# Micromegas R&D for muon imaging activities at Saclay

Christopher Filosa (CEA/DRF/IRFU/DPhN)



DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE



## Outline

- A Brief reminder about cosmic muons
- Micromegas R&D
  - $\rightarrow$  improve spatial and time resolution
- Gas R&D
  - $\rightarrow$  improve gas consumption
- Detection of faults in concrete slab
  - $\rightarrow$  first step into tomography

# Close encounters of the Third Kind

# A permanent cosmic bombing raid



#### A cosmic shower !



#### Primaries : mainly protons

Muon flux at ground :  $150/m^2/s \implies \cos(\Theta)^2$  distribution

Mean Energy ~ 4GeV ➡ Kinetic energy of grain of sand at 1m/s

Celerity ~ c

Lifetime ~ 2µs

Natural radiation, free and harmless !



# MicroMegas detectors

Main principles



#### **MicroMegas detector**



#### MICROMEGAS = MICRO MEsh GAseous Structure

Gaseous Detector developed at CEA Saclay in 1996 by I. Giomataris, Ph. Rebourgeard et G. Charpak (Nobel prize 1992)



#### **MicroMegas detector**

#### Main idea : Separate the conversion and the amplification zone



# Gas R&D





- Idea : Sealed detector to reduce drastically the leak of gas
  - → Leak < 30µL/h (Measure taking into account T variations)</p>
  - → Next step : stuck only PCB anode.
- Electronic control of gas consumption (2 to 3 times less).
  - → Make sure about gain stability







![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

- PCB outgassing issue
  - → Humidity + gaseous pollutant through the outgassing of PCB
  - → Degradation of gas : Recirculation + purification system
  - → Heated process for PCB (à la HARPO) tested
  - → New vacuum chamber to make tests

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

• For sensors boxes, seems to be the good method

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

- For sensors boxes, seems to be the good method
- Other pollutants outgassed ? Humidity from outside ?
- No degradation of the boxe caused by pumping/heating
- The same for detector ? (PCB porosity, resistivity degradation ... )

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Humidity 15 times lower in TB than other detectors (with gas circulation)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $\Delta V$  between Bottom and Top detectors
- Slope in V/h to compensate the gas degradation
- Filled with T2K gas
- Less humidity, but same slope in V/h : (T variation)
  - → 0.67 V/h, 1.16 V/h, 0.93 V/h , 0.85 V/h

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### or outgassing

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

- BB Filled with Ar: 95% , iC\_4H\_{10}: 5% gas whereas TB and TT with T2K gas
- Different slopes in V/h with the two gas :
  - Ar-lso : 0.52V/h  $\rightarrow$
  - T2K: 0.75V/h, 1.05V/h  $\rightarrow$
- Capsula ON : Humidity divided by 2 in one hour. But only 3V lost
  - Other pollutant than humidity →

- Oxysorb ON flushed with Ar: 95% ,  $iC_4H_{10}$ : 5% :
  - Oxysorb absorbs something else  $\rightarrow$
  - Perhaps Oxygen →

# **Problem of stability**

- