \underline{k}}{(2 \pi)^{2} \underline{k}^{2}(\underline{r}-\underline{k})^{2}} \Phi^{\gamma^{*}\left(q_{1}\right) \rightarrow \rho\left(p_{1}^{\rho}\right)}(\underline{k}, \underline{r}-\underline{k}) \Phi^{\gamma^{*}\left(q_{2}\right) \rightarrow \rho\left(p_{2}^{\rho}\right)}(-\underline{k},-\underline{r}+\underline{k})$
$\Phi^{\gamma^{*}\left(q_{1}\right) \rightarrow \rho\left(p_{1}^{\rho}\right)}: \quad \gamma_{L, T}^{*}(q) g\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow \rho_{L, T} g\left(k_{2}\right)$ impact factor


Gauge invariance of QCD:

- probes are color neutral $\Rightarrow$ their impact factor should vanish when $\underline{k} \rightarrow 0$ or $\underline{r}-\underline{k} \rightarrow 0$
- At twist-3 level (for the $\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}$ transition), gauge invariance is a non-trivial constraint when combining 2- and 3-body correlators


## QCD at large $s$ <br> Phenomenological applications: Meson production at HERA

## Diffractive meson production at HERA

HERA (DESY, Hambourg): first and single $e^{ \pm} p$ collider (1992-2007)

- The "easy" case (from factorization point of view): $J / \Psi$ production ( $u \sim 1 / 2$ : non-relativistic limit for bound state) combined with $k_{T}$-factorisation Ryskin '93; Frankfurt, Koepf, Strikman '98; Ivanov, Kirschner, Schäfer, Szymanowski '00; Motyka, Enberg, Poludniowski '02
- Exclusive vector meson photoproduction at large $t$ (= hard scale): $\gamma(q)+P \rightarrow \rho_{L, T}\left(p_{1}\right)+P$
based on $k_{T}$-factorization:
Forshaw, Ryskin '95; Bartels, Forshaw, Lotter, Wüsthoff '96; Forshaw, Motyka, Enberg, Poludniowski '03
- H1, ZEUS data seems to favor BFKL
- but end-point singularities for $\rho_{T}$ are regularized with a quark mass: $m=m_{\rho} / 2$
- the spin density matrix is badly described
- Exclusive electroproduction of vector meson $\gamma_{L, T}^{*}(q)+P \rightarrow \rho_{L, T}\left(p_{1}\right)+P \quad$ Goloskokov, Kroll '05
based on improved collinear factorization for the coupling with the meson DA and collinear factorization for GPD coupling


## Polarization effects in $\gamma^{*} P \rightarrow \rho P$ at HERA

- Very precise experimental data on the spin density matrix (i.e. correlations between $\gamma^{*}$ and $\rho$ polarizations)
- for $t=t_{\text {min }}$ one can experimentally distinguish
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma_{L}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{L}: \text { dominates ("twist 2": amplitude }|\mathcal{A}| \sim \frac{1}{Q} \text { ) } \\ \gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}: \text { visible ("twist 3": amplitude }|\mathcal{A}| \sim \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \text { ) }\end{array}\right.$
- How to calculate the $\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}$ transition from first principles?
- Can one avoid end-point singularities?



## QCD at large s

Phenomenological applications: Meson production at HERA
Diffractive exclusive process $e^{-} p \rightarrow e^{-} p \rho_{L, T}$

$$
p
$$

$p$



Using a simple model for the proton impact factor:


Exclusive $\gamma^{(*)} \gamma^{(*)}$ processes $=$ gold place for testing QCD at large $s$
Proposals in order to test perturbative QCD in the large $s$ limit ( $t$-structure of the hard Pomeron, saturation, Odderon...)

- $\gamma^{(*)}(q)+\gamma^{(*)}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow J / \Psi J / \Psi$ Kwiecinski, Motyka '98
- $\gamma_{L, T}^{*}(q)+\gamma_{L, T}^{*}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \rho_{L}\left(p_{1}\right)+\rho_{L}\left(p_{2}\right)$ process in $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \rho_{L}\left(p_{1}\right)+\rho_{L}\left(p_{2}\right)$ with double tagged lepton at ILC

Pire, Szymanowski, S. W. '04; Pire, Szymanowski, Enberg, S. W. '06; Ivanov, Papa '06; Segond, Szymanowski, S. W. '07
conclusion: feasible at ILC (high energy and high luminosity); BFKL NLL enhancement with respect to Born and DGLAP contributions

- What about the Odderon? $C$-parity of Odderon $=-1$ consider $\gamma+\gamma \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}: \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pair has no fixed $C$-parity
$\Rightarrow$ Odderon and Pomeron can interfere
$\Rightarrow$ Odderon appears linearly in the charge asymmetry
Pire, Schwennsen, Szymanowski, S. W. '07
= example of possibilities offered by ultraperipheral exclusive processes at LHC [backup]
( $p, \bar{p}$ or $A$ as effective sources of photon)
but the distinction with pure QCD processes (with gluons intead of a photon) is tricky...


# Testing QCD in the perturbative Regge limit at LHC 

Mueller-Navelet jets : the only observable for which a full NLO BFKL analysis is available
D. Colferai, F. Schwennsen, L. Szymanowski, S. W., JHEP 1012:026 (2010) 1-72
B. Ducloué, L. Szymanowsi, S. W., JHEP 1305 (2013) 096.


Surprisingly small decorrelation
Predictions are stable with respect to
$s_{0}, \mu_{F}$, PDFs, in the range $4.5<\mathrm{Y}<8$


## QCD at large s

Most recent signs of BFKL dynamics at LHC


## QCD at large $s$

Most recent signs of BFKL dynamics at LHC
With Brodsky-Lepage-Mackenzie renormalization scale fixing: no free-parameter!
B. Ducloué, L. Szymanowski, S. W. [arXiv:1309.3229]






## Testing QCD in the perturbative Regge limit at LHC

Mueller-Navelet jets : another mechanism ?


BFKL ladder


Color Glass Condensate ?
$\sim$ MPI at small x ?


Similar issues for the ridge effect in $\mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{pA}$

Multiparton interactions (MPI) : accessing to correlations between two partons inside a nucleon?


## Beyond leading twist <br> Light-Cone Collinear Factorization versus Covariant Collinear Factorization

- The Light-Cone Collinear Factorization, a new self-consistent method, while non-covariant, is very efficient for practical computations Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, Szymanowski, S.W. '09
- inspired by the inclusive case Ellis, Furmanski, Petronzio '83; Efremov, Teryaev '84
- axial gauge
- parametrization of matrix element along a light-like prefered direction $z=\lambda n\left(n=2 p_{2} / s\right)$.
- non-local correlators are defined along this prefered direction, with contributions arising from Taylor expansion up to needed term for a given twist order computation
- their number is then reduced to a minimal set combining equations of motion and $n$-independency condition
- Another approach (Braun, Ball), fully covariant but much less convenient when practically computing coefficient functions, can equivalently be used
- We have established the dictionnary between these two approaches
- This as been explicitly checked for the $\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}$ impact factor at twist 3 Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, Szymanowski, S.W.
Nucl.Phys.B 828 (2010) 1-68; Phys.Lett.B682 (2010) 413


## Beyond leading twist :

Light-Cone Collinear Factorization

- The impact factor $\Phi^{\gamma^{*}\left(\lambda_{\gamma}\right) \rightarrow \rho\left(\lambda_{\rho}\right)}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\Phi^{\gamma^{*}\left(\lambda_{\gamma}\right) \rightarrow \rho\left(\lambda_{\rho}\right)}=\int d^{4} \ell \cdots \operatorname{tr}\left[H^{\left(\lambda_{\gamma}\right)}(\ell \cdots)\right. & \left.S^{\left(\lambda_{\rho}\right)}(\ell \cdots)\right] \\
\text { hard part } & \text { soft part }
\end{array}
$$


(3-parton exchange)


- Soft parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q \bar{q}}\left(\ell_{q}\right) & =\int d^{4} z e^{-i \ell_{q} \cdot z}\langle\rho(p)| \psi(0) \bar{\psi}(z)|0\rangle \\
S_{q \bar{q} q}\left(\ell_{q}, \ell_{g}\right) & =\int d^{4} z_{1} \int d^{4} z_{2} e^{-i\left(\ell_{q} \cdot z_{1}+\ell_{g} \cdot z_{2}\right)}\langle\rho(p)| \psi(0) g A_{\alpha}^{\perp}\left(z_{2}\right) \bar{\psi}\left(z_{1}\right)|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Light-Cone Collinear Factorization

- Sudakov expansion in the basis $p \sim p_{\rho}, n\left(p^{2}=n^{2}=0\right.$ and $\left.p \cdot n=1\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ell_{\mu}=u p_{\mu}+\ell_{\mu}^{\perp}+(\ell \cdot p) n_{\mu}, \quad u=\ell \cdot n \\
1 \\
1 / Q
\end{gathered}
$$

- Taylor expansion of the hard part $H(\ell)$ along the collinear direction $p$ :

$$
H(\ell)=H(u p)+\left.\frac{\partial H(\ell)}{\partial \ell_{\alpha}}\right|_{\ell=u p}(\ell-u p)_{\alpha}+\ldots \quad \text { with } \quad(\ell-u p)_{\alpha} \approx \ell_{\alpha}^{\perp}
$$

- $l_{\alpha}^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\text { Fourier }}$ derivative of the soft term: $\int d^{4} z e^{-i \ell \cdot z}\langle\rho(p)| \psi(0) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\alpha^{\perp}}} \bar{\psi}(z)|0\rangle$
- Color + spinor factorization $=$ Fierz transforms:



## Beyond leading twist :

## 2-body non-local correlators

- vector correlator
kinematical twist 3 (WW) genuine twist 3
genuine + kinematical twist 3

$$
\langle\rho(p)| \bar{\psi}(z) \gamma_{\mu} \psi(0)|0\rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} m_{\rho} f_{\rho}\left[\varphi_{1}(y)\left(e^{*} \cdot n\right) p_{\mu}+\varphi_{3}(y) e_{\mu}^{* T}\right]
$$

- axial correlator

$$
\langle\rho(p)| \bar{\psi}(z) \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} \psi(0)|0\rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} m_{\rho} f_{\rho} i \varphi_{A}(y) \varepsilon_{\mu \lambda \beta \delta} e_{\lambda}^{* T} p_{\beta} n_{\delta}
$$

- vector correlator with transverse derivative

$$
\langle\rho(p)| \bar{\psi}(z) \gamma_{\mu} i \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\alpha}^{\perp}} \psi(0)|0\rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} m_{\rho} f_{\rho} \varphi_{1}^{T}(y) p_{\mu} e_{\alpha}^{* T}
$$

- axial correlator with transverse derivative

$$
\langle\rho(p)| \bar{\psi}(z) \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} i \stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\partial_{\alpha}^{\perp}} \psi(0)|0\rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} m_{\rho} f_{\rho} i \varphi_{A}^{T}(y) p_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\alpha \lambda \beta \delta} e_{\lambda}^{* T} p_{\beta} n_{\delta}
$$

where $y(\bar{y} \equiv 1-y)=$ momentum fraction along $p \equiv p_{1}$ of the quark (antiquark) and

$$
\stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} \int_{0}^{1} d y \exp [i y p \cdot z], \text { with } z=\lambda n
$$

$\Rightarrow 5$ 2-body DAs

## Beyond leading twist :

- vector correlator

$$
\langle\rho(p)| \bar{\psi}\left(z_{1}\right) \gamma_{\mu} g A_{\alpha}^{T}\left(z_{2}\right) \psi(0)|0\rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_{2}}{=} m_{\rho} f_{3}^{V} B\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) p_{\mu} e_{\alpha}^{* T}
$$

- axial correlator

$$
\langle\rho(p)| \bar{\psi}\left(z_{1}\right) \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} g A_{\alpha}^{T}\left(z_{2}\right) \psi(0)|0\rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_{2}}{=} m_{\rho} f_{3}^{A} i D\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) p_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\alpha \lambda \beta \delta} e_{\lambda}^{* T} p_{\beta} n_{\delta}
$$

where $y_{1}, \bar{y}_{2}, y_{2}-y_{1}=$ quark, antiquark, gluon momentum fraction

$$
\text { and } \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_{2}}{=} \int_{0}^{1} d y_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d y_{2} \exp \left[i y_{1} p \cdot z_{1}+i\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) p \cdot z_{2}\right], \text { with } z_{1,2}=\lambda n
$$

$\Rightarrow 2$ 3-body DAs

## Beyond leading twist :

Light-Cone Collinear Factorization

## Minimal set of DAs

- Number of non-perturbative quantities: a priori 7 at twist 3 (5 2-parton DA and 2 2-parton DA)
- Non-perturbative correlators cannot be obtained perturbatively!
- One should reduce their number to a minimal set before any use of a model or any measure on the QCD lattice
- independence w.r.t the choice of the vector $n$ defining
- the light-cone direction $z: z=\lambda n$
- the $\rho_{T}$ polarization vector: $e_{T} \cdot n=0$
- the axial gauge: $n \cdot A=0$
$\mathcal{A}=H \otimes S \quad \frac{d \mathcal{A}}{d n_{\perp}^{\mu}}=0 \Rightarrow S$ are related

- We have proven that 3 independent Distribution Amplitudes are necessary:
$\begin{cases}\text { QCD equations of motion } & 2 \text { equations } \\ \text { Arbitrariness in the choice of } n & 2 \text { equations }\end{cases}$

```
\varphi (y) < 2-body twist 2 correlator
B(}\mp@subsup{y}{1}{},\mp@subsup{y}{2}{})\quad\leftarrow3\mathrm{ -body genuine twist }3\mathrm{ vector correlator
D(y1, y2) \leftarrow 3-body genuine twist 3 axial correlator
```


## Beyond leading twist :

Dipole representation and saturation effects

## The dipole picture at high energy

A key, inspiring and powerful paradigm for inclusive, diffractive, exclusive processes in e-p, p-p, $p-A, \ldots$


- Initial $\Psi_{i}$ and final $\Psi_{f}$ states wave functions of projectiles
- Primitive picture: proton $=$ color dipole scattering amplitude for two $t$ - channel exchanged gluons:

$$
\mathcal{N}(\underline{r}, \underline{k})=\frac{4 \pi \alpha_{s}}{N_{c}}\left(1-e^{i \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}}\right)\left(1-e^{-i \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}}\right)
$$

