The 3.5 keV line: an unknown plasma line or a dark matter decay line?

March 2015



Bulbul et al 2014: Detection of an unidentified emission line in the stacked X-ray spectrum of galaxy clusters

A B A B A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

Perseus cluster; Credit: Chandra: NASA/CXC/SAO/E.Bulbul, et al.; XMM-Newton: ESA

Table of contents



2 Building of the stacked spectra

- 3 Signal fitting by AtomDB
- 4 Dark matter candidates

5 Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Overview

Bulbul et al 2014

- A one year-old paper with 160 citations.
- Signal = Stacking of 73 rest frame and background-corrected X-ray spectra from galactic clusters detected by the EPIC camera of the XMM-Newton satellite.
- Detection of a significant unknown line (no explanation by existing plasma lines) at 3.5 keV.
- Possible explanation by the sterile neutrino decay.

Overview

Bulbul et al 2014

- A one year-old paper with 160 citations.
- Signal = Stacking of 73 rest frame and background-corrected X-ray spectra from galactic clusters detected by the EPIC camera of the XMM-Newton satellite.
- Detection of a significant unknown line (no explanation by existing plasma lines) at 3.5 keV.
- Possible explanation by the sterile neutrino decay.

XMM-Newton

- XMM: Two CCD cameras with two tech, MOS and PN.
- Energy range: 0.15-12 keV (MOS and PN)
- Energy resolution: ${\sim}70$ eV (MOS), ${\sim}80$ eV (PN)



Table of contents



2 Building of the stacked spectra

- 3 Signal fitting by AtomDB
- 4 Dark matter candidates

5 Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Data selection

73 clusters from XMM archive (Redshift range: 0.01-0.35). In order to have enough high-z clusters compare to low-z clusters (Redshift leverage):

- if z<0.1, minimal number of counts : 10^5 photons
- if z>0.1, minimal number of counts : 10^4 photons



Data selection

73 clusters from XMM archive (Redshift range: 0.01-0.35). In order to have enough high-z clusters compare to low-z clusters (Redshift leverage):

- if z<0.1, minimal number of counts : 10^5 photons
- if z>0.1, minimal number of counts : 10^4 photons



Signal extraction

- Selection of low background intervals of time \rightarrow timelines of counts for each pixel and bands + clean exposure time
- Build sky map of counts in the 0.4-7.0 keV band
- Detection and exclusion of point sources (mainly AGN contamination)
- Spectra extracted from the counts within *R*₅₀₀ (average density within the sphere > 500 times the critical density).

Background modeling : continuum

- Soft X-ray background estimated from the outskirt of the cluster in the ROSAT All-sky survey maps.
- Local hot bubble and heliosphere: cool unabsorbed single-temperature thermal component model.
- Galactic hotter halo + intergalactic medium : absorbed thermal component model.
- Unresolved point source effect contamination modeled by a power law.

Background modeling : continuum

- Soft X-ray background estimated from the outskirt of the cluster in the ROSAT All-sky survey maps.
- Local hot bubble and heliosphere: cool unabsorbed single-temperature thermal component model.
- Galactic hotter halo + intergalactic medium : absorbed thermal component model.
- Unresolved point source effect contamination modeled by a power law.

Background modeling : instrumental lines

- Quiescent particles background for MOS: Al-K (1.49 keV) and Si-K (1.74 keV) fluorescent lines \rightarrow Gaussian fits
- Quiescent particles background for PN: Al-K (1.49 keV), Ni-K(7.48 keV), Cu-K(8.05, 8.91 keV) and Zn-K (8.64, 9.57 keV) fluorescent lines → Gaussian fits



Two upper curves: Perseus spectra; two lower curves: estimated Perseus background

Redshift estimation

- Individual fit of the background-subtracted signal; continuum with an absorbed multi-temperature equilibrium plasma; bright lines with the AtomDB database (http://www.atomdb.org)
- Redshift determination with the bright Fe found lines.

Redshift estimation

- Individual fit of the background-subtracted signal; continuum with an absorbed multi-temperature equilibrium plasma; bright lines with the AtomDB database (http://www.atomdb.org)
- Redshift determination with the bright Fe found lines.

Smearing effect for the weak instrumental lines

- All the spectra are set in the rest frame (z=0)and are stacked.
- Enough high-z clusters: amplification of the plasma lines, no amplification of the instrumental lines (smearing effect)
- Possible smoothing of the quantum efficiency unknown variation.



Weak instrumental lines are smeared out in the stacked signal compare to the Perseus signal.



Table of contents



2 Building of the stacked spectra

- Signal fitting by AtomDB
 - Dark matter candidates

Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Fitting procedure

- Fit of the continuum spectrum by a 4 Bremsstrahlung emission models.
- Fit of the strong plasma lines by Gaussian curves.
- Estimation of the range of the flux of the weak plasma lines around 3.5 keV and fit by Gaussian curves.

Fitting procedure

- Fit of the continuum spectrum by a 4 Bremsstrahlung emission models.
- Fit of the strong plasma lines by Gaussian curves.
- Estimation of the range of the flux of the weak plasma lines around 3.5 keV and fit by Gaussian curves.

Continuum

- Continuum: Bremsstrahlung (free-free electrons/ions) and radiative recombination (free-bound). For hot collisional plasma (kT>0.1 keV): Bremsstrahlung dominant.
- Fit with a collisional plasma model in thermal equilibrium "Apec" from AtomDB with a flux $F[photon.cm^{-2}.s^{-1}] = \varepsilon(T_e)N$. Emissivity $\varepsilon(T_e)[photon.cm^3.s^{-1}] \propto f(E)T_e^{1/2}$ (for Bremsstrahlung)
- Normalization $N[cm^{-5}] = \frac{\int n_e n_h dV}{4\pi D_L^2}$ for one cluster at redshift z with n_e , n_h and D_L respectively the electronic density, the hydrogen density and the luminosity distance.
- Fit with 4 different models with the temperatures and the normalization as free parameters. Temperatures for MOS: 5.9-6.1-7.3-10.9 (keV); Temperatures for PN: 2.3-6.9-7.3-18.7 (keV).
- Power-law to fit the soft photons contamination.



Plasma lines

- In highly ionized plasma, large amount of ions with 1,2 or 3 bound electrons → H-like, He-like lines or Li-like lines (and dielectric recombination lines).
- Model for plasma line $F[photon.cm^{-2}.s^{-1}] = \varepsilon(T_e)N$ (AtomDB formalism)
- Emissivity $\varepsilon(T_e) \propto n_k A_{i,j}$ with n_k the density of the ion in the state k and $A_{j,k}$ the atomic transition probability from the state j to the state k.

Plasma lines

- In highly ionized plasma, large amount of ions with 1,2 or 3 bound electrons electrons → H-like, He-like lines or Li-like lines (and dielectric recombination lines).
- Model for plasma line $F[photon.cm^{-2}.s^{-1}] = \varepsilon(T_e)N$ (AtomDB formalism)
- Emissivity $\varepsilon(T_e) \propto n_k A_{i,j}$ with n_k the density of the ion in the state k and $A_{j,k}$ the atomic transition probability from the state j to the state k.

n_k element density estimation

- $n_k = \frac{n_k}{n_z} \frac{n_z}{n_z} \frac{n_Z}{n_h} \frac{n_h}{n_e} n_e$
- $n_k/n_z = p_k$: % of the ions in the state k (depends on T_e , see below)
- n_z/n_Z : ionization balance (close to 1)
- n_Z/n_h : elemental abundance relative to hydrogen (Bulbul et al : solar photosphere)
- n_h/n_e : fraction of hydrogen to electron (0.8 for cosmic plasma).

Plasma lines

- In highly ionized plasma, large amount of ions with 1,2 or 3 bound electrons → H-like, He-like lines or Li-like lines (and dielectric recombination lines).
- Model for plasma line $F[photon.cm^{-2}.s^{-1}] = \varepsilon(T_e)N$ (AtomDB formalism)
- Emissivity $\varepsilon(T_e) \propto n_k A_{i,j}$ with n_k the density of the ion in the state k and $A_{j,k}$ the atomic transition probability from the state j to the state k.

n_k element density estimation

•
$$n_k = \frac{n_k}{n_z} \frac{n_z}{n_Z} \frac{n_Z}{n_h} \frac{n_h}{n_e} n_e$$

- $n_k/n_z = p_k$: % of the ions in the state k (depends on T_e , see below)
- n_z/n_Z : ionization balance (close to 1)
- n_Z/n_h : elemental abundance relative to hydrogen (Bulbul et al : solar photosphere)
- n_h/n_e : fraction of hydrogen to electron (0.8 for cosmic plasma).

An example of the determination of p_k

- Simple case: element with two states.
- Collisional plasma in thermal equilibrium $n_e p_1 \gamma_{1,2} = n_e p_2 \gamma_{2,1} + p_2 A_{2,1}$ with $\gamma_{1,2}$ and $\gamma_{2,1}$ the collision excitation rate and the de-excitation rate respectively.

