

#### **The PRad Experiment**

#### Maxime Levillain

September 1, 2017











The Proton Radius Puzzle

**PRad Setup** 

**Detectors Performance** 

Analysis

Summary





#### Outline



#### The Proton Radius Puzzle

Different Methods of Measurement Elastic *ep* Scattering New Experiment Needed

**PRad Setup** 

**Detectors Performance** 

Analysis

Summary







- First measurement at SLAC in 1961 through ep scattering
- ▶ 60 years of measurements, 4 possible different methods

#### Atomic Hydrogen Spectroscopy

Lamb shift measurements by MPQ and LKB

#### ep Scattering

Accelerator based experiments at Mainz, SLAC, JLab, etc

Muonic Hydrogen Spectroscopy Lamb shift measurements by CREMA

# $\mu p$ Scattering

Future experiment PSI/MUSE







## **Spectroscopy Results**



#### Lamb shift measurements



- atomic hydrogen spectroscopy results compatible with *ep* scattering results
- muonic hydrogen spectroscopy results at 0.84 fm



**SZISA** 

The Proton Radius Puzzle



#### Elastic ep Scattering

 Elastic cross-section in the limit of the first Born approximation:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{Mott} \cdot \frac{E'}{E} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\tau} \cdot \left(G_E^{n2}(Q^2) + \frac{\tau}{\epsilon}G_M^{n2}(Q^2)\right)$$

with:  

$$Q^2 = 4EE' \sin^2\theta/2$$
  $\tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M_p^2}$   $\epsilon = 1/(1 + 2(1 + \tau)tan^2\theta/2)$ 

Structureless proton:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{Mott} = \frac{\alpha^2(1-\beta^2 sin^2\theta/2)}{4k^2 sin^4\theta/2}$$

► G<sub>E</sub> can be expressed using a Taylor expansion at low Q<sup>2</sup>:

$$G_E = 1 - \frac{Q^2}{6} < r^2 > + \frac{Q^4}{120} < r^4 > + \dots$$

which gives:

ENERGY

$$< r^2 > = -6 \cdot \frac{dG_E^p}{dQ^2} \Big|_{Q^2 = 0}$$



Phys. Rev. C 93, 065207

Jefferson Lab





Maxime Levillain

The Proton Radius Puzzle





 $r_p(e^-) = 0.8770 \pm 0.0045 fm$   $r_p(\mu^-) = 0.8409 \pm 0.0004 fm$ 

 Discrepancy between muonic hydrogen spectroscopy and atomic hydrogen (spectroscopy and scattering) measurements



# Maxime Levillain

# The PRad Experiment

- Previous measurements have large systematic uncertainties and a limited coverage at small  $Q^2$
- Requirements for PRad Experiment:
  - ▶ large Q<sup>2</sup> range
  - extend to very low Q<sup>2</sup>
  - controlled systematics at sub-percent precision
- Choices:

ENERGY

- Non magnetic spectrometer method
- No target windows
- high resolution high acceptance spectrometer
- Normalization by Møller cross-section



Phys. Rev. C 93, 065207





### **PRad Timeline**



- 2011 2012 Initial proposal
- 2012 Approved by JLab PAC39
- 2012 Funding proposal for windowless  $H_2$  gas flow target
- 2012 2015 Development, construction of the target
- 2013 Funding proposals for the GEM detectors
- 2013 2015 Development, construction of the GEM detectors
- 2015, 2016 Experiment readiness reviews
- January/April 2016 Beam line installation
- May 2016 Beam commissioning
- May 24 May 31 Detectors calibration
- June 4 June 15 1.1 GeV data taking
- June 15 June 22 2.2 GeV data taking





Outline



The Proton Radius Puzzle

PRad Setup JLab Facility PRad Setup Windowless Gas Flow Target Hybrid Calorimeter GEM detectors

**Detectors Performance** 

Analysis

Summary





#### **JLab Facility**





PRad was performed in Hall B at JLab



PRad Setup



#### JLab 12GeV Upgrade



 First experiment finished using 12 GeV accelerator (not at full beam energy)







## **PRad Setup**





- $\blacktriangleright$  Electron beam or tagged photon beam at  $\sim 1 \text{ GeV}$  and  $\sim 2 \text{ GeV}$
- Windowless  $H_2$  gas flow target
- Vacuum box

< JSA

- GEM detectors
- Primex HyCal





### Windowless H<sub>2</sub> Gas Flow Target

- gas target of cryogenically cooled hvdrogen at 19.5 K
- beam opening: 2 mm, length: 4 cm
- cell density:  $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{18}$  H atoms/cm<sup>2</sup>
- pressures:

ENERGY

- cell pressure: 471 mTorr
- chamber pressure: 2.34 mTorr
- vacuum chamber pressure: 0.3 mTorr

Developed and build by JLab target group

Maxime Levillain



-axis Motion Mecha









PRad Setup





H2 gas IN

#### Vacuum Box







- 1.7 m diameter, 2 mm aluminum vacuum window
- $\rightarrow$  Limited background