- Real proton: $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{\text {dipole-target }}=$ universal scattering amplitude
- color transparency for small $r_{\perp}: \hat{\sigma}_{\text {dipole-target }} \sim r_{\perp}^{2}$
- saturation for large $r_{\perp} \sim 1 / Q_{\text {sat }}: T \lesssim 1 \quad$ Golec-Biernat Wusthoff '98
- Data for $\rho$ production calls for models encoding saturation Munier, Stasto, Mueller '04; Kowalski, Motyka, Watt '06
- The dipole representation is consistent with the twist 2 collinear factorization


## A dipole picture beyond leading twist?

- New: the dipole picture is still consistent with collinear factorization at higher twist order:

twist $2+$ kinematical twist 3

genuine twist 3
A. Besse, L. Szymanowski, S. W., NPB 867 (2013) 19-60
- key ideas:
- reformulate the Light-Cone Collinear Factorization in the Fourier conjugated coordinate space: $\ell_{\perp} \leftrightarrow r_{\perp}$
- use QCD equations of motion


## Beyond leading twist :

Factorization in coordinate space: the 2-parton contribution
Light-Cone Collinear Factorization in the coordinate space

- Recall: impact factors $\Phi_{q \bar{q}}^{\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \rho}=-\frac{1}{4} \int d^{4} \ell \operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{q \underline{q}} \Gamma\right)(\ell) S_{q \underline{q} \Gamma}(\ell)$
- Collinear approximation $\Rightarrow$ expansion around $\ell_{\perp}=0$ :

Gives the moments of $S_{q \underline{q} \Gamma}$

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{q \underline{q}} \Gamma\right)(\ell)=\int \frac{d^{2} r_{\perp}}{2 \pi} \tilde{H}_{q \underline{q}}^{\Gamma}\left(y, r_{\perp}\right) e^{-i \ell_{\perp} \cdot r_{\perp}}=\int \frac{d^{2} r_{\perp}}{2 \pi} \underbrace{\tilde{H}_{q \underline{q}}^{\Gamma}\left(y, r_{\perp}\right)}_{\text {factorizes out }} \overbrace{(\underbrace{1-i \ell_{\perp} \cdot r_{\perp}}_{\text {twist } 2 \text { and } 3}+\cdots)}^{\overbrace{1}}
$$

- 2-parton impact factor up to twist 3 (Wandzura-Wilczek (WW) approximation):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{q \bar{q}}^{\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \rho}=-\frac{1}{4} m_{\rho} f_{\rho} \int d y \int \frac{d^{2} r_{\perp}}{(2 \pi)}\left\{\tilde{H}_{q \underline{q}}^{\gamma, \mu}(y, \underline{r})\left(\varphi_{3}(y) e_{\rho \mu}^{*}+i \varphi_{1}^{T}(y) p_{1 \mu}\left(\underline{e}_{\rho}^{*} \cdot \underline{r}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\tilde{H}_{q \underline{q}}^{\gamma_{5} \gamma, \mu}(y, \underline{r})\left(i \varphi_{A}(y) \varepsilon_{\mu e_{\rho}^{*} p_{1} n}+\varphi_{A}^{T}(y) p_{1 \mu} \varepsilon_{r_{\perp} e_{\rho}^{*} p_{1} n}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The Fourier transform of the hard part gives:

Cancels due to EOM in WW approx.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{q \underline{q}}^{\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \rho}= & \int d y \int d^{2} \underline{r} \psi_{(q \underline{q})}^{\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}} \times \mathcal{N}(\underline{r}, \underline{k})+\operatorname{Hard} \text { Terms } \times \overbrace{\left(2 y \bar{y} \varphi_{3}(y)+(y-\bar{y}) \varphi_{1}^{T}(y)+\varphi_{A}^{T}(y)\right)} \\
& \Rightarrow \text { dipole picture! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Beyond leading twist :

Factorization in coordinate space: the 2-parton contribution

## WW approximation: interpretation

- Scanning the $\rho$-meson wave function:


$$
\underbrace{\phi_{\lambda_{\rho}, h}^{W W}(y, \underline{r})} \propto\left(\underline{e}^{\left(\lambda_{\rho}\right)} \cdot \underline{r}\right) \frac{y \delta_{h, \lambda_{\rho}}+\bar{y} \delta_{h,-\lambda_{\rho}}}{y \bar{y}} \int^{\left|\ell_{\perp}\right|<\mu_{F}} d^{2} \ell_{\perp} \ell_{\perp}^{2} \varphi_{\lambda_{\rho}}^{(q \underline{q})}\left(y, \ell_{\perp}\right)
$$

$\sim$ combination of DAs

## Beyond leading twist :

Factorization in coordinate space: the complete twist 3 contribution

- The 3-parton amplitude in transverse coordinate space at twist 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{q \underline{q} g}^{\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \rho}=- & \frac{i m_{\rho} f_{\rho}}{4} \int d y_{1} d y_{g} \int \frac{d^{2} r_{1 \perp}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \frac{d^{2} r_{g \perp}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left[\zeta_{3 \rho}^{V} B\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) p_{\mu} e_{\rho \perp \alpha} \tilde{H}_{q \underline{q} g}^{\alpha, \gamma^{\mu}}\left(y_{1}, y_{g}, r_{1 \perp}, r_{g \perp}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\zeta_{3 \rho}^{A} i D\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) p_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\alpha e_{\rho \perp} p n} \tilde{H}_{q \underline{q} g}^{\alpha, \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}}\left(y_{1}, y_{g}, r_{1 \perp}, r_{g \perp}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- 3-partons exchanged; however, no quadrupole structure involved (even at finite $N_{c}$, beyond the 't Hooft limit)
- 3-partons results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{q \underline{q} g}^{\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}} \propto \int d y_{1} \int d y_{2} \int d^{2} \underline{r} \psi_{(q \underline{q} g)}^{\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \underline{r}\right) \times \mathcal{N}(\underline{r}, \underline{k})+\int d y_{1} d y_{2} \frac{2 S\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{\bar{y}_{1}} \\
& \left(S\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\zeta_{\rho}^{V}\left(\mu^{2}\right) B\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \mu^{2}\right)+\zeta_{\rho}^{A}\left(\mu^{2}\right) D\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \mu^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Full twist 3 impact factor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}}=\Phi_{q \underline{q}}^{\gamma_{T}^{*}} \rightarrow \rho_{T}
\end{aligned}+\Phi_{q \underline{q} g}^{\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}} \propto \int d y_{i} \int d^{2} \underline{r} \mathcal{N}(\underline{r}, \underline{k})\left(\psi_{(q \underline{q})}^{\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}}(y, \underline{r})+\psi_{(q \underline{q} g)}^{\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \underline{r}\right)\right) .
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \text { dipole picture again! }
$$

## Beyond leading twist :

## Comparison with H 1 and ZEUS data

A. Besse, L. Szymanowski, S.W.
[arXiv:1302.1766] to appear in JHEP

We use a model for the dipole cross-section $\hat{\sigma}$ : running coupling Balitsky Kovchegov numerical solution (i.e. include saturation effects at Leading Order) Albacete, Armesto, Milhano, Quiroga Arias, Salgado, 2011


## Beyond leading twist :

## Kinematics and factorization

Consider the process $A \pi^{0} \rightarrow B \pi^{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (e.g. } \gamma^{*} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \rho \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \text {, i.e. } B=\rho \pi^{0} \text { ). } \\
& \qquad P \equiv \frac{p_{1}+p_{2}}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta \equiv p_{2}-p_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$