•
$$p1 + p2 = 1$$

- $p1 = \frac{n_e \gamma_{2,1} + A_{2,1}}{n_e (\gamma_{1,2} + \gamma_{2,1}) + A_{2,1}}$ and $p2 = \frac{n_e \gamma_{1,2} + A_{2,1}}{n_e (\gamma_{1,2} + \gamma_{2,1}) + A_{2,1}}$
- Matrix inversion approach for three or more states.
- The AtomDB database provides the values of the $\gamma(T_e)$ and A rates for different elements (e.g. Ar XVII, K XVIII)

Strong plasma lines

- Keep on significant lines: emissivity at the lower temperature up to $\varepsilon_{min} = 5 \times 10^{-19} photons.cm^3.s^{-1}$.
- AtomDB: 28 lines
- Fit with a Gaussian curve for each line , energy allowed to vary up to 5 eV (Gain uncertainties)
- First fit of the stacked signal using the continuum fit and the 28 Gaussian curves.

Strong plasma lines

- Keep on significant lines: emissivity at the lower temperature up to $\varepsilon_{min} = 5 \times 10^{-19} photons.cm^3.s^{-1}$.
- AtomDB: 28 lines
- Fit with a Gaussian curve for each line , energy allowed to vary up to 5 eV (Gain uncertainties)
- First fit of the stacked signal using the continuum fit and the 28 Gaussian curves.

Weak plasma lines around 3.5 keV

- Five weak known lines around 3.5 keV : K XVIII (He-like, 3.47 keV), K XVIII (He-like, 3.51 keV), Ar XVII (Dielectric recombination DR, 3.62 keV), Ar XVII (He-like, 3.68 keV) and K XIX (H-like, 3.71 keV).
- Estimated fluxes of the He/H-like lines from the fluxes of the strong lines S XVI (H-like, 2.63 keV), Ca XIX (He-like, 3.90 keV) and Ca XX (H-like, 4.11 keV) and solar abundances of S, Ca, Ar and K.
- $F_w = F_s \sum Norm_i \frac{\varepsilon_w(T_{e,i})}{\varepsilon_s(T_{e,i})}$ with s a strong line and w a weak line.
- Take the maximum of the flux *F_w*. The flux must vary between 0.1- 3 times this maximum (abundance uncertainties).
- Ar XVII DR line: flux between 0.001 and 0.01 the flux of the He-like Ar XVII line at 3.12 keV.

Weak line flux estimated from the strong lines of S XVI, Ca XIX and Ca XX assuming solar abundances.



The Ar XVIII DR line emissivity compared to the Ar XVII line at 3.12 keV emissivity.



An unknown line at 3.5 keV

- Second fit (in the 3-6 keV energy band): Continuum+Strong lines +Weak lines (with respect to the last upper and lower limits); MOS channel: χ^2 =564.8 (566 dof); PN channel: χ^2 =510.5 (564 dof)
- Significant residual at 3.57 \pm 0.02 keV for the MOS channel and 3.51 \pm 0.03 for the PN channel (4-5 σ)
- The fit with a Gaussian curve with two parameters improves the $\Delta \chi^2$ of 22.8 for MOS and 13.9 for PN.
- Monte-carlo simulations of the PN signal: Improvement of the $\Delta \chi^2 > 11.2$ in 0.4% of the cases in the lack of additional unknown line.

An unknown line at 3.5 keV

- Second fit (in the 3-6 keV energy band): Continuum+Strong lines +Weak lines (with respect to the last upper and lower limits); MOS channel: χ^2 =564.8 (566 dof); PN channel: χ^2 =510.5 (564 dof)
- Significant residual at 3.57 \pm 0.02 keV for the MOS channel and 3.51 \pm 0.03 for the PN channel (4-5 σ)
- The fit with a Gaussian curve with two parameters improves the $\Delta \chi^2$ of 22.8 for MOS and 13.9 for PN.
- Monte-carlo simulations of the PN signal: Improvement of the $\Delta \chi^2 > 11.2$ in 0.4% of the cases in the lack of additional unknown line.



An unknown line at 3.5 keV

- Second fit (in the 3-6 keV energy band): Continuum+Strong lines +Weak lines (with respect to the last upper and lower limits); MOS channel: χ^2 =564.8 (566 dof); PN channel: χ^2 =510.5 (564 dof)
- Significant residual at 3.57 \pm 0.02 keV for the MOS channel and 3.51 \pm 0.03 for the PN channel (4-5 σ)
- The fit with a Gaussian curve with two parameters improves the $\Delta \chi^2$ of 22.8 for MOS and 13.9 for PN.
- Monte-carlo simulations of the PN signal: Improvement of the $\Delta \chi^2 > 11.2$ in 0.4% of the cases in the lack of additional unknown line.