PRad Setup



#### Hybrid detector:

- Central part:
  - ▶ 34 x 34 matrix of PbWO<sub>4</sub> detectors
  - dimension of block:  $2 \times 2 \times 18$  cm<sup>3</sup>
  - 2 x 2 blocks removed from the center for beam line to pass through
- Peripheral part:
  - 576 lead glass detectors
  - dimension of block:  $4 \times 4 \times 45$  cm<sup>3</sup>
- Successfully used for Primex experiments







PRad Setup



#### **GEM Detectors**



- ► Two large area GEM detectors: 55 cm × 123 cm
- Purpose:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  improve spatial resolution by a factor 20 to 40  $\rightarrow$  100  $\mu{\rm m}$
  - ightarrow to reduce uncertainties on heta and  $Q^2$
- Central overlap between the 2 planes and central hole for the beam line





Developed and build by UVA



PRad Setup





The Proton Radius Puzzle

**PRad Setup** 

#### **Detectors Performance**

HyCal Energy Resolution Trigger Efficiency GEM Matching Efficiency GEM Spatial Resolution

Analysis

#### Summary







Crystal energy resolution with statistical uncertainties



- Achieved expected energy resolution:
  - ▶ 2.5% at 1 GeV for crystal part
  - $\blacktriangleright$  6.1% at 1 GeV for lead glass part



# **Trigger Efficiency**





Good uniformity

< JSA

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



### **GEM Matching Efficiency**

ENERGY





- GEM detection efficiency measured in both photon beam calibration (pair production) and production runs (ep and ee)
- Almost flat efficiency > 97% after removal of spacers and dead zones



### **GEM Spatial Resolution**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ALS





• Really good spatial resolution  $\sim$  74  $\mu m$ 

Maxime Levillain

> 20 to 40 times better than HyCal spatial resolution

Detectors Performance





The Proton Radius Puzzle

**PRad Setup** 

**Detectors Performance** 

#### Analysis

Stability Yields Cross-sections

#### Summary







- Calibration with tagged photon beam
  - Every calorimeter module moved into the beam
  - Allows study of resolution, linearity, trigger efficiency
- ▶ 1.1 GeV electron beam
  - ▶ 4.2 mC
  - 604 M events with target
  - 53 M events with "empty target"
  - 25 M events with <sup>12</sup>C target for calibration
- 2.2 GeV electron beam
  - ▶ 14.3 mC
  - 756 M events with target
  - 38 M events with "empty target"
  - 10.5 M events with <sup>12</sup>C target for calibration







 Control of target properties (pressure, temperature, position) via EPICS



Weizhi Xiong



```
25 / 32
```



Phase space after background subtraction



 Separation of *ep* and Møller phase space (for θ > 0.85*deg* for 1 GeV)





ep Yields









 Stability of ratio ep/ee after background subtraction for different beam intensity



Good stability for the 2GeV period







► Normalization of *ep* cross-section by Møller cross-section:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{ep} = \frac{N_{exp}(ep \to ep \text{ in } \theta_i \pm \Delta\theta)}{N_{exp}(ee \to ee)} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{geom}^{ee}}{\epsilon_{geom}^{ep}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{det}^{ee}}{\epsilon_{det}^{ep}} \cdot \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{ee}$$

- Several event generators have been developped for *ep* and Møller scattering taking into account complete calculations of radiative corrections beyond ultra relativistic approximations
  - ► A. V. Gramolin et al., J. Phys. G Nucl. Part. Phys. 41(2014)115001
  - ► I. Akushevich et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 51(2015)1
- Geant4 is used to take into account all external radiative effects

$$\sigma_{ep}^{Born} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{ep}}{\sigma_{ee}}\right)^{exp} / \left(\frac{\sigma_{ep}}{\sigma_{ee}}\right)^{sim} \cdot \sigma_{ee}^{Born}$$





## Preliminary ep Cross-section

- PRadius
- Preliminary ep cross-section for the 2.2 GeV data set
- $\blacktriangleright$  Statistical uncertainties at  $\sim 0.2\%$  per point
- $\blacktriangleright$  Conservative point-to-point systematic uncertainties at  $\sim 2\%$







## **Preliminary** *ep* **Cross-section**

Maxime Levillain

ENERGY

Jefferson Lab

- Preliminary ep cross-section for the 2.2 GeV data set
- Statistical uncertainties at  $\sim 0.2\%$  per point
- Conservative point-to-point systematic uncertainties at  $\sim 2\%$



Analysis

31 / 32

ep elastic scattering cross section



ENERGY



- The PRad experiment was uniquely designed to address the Proton Radius Puzzle
- ► The experiment was successfully performed in May-June 2016
- ► Wide range of Q<sup>2</sup> without normalization on more than two orders of magnitude (2 · 10<sup>-4</sup> GeV<sup>2</sup> to 6 · 10<sup>-2</sup> GeV<sup>2</sup>)
- Lowest  $Q^2$  data set of ep elastic scattering  $(2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^2)$
- First preliminary extraction of the proton radius expected at the end of October

Thanks to JLab, Hall B, Accelerator Division and Target Group

PRad is supported in part by NSF MRI award PHY-1229153, as well as DOE awards for GEM; my research work is supported by NSF awards: PHY-1506388 and PHY-0855543  $\,$ 