- Sudakov basis provided by $p$ and $n\left(p^{2}=n^{2}=0, p \cdot n=1\right)$ :

$$
k=(k \cdot n) p+(k \cdot p) n+k_{\perp} .
$$

- In particular $\Delta=-2 \xi p+(\Delta \cdot p) n+\Delta_{\perp}$.
- Symmetric kinematics for $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=(1+\xi) p+\frac{m^{2}-\frac{\Delta_{\perp}^{2}}{4}}{2(1+\xi)} n-\frac{\Delta_{\perp}}{2}, \\
& p_{2}=(1-\xi) p+\frac{m^{2}-\frac{\Delta_{\perp}^{2}}{4}}{2(1-\xi)} n+\frac{\Delta_{\perp}}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

makes $P$ longitudinal (no $\perp$ component): $P=p+(P \cdot p) n=p+\frac{m^{2}-\frac{\Delta_{1}^{2}}{4}}{1-\xi^{2}} n$.

## Beyond leading twist :

## Light-Cone Collinear Factorization

- The $p, \perp, n$ basis is natural for the twist expansion
- To implement $T$-invariance, the basis $P, \perp, n$ is more suitable
- We only consider 2- and 3-parton correlators



## Beyond leading twist :

## Light-Cone Collinear Factorization

- Loop integrations:

- Taylor expansion of the hard part w.r.t. loop momenta $\ell_{i}$

$$
H\left(\ell_{i}\right)=H\left(y_{i} p\right)+\left.\frac{\partial H\left(\ell_{i}\right)}{\partial \ell_{\alpha}}\right|_{\ell_{i}=y_{i} p}\left(\ell_{i}-y p\right)_{\alpha}+\ldots
$$

with $\left(\ell_{i}-y_{i} p\right)_{\alpha}=\ell_{i \alpha}^{\perp}+(\ell \cdot p) n_{\alpha}$

- Using $\int d^{4} \ell_{i}=\int d^{4} \ell_{i} \int d y_{i} \delta\left(y_{i}-\ell_{i} \cdot n\right)$ we integrate according to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d^{4} \ell_{i}= & \int d y_{i} \times \int d\left(\ell_{i} \cdot n\right) \delta\left(y_{i}-\ell_{i} \cdot n\right) \times \int d^{2} \ell_{i \perp} \times \int d\left(\ell_{i} \cdot p\right) \\
& \hookrightarrow \text { fact. } \hookrightarrow \text { trivial } \quad \hookrightarrow \text { soft-part } \hookrightarrow \text { integration by res }
\end{aligned}
$$

- We can always close on the $\ell_{i}^{2}=0$ pole $\Rightarrow$ this fixes the derivatives along $n$
- Fourier transf. w.r.t. $\ell_{i}^{\perp} \Rightarrow$ non-local operators with $\partial_{\perp}\left(\right.$ e.g. $\left.\bar{\psi} \partial^{\perp} \psi\right)$ $\Rightarrow$ non-perturbative correlators $\Phi^{\perp}(l)$


## Beyond leading twist :

## Light-Cone Collinear Factorization

- For consistency, we stop at order 1: the $A$ field and the derivative should appear in a QCD gauge invariant way, through the covariant derivative

$$
D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}-i g A_{\mu}(z)
$$

- Here: number of gluons $\leq 1 \Longrightarrow$ number of (transverse) derivatives $\leq 1$
- Color + spinor factorization $=$ Fierz transforms



## Beyond leading twist :

Parametrization of the non-local correlators 2-parton (with no derivative) non-local correlators

Based on $C, P, T$, this leads to the following set of 4 real GPDs:

$$
\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{\psi}(z)\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma^{\alpha \beta} \\
\mathbb{1} \\
i \gamma^{5}
\end{array}\right] \psi(-z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{-1}^{1} d x e^{i(x-\xi) P \cdot z+i(x+\xi) P \cdot z} \times
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{i}{m_{\pi}}\left(P^{\alpha} \Delta_{\perp}^{\beta}-P^{\beta} \Delta_{\perp}^{\alpha}\right) H_{T}+i m_{\pi}\left(P^{\alpha} n^{\beta}-P^{\beta} n^{\alpha}\right) H_{T 3}-i m_{\pi}\left(\Delta_{\perp}^{\alpha} n^{\beta}-\Delta_{\perp}^{\beta} n^{\alpha}\right) H_{T 4} \\
m_{\pi} H_{S} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

twist 2 \& 4
twist 3 twist 4

## Beyond leading twist:

## Parametrization of the non-local correlators

2-parton (with derivative) and 3-parton non-local correlators: $\square$ structure Based on $C, P, T$, this leads to the following set of 12 real GPDs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{\psi}(z) \sigma^{\alpha \beta}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i \overleftrightarrow{~} \\
g A^{\gamma}{ }^{\gamma}(y)
\end{array}\right\} \psi(-z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x e^{i(x-\xi) P \cdot z+i(x+\xi) P \cdot z} \\
\int d^{3}\left[x_{1,2, g}\right] e^{i P \cdot z\left(x_{1}+\xi\right)-i P \cdot y x_{g}+i P \cdot z\left(x_{2}-\xi\right)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \times\left[i m_{\pi}\left(P^{\alpha} g_{\perp}^{\beta \gamma}-P^{\beta} g_{\perp}^{\alpha \gamma}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T_{1}^{T} \\
T_{1}
\end{array}\right\}+\frac{i}{m_{\pi}}\left(P^{\alpha} \Delta_{\perp}^{\beta}-P^{\beta} \Delta_{\perp}^{\alpha}\right) \Delta_{\perp}^{\gamma}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T_{2}^{T} \\
T_{2}
\end{array}\right\}(\text { twist } 3 \& 5)\right. \\
& +i m_{\pi}\left(\Delta_{\perp}^{\alpha} g_{\perp}^{\beta \gamma}-\Delta_{\perp}^{\beta} g_{\perp}^{\alpha \gamma}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T_{3}^{T} \\
T_{3}
\end{array}\right\}+i m_{\pi}\left(P^{\alpha} n^{\beta}-P^{\beta} n^{\alpha}\right) \Delta_{\perp}^{\gamma}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T_{4}^{T} \\
T_{4}
\end{array}\right\} \text { (twist 4) } \\
& \left.+i m_{\pi}^{3}\left(n^{\alpha} g_{\perp}^{\beta \gamma}-n^{\beta} g_{\perp}^{\alpha \gamma}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T_{5}^{T} \\
T_{5}
\end{array}\right\}+i m_{\pi}\left(n^{\alpha} \Delta_{\perp}^{\beta}-n^{\beta} \Delta_{\perp}^{\alpha}\right) \Delta_{\perp}^{\gamma}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T_{6}^{T} \\
T_{6}
\end{array}\right\}\right], \quad \text { (twist 5) } \\
& \int d^{3}\left[x_{1,2, g}\right] \equiv \int_{-1+\xi}^{1+\xi} d x_{g} \int_{-1}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{-1}^{1} d x_{2} \delta\left(x_{g}-x_{2}+x_{1}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\perp}^{r}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\overrightarrow{\partial_{\perp}^{\gamma}}-\overleftarrow{\partial_{\perp}^{\gamma}}\right) \text {. } \\
& T_{i}^{T} \equiv T_{i}^{T}(x, \xi, t) \quad \text { and } \quad T_{i} \equiv T_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi, t\right)(i=1, \cdots 6) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Beyond leading twist :