Rebinned spectra of the stacked clusters without the brightest of them.

Table of contents



2 Building of the stacked spectra

- 3 Signal fitting by AtomDB
- 4 Dark matter candidates

Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Few words for SUSY candidates

- Choi et al 2014: Decay of an axino with a mass $m_a = 7keV$ into a photon and a neutrino (warm dark matter candidate).
- Kang et al 2015: Other mechanisms: Dark gaugino which decays into two photons (Warm dark matter candidate). Cold dark matter particle which decays into a lighter one plus a photon.

Few words for SUSY candidates

- Choi et al 2014: Decay of an axino with a mass $m_a = 7keV$ into a photon and a neutrino (warm dark matter candidate).
- Kang et al 2015: Other mechanisms: Dark gaugino which decays into two photons (Warm dark matter candidate). Cold dark matter particle which decays into a lighter one plus a photon.

Sterile neutrino decay hypothesis

- A sterile neutrino with a mass m_s decays into a photons at an energy of $\frac{m_s c^2}{2}$ and an active neutrino. Here $m_s = 7.1 keV$.
- Dark matter flux for one cluster : $F_{DM} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}}{m_s} \frac{M_{DM}(<R)(1+z)}{4\pi D_L^2} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}}{m_s} \mu_{DM}$
- with Γ_{γ} the sterile neutrino decay rate given by Pat & Wolfenstein 1982 :

$$\Gamma_{\gamma} = 1.38 \times 10^{-29} s^{-1} \frac{\sin^2(2\theta)}{10^{-7}} \left(\frac{m_s}{1 \, keV}\right)^5$$

Few words for SUSY candidates

- Choi et al 2014: Decay of an axino with a mass $m_a = 7keV$ into a photon and a neutrino (warm dark matter candidate).
- Kang et al 2015: Other mechanisms: Dark gaugino which decays into two photons (Warm dark matter candidate). Cold dark matter particle which decays into a lighter one plus a photon.

Sterile neutrino decay hypothesis

- A sterile neutrino with a mass m_s decays into a photons at an energy of $\frac{m_s c^2}{2}$ and an active neutrino. Here $m_s = 7.1 keV$.
- Dark matter flux for one cluster : $F_{DM} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}}{m_s} \frac{M_{DM}(\langle R)(1+z)}{4\pi D_L^2} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}}{m_s} \mu_{DM}$
- with Γ_{γ} the sterile neutrino decay rate given by Pat & Wolfenstein 1982 :

$$\Gamma_{\gamma} = 1.38 \times 10^{-29} s^{-1} \frac{\sin^2(2\theta)}{10^{-7}} \left(\frac{m_s}{1 \, keV}\right)^5$$

Dark matter halo mass estimation

Scaling relations.

- $M_{DM} = M_{tot} M_{gas} M_*$
- Vikhlinin et al 2009 (Chandra): T_X (from spectroscopy) $\rightarrow M_{tot} = M_0 \left(\frac{T_X}{5k\rho V}\right)^{\alpha} E(z)^{-1}$
- Vikhlinin et al 2009 (Chandra): $M_{tot} \rightarrow M_{gas} = M_{tot}(f_{g,0} + \alpha log(\frac{M_{tot}}{10^{15}h^{-1}M_{\odot}}))$

• Gonzales et al 2013 : $M_{tot} \rightarrow M_* = a \left(\frac{M_{tot}}{10^{14} M_{\odot}} \right)^b$

Measurement of $sin^2(2\theta)$

- Number of photons from the dark matter haloes $S = \sum F_{DM,i} \times e_i \times A_i = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}}{m_s} \sum \mu_{DM,i} \times e_i \times A_i$
- e_i : exposure times; A_i ancillary area response [cm^2] for a photon of energy $\frac{E}{1+z_i}$
- $S \rightarrow \Gamma_{\gamma} \rightarrow sin^2(2\theta)$
- $sin^2(2\theta)$: some tensions between the full sample-rest of the sample /Perseus /Coma+Centaurus+Ophiuchus/Virgo

Consistence with previous studies.