## Parametrization of the non-local correlators

2-parton (with derivative) and 3-parton non-local correlators:
Based on $C, P, T$, this leads to the following set of 4 real GPDs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{\psi}(z) \mathbb{1}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\perp}^{\gamma}} \\
g A^{\gamma}(y)
\end{array}\right\} \psi(-z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x e^{i(x-\xi) P \cdot z+i(x+\xi) P \cdot z} \\
\int d^{3}\left[x_{1,2, g}\right] e^{i P \cdot z\left(x_{1}+\xi\right)-i P \cdot y x_{g}+i P \cdot z\left(x_{2}-\xi\right)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \times m_{\pi} \Delta_{\perp}^{\gamma}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
H_{S}^{T 4} \\
T_{S}
\end{array}\right\} . \quad \text { (twist 4) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{\psi}(z) i \gamma^{5}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i \stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\partial_{\perp}^{\gamma}} \\
g A^{\gamma}(y)
\end{array}\right\} \psi(-z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle= & \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x e^{i(x-\xi) P \cdot z+i(x+\xi) P \cdot z} \\
\int d^{3}\left[x_{1,2, g}\right] e^{i P \cdot z\left(x_{1}+\xi\right)-i P \cdot y x_{g}+i P \cdot z\left(x_{2}-\xi\right)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \times m_{\pi} \epsilon^{\gamma n P \Delta_{\perp}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
H_{P}^{T} \\
T_{P}
\end{array}\right\} . \quad \text { (twist 4) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Beyond leading twist :

## Minimal set of GPDs

- Number of GPDs: a priori 20 up to twist 5
- Two constraints:
- QCD equations of motion (EOM)
- Arbitrariness of $p$ and $n$


## Minimal set of GPDs: QCD equations of motion

Dirac equation in a covariant form (no inclusion of mass effects):

$$
(i \not D \psi)_{\alpha}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad(i \not D \bar{\psi})_{\beta}=0
$$

i.e. at correlator level:

$$
\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right|(i D p \psi)_{\alpha}(-z) \bar{\psi}_{\beta}(z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle=0
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \psi_{\alpha}(-z)(i \not D \bar{\psi})_{\beta}(z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle=0 .
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ relations between various correlators.

## Beyond leading twist :

## Minimal set of GPDs: Arbitrariness of $p$ and $n$

- $P$ is fixed by the kinematics
- neither $p$ nor $n$ are fixed
- constraint: $n \cdot p=n \cdot P=1$
- start from an initial choice for $p$ and $n$, denoted as $p^{(0)}$ and $n^{(0)}$
- expand

$$
\begin{align*}
& n=\alpha n^{(0)}-\frac{n_{\perp}^{2}}{2 \alpha} p^{(0)}+n_{\perp}  \tag{1}\\
& p=\beta p^{(0)}-\frac{p_{\perp}^{2}}{2 \beta} n^{(0)}+p_{\perp} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

- Use global Lorentz invariance $\Longrightarrow$ consider (1) only
- The two generators of (1) are:
- scaling of $n^{(0)}$ (i.e. $\alpha$ )
- the two translations in $\perp$ space (i.e. $n_{\perp}$ )


## Beyond leading twist :

## Minimal set of GPDs: Arbitrariness of $p$ and $n$

Variation of a Wilson line

- When implementing the two above generators, one should not forget the hidden Wilson line, entering the non-local operators!
- Wilson line $[y, x]_{C}$ between $x$ and $y$ along an arbitrary path $C$, defined as

$$
[y, x]_{C} \equiv P_{C} \exp i g \int_{x}^{y} d x_{\mu} A^{\mu}(x)
$$

- Variation of a Wilson line from path $C$ to path $C^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta[y, x]_{C}= \\
& -i g \int_{0}^{1}[y, x[\sigma]]_{C} G_{\nu \gamma}(x[\sigma]) \delta x^{\gamma}[\sigma] \frac{d x^{\nu}}{d \sigma}[\sigma][x[\sigma], x]_{C} d \sigma \\
& +i g A(y) \cdot \delta x[1][y, x]_{C}-i g[y, x]_{C} A(x) \cdot \delta x[0],
\end{aligned}
$$

## Beyond leading twist :

## Minimal set of GPDs: Arbitrariness of $p$ and $n$

Variation of a Wilson line

- consider now the Wilson line envolved in our non-local operators, like

$$
\bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma[z,-z] \psi(-z) \quad \text { with } \Gamma \in\left\{\sigma^{\alpha \beta}, \mathbb{1}, i \gamma^{5}\right\}
$$

- For simplicity, take a straight line from $-z$ to $z: x[\tau]=\tau z, \tau \in[-1,1]$.
- Consider the two above mentioned generators:
- Dilation: $\delta z^{\gamma}=z^{\gamma}$
- Translation: $\delta z^{\gamma}=\delta z_{\perp}^{\gamma}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Longrightarrow \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\gamma}}[\bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma[z,-z] \psi(-z)]= \\
- & -\bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma[z,-z] \overrightarrow{D_{\gamma}} \psi(-z)+\bar{\psi}(z) \overleftarrow{D_{\gamma}} \Gamma[z,-z] \psi(-z) \\
& -i g \int_{-1}^{1} d v v \bar{\psi}(z)[z, v z] z^{\nu} G_{\nu \gamma}(v z) \Gamma[v z,-z] \psi(-z),
\end{aligned}
$$

with

- $\overrightarrow{D_{\alpha}}=\overrightarrow{\partial_{\alpha}}-i g A_{\alpha}(-z)$ and $\overleftarrow{D_{\alpha}}=\overleftarrow{\partial_{\alpha}}+i g A_{\alpha}(z)$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\gamma}}$ acts either along the $n$ direction or along the $\perp$ direction


## Minimal set of GPDs: Arbitrariness of $p$ and $n$

Application to matrix elements

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\gamma}}\left[\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma[z,-z] \psi(-z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle\right]= \\
& -\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma[z,-z] \overrightarrow{D_{\gamma}} \psi(-z)+\bar{\psi}(z) \overleftarrow{D_{\gamma}} \Gamma[z,-z] \psi(-z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
& -i g \int_{-1}^{1} d v v\left\langle\pi^{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{\psi}(z)[z, v z] z^{\nu} G_{\nu \gamma}(v z) \Gamma[v z,-z] \psi(-z)\left|\pi^{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

- Use light-like gauge: $n \cdot A=0$
- Thus

$$
z^{\nu} G_{\nu \gamma}=z^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\gamma}
$$

- Only the $\gamma_{\perp}$ index contributes non-trivially
- Thus (3) only involves matrix elements with the $\perp$ components of the field $A_{\gamma}$ introduced before
- One finally gets a set of integral equations between GPDs
- Twist 5 case: 20 GPDs
- 8 EOM
- $8 n$-independence constraints
$\Longrightarrow$ the 20 GPDs can be expressed in terms of 8 GPDs
( $\left.T_{i}(i=1, \cdots, 6), T_{P}, T_{S},\right)$ satisfying 4 sum rules (note: 20-8-8=8-4).
- Twist 4 case: 16 GPDs
- 8 EOM
- $6 n$-independence constraints
$\Longrightarrow$ the 16 GPDs can be expressed in terms of 6 GPDs
( $T_{i}(i=1, \cdots, 4), T_{P}, T_{S}$, ) satisfying 4 sum rules (note: 16-8-6=6-4).
- Twist 3 case: 7 GPDs
- 5 EOM
- $2 n$-independence constraints
$\Longrightarrow$ the 7 GPDs can be expressed in terms of 2 GPDs
( $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ ) satisfying 2 sum rules (note: 7-5-2=2-2).
- The vanishing Wandzura-Wilczek limit:
one assumes that the 3-parton correlators vanish
$\Longrightarrow$ all GPDs vanish
$\Longrightarrow$ amplitude of any process involving the chiral-odd $\pi^{0}$ GPDs $=0$ !


## Conclusion

- Since a decade, there have been much progress in the understanding of hard exclusive processes
- at medium energies, there is now a conceptual framework starting from first principle, allowing to describe a huge number of processes
- at high energy, the impact representation is a powerful tool for describing exclusive processes in diffractive experiments; they are and will be essential for studying QCD in the hard Regge limit (Pomeron, Odderon, saturation...)
- Still, some problems remain:
- proofs of factorization have been obtained only for very few processes (ex.: $\gamma^{*} p \rightarrow \gamma p, \gamma_{L}^{*} p \rightarrow \rho_{L} p$ )
- for some other processes factorization is highly plausible, but not fully demonstrated at any order (ex.: processes involving GDAs and TDAs)
- some processes explicitly show sign of breaking of factorization (ex.: $\gamma_{T}^{*} p \rightarrow \rho_{T} p$ which has end-point singularities at Leading Order)
- models and results from the lattice or from AdS/QCD for the non-perturbative correlators entering GPDs, DAs, GDAs, TDAs are needed, even at a qualitative level!
- QCD evolution, NLO corrections, choice of renormalization/factorization scale, power corrections, threshold resummations will be very relevant to interpret and describe the forecoming data
- Constructing a consistent framework including GPDs (skewness) and TMDs/uPDFs ( $k_{T}$-dependency) with realistic experimental observables is an (almost) open problem (GTMDs)
- Links between theoretical and experimental communities are very fruitful!

Distribution amplitude and quantum numbers: $C$-parity

- Define the $H$ DA as (for long. pol.)
$\langle H(p, 0)| \bar{\psi}(-z / 2) \gamma_{\mu}[-z / 2 ; z / 2] \psi(z / 2)|0\rangle_{\substack{z^{2}=0 \\ z+=0 \\ z \perp=0}}=i f_{H} M_{H} e_{\mu}^{(0)} \int_{0}^{1} d y e^{i(\bar{y}-y) p \cdot z / 2} \phi_{L}^{H}(y)$
- Expansion in terms of local operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle H(p, \lambda)| \bar{\psi}(-z / 2) \gamma_{\mu}[-z / 2 ; z / 2] \psi(z / 2)|0\rangle= \\
& \quad \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} z_{\mu_{1}} . . z_{\mu_{n}}\langle H(p, \lambda)| \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_{\mu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu_{1}} . . \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu_{n}} \psi(0)|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

- $C$-parity: $\begin{cases}H \text { selects the odd-terms: } & C_{H}=(-) \\ \rho \text { selects even-terms: } & C_{\rho}=(-)\end{cases}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle H(p, \lambda)| \bar{\psi}(-z / 2) \gamma_{\mu}[-z / 2 ; z / 2] \psi(z / 2)|0\rangle= \\
& \quad \sum_{n \text { odd }} \frac{1}{n!} z_{\mu_{1} . . . z_{\mu_{n}}\langle H(p, \lambda)| \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_{\mu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu_{1}} . . \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu_{n}} \psi(0)|0\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Special case $n=1: \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu}=\mathrm{S}_{(\mu \nu)} \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_{\mu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}{ }_{\nu} \psi(0)$
$\mathrm{S}_{(\mu \nu)}=$ symmetrization operator: $\mathrm{S}_{(\mu \nu)} T_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\mu \nu}+T_{\nu \mu}\right)$


## Non perturbative imput for the hybrid DA

- We need to fix $f_{H}$ (the analogue of $f_{\rho}$ )
- This is a non-perturbative imput
- Lattice does not yet give information
- The operator $\mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu}$ is related to quark energy-momentum tensor $\Theta_{\mu \nu}$ :

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu}=-i \Theta_{\mu \nu}
$$

- Rely on QCD sum rules: resonance for $M \approx 1.4 \mathrm{GeV}$
I. I. Balitsky, D. Diakonov, and A. V. Yung

$$
f_{H} \approx 50 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

$f_{\rho}=216 \mathrm{MeV}$

- Note: $f_{H}$ evolves according to the $\gamma_{Q Q}$ anomalous dimension

$$
f_{H}\left(Q^{2}\right)=f_{H}\left(\frac{\alpha_{S}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\alpha_{S}\left(M_{H}^{2}\right)}\right)^{K_{1}} \quad K_{1}=\frac{2 \gamma_{Q Q}(1)}{\beta_{0}}
$$

## A few applications

Electroproduction of an exotic hybrid
Counting rates for $H$ versus $\rho$ electroproduction: order of magnitude

- Ratio:

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{H}\left(Q^{2}, x_{B}, t\right)}{d \sigma^{\rho}\left(Q^{2}, x_{B}, t\right)}=\left|\frac{f_{H}}{f_{\rho}} \frac{\left(e_{u} \mathcal{H}_{u u}^{-}-e_{d} \mathcal{H}_{d d}^{-}\right) \mathcal{V}^{(H,-)}}{\left(e_{u} \mathcal{H}_{u u}^{+}-e_{d} \mathcal{H}_{d d}^{+}\right) \mathcal{V}^{(\rho,+)}}\right|^{2}
$$

- Rough estimate:
- neglect $\bar{q}$ i.e. $x \in[0,1]$
$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{H}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{\rho}$ are equal up to the factor $\mathcal{V}^{M}$
- Neglect the effect of $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}$

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{H}\left(Q^{2}, x_{B}, t\right)}{d \sigma^{\rho}\left(Q^{2}, x_{B}, t\right)} \approx\left(\frac{5 f_{H}}{3 f_{\rho}}\right)^{2} \approx 0.15
$$

- More precise study based on Double Distributions to model GPDs + effects of varying $\mu_{R}$ : order of magnitude unchanged
- The range around 1400 MeV is dominated by the $a_{2}(1329)\left(2^{++}\right)$ resonance
- possible interference between $H$ and $a_{2}$
- identification through the $\pi \eta$ GDA, main decay mode for the $\pi_{1}$ (1400) candidate, through angular asymmetry in $\theta_{\pi}$ in the $\pi \eta \mathrm{cms}$


## A few applications

Electroproduction of an exotic hybrid
Hybrid meson production in $e^{+} e^{-}$colliders

- Hybrid can be copiously produced in $\gamma^{*} \gamma$, i.e. at $e^{+} e^{-}$colliders with one tagged out-going electron


BaBar, Belle

- This can be described in a hard factorization framework:

with



## A few applications

## Counting rates for $H^{0}$ versus $\pi^{0}$

- Factorization gives:

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\gamma \gamma^{*} \rightarrow H^{0}}\left(\gamma \gamma^{*} \rightarrow H_{L}\right)=\left(\epsilon_{\gamma} \cdot \epsilon_{\gamma}^{*}\right) \frac{\left(e_{u}^{2}-e_{d}^{2}\right) f_{H}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{1} d z \Phi^{H}(z)\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}-\frac{1}{z}\right)
$$

- Ratio $H^{0}$ versus $\pi^{0}$ :

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{H}}{d \sigma^{\pi^{0}}}=\left|\frac{f_{H} \int_{0}^{1} d z \Phi^{H}(z)\left(\frac{1}{z}-\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}{f_{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} d z \Phi^{\pi}(z)\left(\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}\right|^{2}
$$

- This gives, with asymptotical DAs (i.e. limit $Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ ):

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{H}}{d \sigma^{\pi^{0}}} \approx 38 \%
$$

still larger than $20 \%$ at $Q^{2} \approx 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (including kinematical twist-3 effects à la Wandzura-Wilczek for the $H^{0} \mathrm{DA}$ ) and similarly

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{H}}{d \sigma^{\eta}} \approx 46 \%
$$

## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS

Resummation for Coefficient functions (1)
Computation of the $n$-loop ladder-like diagram


- All gluons are assumed to be on mass shell.
- Strong ordering in $\underline{k}_{i}, \alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$.
- The dominant momentum flows along $p_{2}$ are indicated


## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS

Computation of the $n$-loop ladder-like diagram (2)

- Strong ordering is given as :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\underline{k}_{n}\right| \gg\left|\underline{k}_{n-1}\right| \gg \cdots\left|\underline{k}_{1}\right| \quad, \quad 1 \gg\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \gg\left|\alpha_{n-1}\right| \gg \cdots\left|\alpha_{1}\right| \\
& x \sim \xi \gg\left|\beta_{1}\right| \sim|x-\xi| \gg\left|x-\xi+\beta_{1}\right| \sim\left|\beta_{2}\right| \ggg\left|x-\xi+\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}-\cdots+\beta_{n-1}\right| \sim\left|\beta_{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

- eikonal coupling on the left
- coupling on the right goes beyond eikonal
- Integral for $n$-loop:

$$
I_{n}=\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{n} \int d \alpha_{1} d \beta_{1} d_{2} \underline{k}_{1} \cdots \int d \alpha_{n} d \beta_{n} d_{2} \underline{k}_{n}(\mathrm{Num})_{n} \frac{1}{L_{1}^{2}} \cdots \frac{1}{L_{n}^{2}} \frac{1}{S^{2}} \frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}} \cdots \frac{1}{R_{n}^{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}} \cdots \frac{1}{k_{n}^{2}}
$$

- Numerator:

$$
(\text { Num })_{2}=-4 \underbrace{s}_{\text {gluon } 1} \underbrace{\frac{-2 \underline{k}_{1}^{2}(x+\xi)}{\beta_{1}}\left[1+\frac{2(x-\xi)}{\beta_{1}}\right]}_{\text {gluon } 2} \underbrace{\frac{-2 \underline{k}_{2}^{2}(x+\xi)}{\beta_{2}}\left[1+\frac{2\left(\beta_{1}+x-\xi\right)}{\beta_{2}}\right]}_{\text {gluon } \mathrm{n}} \cdots \underbrace{\frac{-2 \underline{k}_{n}^{2}(x+\xi)}{\beta_{n}}\left[1+\frac{2\left(\beta_{n-1}+\cdots+\beta_{1}+x-\xi\right)}{\beta_{n}}\right]}_{\beta_{n}}
$$

- Propagators:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{1}^{2}=\alpha_{1}(x+\xi) s, & R_{1}^{2}=-\underline{k}_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1}\left(\beta_{1}+x-\xi\right) s, \\
L_{2}^{2}=\alpha_{2}(x+\xi) s, & R_{2}^{2}=-\underline{k}_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+x-\xi\right) s, \\
\vdots & \\
L_{n}^{2}=\alpha_{n}(x+\xi) s, & R_{n}^{2}=-\underline{k}_{n}^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left(\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{n}+x-\xi\right) s,
\end{array}
$$

## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS

## Resummation for Coefficient functions

Computation of the $n$-loop ladder-like diagram (3)

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{n}=-4 \frac{(2 \pi i)^{n}}{x-\xi} \int_{0}^{\xi-x} d \beta_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{\xi-x-\beta_{1}-\cdots-\beta_{n-1}} \frac{1}{d \beta_{n} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}+x-\xi} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{n}+x-\xi}} \\
\times \int_{0}^{\infty} d_{N} \underline{k}_{n} \cdots \int_{\underline{k}_{2}^{2}}^{\infty} d_{N} \underline{k}_{1} \frac{1}{\underline{k}_{1}^{2}} \cdots \frac{1}{\underline{k}_{n-1}^{2}} \frac{1}{\underline{k}_{n}^{2}-\left(\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{n}+x-\xi\right) s}
\end{gathered}
$$

integration over $\underline{k}_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ leads to our final result :

$$
I_{n}^{\mathrm{fin} .}=-4 \frac{(2 \pi i)^{n}}{x-\xi+i \epsilon} \frac{1}{(2 n)!} \log ^{2 n}\left[\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right]
$$

Resummation :
remember that $K_{n}=-\frac{1}{4} e_{q}^{2}\left(-i C_{F} \alpha_{s} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\right)^{n} I_{n}$
$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{n}\right)-(x \rightarrow-x)=\frac{e_{q}^{2}}{x-\xi+i \epsilon} \cosh \left[D \log \left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right)\right]-(x \rightarrow-x)$
where $D=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{2 \pi}}$
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## Inclusion of our resummed formula into the NLO coefficient function

The inclusion procedure is not unique and it is natural to propose two choices:

- modifying only the Born term and the $\log ^{2}$ part of the $C_{1}^{q}$ and keeping the rest of the terms untouched :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(T^{q}\right)^{\mathrm{res} 1}=\left(\frac{e_{q}^{2}}{x-\xi+i \epsilon}\left\{\cosh \left[D \log \left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right)\right]-\frac{D^{2}}{2}\left[9+3 \frac{\xi-x}{x+\xi} \log \left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right)\right]\right\}\right. \\
\left.+C_{\text {coll }}^{q} \log \frac{Q^{2}}{\mu_{F}^{2}}\right)-(x \rightarrow-x)
\end{gathered}
$$

- the resummation effects are accounted for in a multiplicative way for $C_{0}^{q}$ and $C_{1}^{q}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(T^{q}\right)^{\mathrm{res} 2}=\left(\frac{e_{q}^{2}}{x-\xi+i \epsilon} \cosh \left[D \log \left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right)\right]\left[1-\frac{D^{2}}{2}\left\{9+3 \frac{\xi-x}{x+\xi} \log \left(\frac{\xi-x}{2 \xi}-i \epsilon\right)\right\}\right]\right. \\
\left.+C_{c o l l}^{q} \log \frac{Q^{2}}{\mu_{F}^{2}}\right)-(x \rightarrow-x)
\end{array}
$$

These resummed formulas differ through logarithmic contributions which are beyond the precision of our study.