Measure of $sin^2(2\theta)$



Table of contents



2 Building of the stacked spectra

- 3 Signal fitting by AtomDB
- 4 Dark matter candidates

5 Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Possible candidates

- He-Like CI line at 3.52 keV. But no presence of the stronger CI lines at 3.27 keV and 3.44 keV.
- Underestimation of the K XVIII line (must be 10-20 times the estimated value to match with the unknown line).
- Non-equilibrium plasma: possible boost of the Ar XVII DR line at 3.62 keV (but not enough)
- S XVI recombination edge at 3.494 keV. Significant for colder electron $T_e \sim 0.1 keV$.
- Charge exchanges between neutral hydrogen region and ionized region. New X-ray lines. Possible explanation for the signal in Perseus (Presence of neutral filaments in the core of this cluster).

Possible candidates

- He-Like CI line at 3.52 keV. But no presence of the stronger CI lines at 3.27 keV and 3.44 keV.
- Underestimation of the K XVIII line (must be 10-20 times the estimated value to match with the unknown line).
- Non-equilibrium plasma: possible boost of the Ar XVII DR line at 3.62 keV (but not enough)
- S XVI recombination edge at 3.494 keV. Significant for colder electron $T_e \sim 0.1 keV$.
- Charge exchanges between neutral hydrogen region and ionized region. New X-ray lines. Possible explanation for the signal in Perseus (Presence of neutral filaments in the core of this cluster).

Are the K XVIII lines bananas?

Jetlema et al 2014: "Dark matter searches going bananas: the contribution of Potassium (and chlorine) to the 3.5 keV line"

- Claim of an underestimation of the K XVIII lines at 3.47 keV and 3.51 keV partially due to an inconsistent multi-temperature model (too high temperature) in Bulbul et al (highy controversial)
- Ca XX/CaXIX (for MOS spectrum) ratio leads to $T_e = 3.5 keV$. Minimal $T_e = 5.9 keV$ above in Bulbul et al. Effect on the emissivities? Bulbul et al answer: no significant change.
- Leads to a battle in arxiv.

Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Evidence for an unknown line at 3.5 keV

- Boyarski et al 2014: Another XMM analysis a 3.51 keV additional lines in the Perseus cluster (outskirt region), in M31 (Andromeda) and in the Galactic center but in this last case can't exclude an explanation by a plasma line.
- Chandra detection in Bulbul et al : significant additional line in Perseus.
- Riemer-Sorensen 2014: No evidence for an additional line in the center of our galaxy.

Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Evidence for an unknown line at 3.5 keV

- Boyarski et al 2014: Another XMM analysis a 3.51 keV additional lines in the Perseus cluster (outskirt region), in M31 (Andromeda) and in the Galactic center but in this last case can't exclude an explanation by a plasma line.
- Chandra detection in Bulbul et al : significant additional line in Perseus.
- Riemer-Sorensen 2014: No evidence for an additional line in the center of our galaxy.

Suzaku and the Perseus cluster

Tamura et al 2015:

- No evidence for an additional line in the Perseus cluster.
- Line explained by instrumental calibration errors/ continuum modeling issues

Urban et al 2015 :

- Find the additional line in the Perseus cluster. Consistent with Bulbul et al.
- Evolution of the flux between a small radius and a larger radius measurement inconsistent with a dark matter decay. Line due to bad modeling of the complex Perseus spectra?
- Measurement of sin²(2θ) with the Perseus core signal must lead to a significant signal in Coma, Virgo and Ophiuchus. But nothing is found.

Explanation by underestimated known plasma lines

Evidence for an unknown line at 3.5 keV

- Boyarski et al 2014: Another XMM analysis a 3.51 keV additional lines in the Perseus cluster (outskirt region), in M31 (Andromeda) and in the Galactic center but in this last case can't exclude an explanation by a plasma line.
- Chandra detection in Bulbul et al : significant additional line in Perseus.
- Riemer-Sorensen 2014: No evidence for an additional line in the center of our galaxy.

Suzaku and the Perseus cluster

Tamura et al 2015:

- No evidence for an additional line in the Perseus cluster.
- Line explained by instrumental calibration errors/ continuum modeling issues

Urban et al 2015 :

- Find the additional line in the Perseus cluster. Consistent with Bulbul et al.
- Evolution of the flux between a small radius and a larger radius measurement inconsistent with a dark matter decay. Line due to bad modeling of the complex Perseus spectra?
- Measurement of $sin^2(2\theta)$ with the Perseus core signal must lead to a significant signal in Coma, Virgo and Ophiuchus. But nothing is found.

Work in progress

- Perseus cluster: Evidence for a plasma line.
- Rest of the sample: an open question.
- Astro-H launch in 2015/early 2016: better resolution and sensitivity.
- Radial distribution of the additional emission; proportional to the mass density (DM decay line) or proportional to the mass density squared (plasma line)? A way to discriminate.

Thanks for your attention!