## Threshold effects for DVCS and TCS

- We use a Double Distribution based model S. V. Goloskokov and P. Kroll, Eur. Phys. J. C 50, 829 (2007)
- Blind integral in the whole $x$-range: amplitude $=$ NLO result $\pm 1 \%$
- To respect the domain of applicability of our resummation procedure:
- restrict the use of our formula to $\xi-a \gamma<|x|<\xi+a \gamma$
- width $a \gamma$ defined through $|D \log (\gamma /(2 \xi))|=1$
- theoretical uncertainty evaluated by varying $a$
- a more precise treatment is beyond the leading logarithmic approximation

$$
R_{a}(\xi)=\frac{\left[\int_{\xi-a \gamma}^{\xi+a \gamma}+\int_{-\xi-a \gamma}^{-\xi+a \gamma}\right] d x\left(C^{\mathrm{res}}-C_{0}-C_{1}\right) H(x, \xi, 0)}{\left|\int_{-1}^{1} d x\left(C_{0}+C_{1}\right) H(x, \xi, 0)\right|}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left[R_{a}(\xi)\right] \text { : black upper curves } \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left[R_{a}(\xi)\right] \text { : grey lower curves } \\
& a=1 \text { (solid) } \\
& a=1 / 2 \text { (dotted) } \\
& a=2 \text { (dashed) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- chirality $=$ helicity for a particule, chirality $=$-helicity for an antiparticule
- for massless quarks: QED and QCD vertices = chiral even (no chirality flip during the interaction)
$\Rightarrow$ the total helicity of a $q \bar{q}$ produced by a $\gamma^{*}$ should be 0
$\Rightarrow$ helicity of the $\gamma^{*}=L_{z}^{q \bar{q}}$ ( $z$ projection of the $q \bar{q}$ angular momentum)
- in the pure collinear limit (i.e. twist 2), $L_{z}^{q \bar{q}}=0 \Rightarrow \gamma_{L}^{*}$
- at $t=0$, no source of orbital momentum from the proton coupling $\Rightarrow$ helicity of the meson $=$ helicity of the photon
- in the collinear factorization approach, $t \neq 0$ change nothing from the hard side $\Rightarrow$ the above selection rule remains true
- thus: 2 transitions possible ( $s$-channel helicity conservation (SCHC)):
- $\gamma_{L}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{L}$ transition: QCD factorization holds at $\mathrm{t}=2$ at any order in perturbation (i.e. LL, NLL, etc...)

Collins, Frankfurt, Strikman '97 Radyushkin '97

- $\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}$ transition: QCD factorization has problems at $\mathrm{t}=3$

Mankiewicz-Piller '00
$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d u}{u}$ or $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d u}{1-u}$ diverge (end-point singularity)


## Improved collinear approximation: a solution?

- keep a transverse $\ell_{\perp}$ dependency in the $q, \bar{q}$ momenta, used to regulate end-point singularities
- soft and collinear gluon exchange between the valence quark are responsible for large double-logarithmic effects which are conjectured to exponentiate
- this is made easier when using the impact parameter space $b_{\perp}$ conjugated to $\ell_{\perp} \Rightarrow$ Sudakov factor

$$
\exp [-S(u, b, Q)]
$$

- $S$ diverges when $b_{\perp} \sim O\left(1 / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)$ (large transverse separation, i.e. small transverse momenta) or $u \sim O\left(\Lambda_{Q C D} / Q\right) \quad$ Botts, Sterman '89
$\Rightarrow$ regularization of end-point singularities for $\pi \rightarrow \pi \gamma^{*}$ and $\gamma \gamma^{*} \pi^{0}$ form factors, based on the factorization approach Li, Sterman '92
- it has been proposed to combine this perturbative resummation tail effect with an ad-hoc non-perturbative gaussian ansatz for the DAs

$$
\exp \left[-a^{2}\left|k_{\perp}^{2}\right| /(u \bar{u})\right]
$$

which gives back the usual asymptotic DA $6 u \bar{u}$ when integrating over $k_{\perp}$ $\Rightarrow$ practical tools for meson electroproduction phenomenology Goloskokov, Kroll '05

Phenomenological applications: exclusive test of Pomeron
An example of realistic exclusive test of Pomeron: $\gamma^{(*)} \gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow \rho \rho$ as a subprocess of $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow e^{-} e^{+} \rho_{L}^{0} \rho_{L}^{0}$

- ILC should provide $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { very large } \sqrt{s}(=500 \mathrm{GeV}) \\ \text { very large luminosity }\left(\simeq 125 \mathrm{fb}^{-1} / \text { year }\right)\end{array}\right.$
- detectors are planned to cover the very forward region, close from the beampipe (directions of out-going $e^{+}$and $e^{-}$at large $s$ )

good efficiency of tagging for outgoing $e^{ \pm}$for $E_{e}>100 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\theta>4$ mrad (illustration for LDC concept)
- could be equivalently done at LHC based on the AFP project

QCD effects in the Regge limit on $\gamma^{(*)} \gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow \rho \rho$

proof of feasibility:
B. Pire, L. Szymanowski and S. W. Eur.Phys.J.C44 (2005) 545
proof of visible BFKL enhancement:
R. Enberg, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski and S. W.

Eur.Phys.J.C45 (2006) 759
comprensive study of $\gamma^{*}$ polarization effects
and event rates:
M. Segond, L. Szymanowski and S. W. Eur. Phys. J. C 52 (2007) 93

NLO BFKL study:
Ivanov, Papa '06 '07; Caporale, Papa, Vera '08

$$
\sqrt{\mathrm{s}_{e^{+}} e^{-}}[\mathrm{GeV}]
$$

## Finding the hard Odderon

- colorless gluonic exchange
- $C=+1$ : Pomeron, in PQCD described by BFKL equation
- $C=-1$ : Odderon, in pQCD described by BJKP equation
- best but still weak evidence for $\mathbb{O}: p p$ and $p \bar{p}$ data at ISR
- no evidence for perturbative $\mathbb{O}$


## Finding the hard Odderon

(1) exchange much weaker than $\mathbb{P} \Rightarrow$ two strategies in QCD

- consider processes, where $\mathbb{P}$ vanishes due to $C$-parity conservation: exclusive $\eta, \eta_{c}, f_{2}, a_{2}, \ldots$ in $e p ; \gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta_{c} \eta_{c} \sim\left|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{O}}\right|^{2}$ Braunewell, Ewerz '04 exclusive $J / \Psi, \Upsilon$ in $p p(\mathbb{P O}$ fusion, not $\mathbb{P P}))$ Bzdak, Motyka, Szymanowski, Cudell '07
- consider observables sensitive to the interference between $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{O}$ (open charm in $e p ; \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$in $e p$ ) $\sim \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{O}}^{*} \Rightarrow$ observable linear in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{O}}$


Brodsky, Rathsman, Merino '99


Ivanov, Nikolaev, Ginzburg '01 in photo-production Hägler, Pire, Szymanowski, Teryaev '02 in electro-production

## Finding the hard Odderon

$$
\mathbb{P}-\mathbb{O} \text { interference in double UPC }
$$

$\mathbb{P}-\mathbb{O}$ interference in $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

$\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$-pair, $C$ - even

Hard scale $=t$
B. Pire, F. Schwennsen, L. Szymanowski, S. W.

Phys.Rev.D78:094009 (2008)
pb at LHC: pile-up!

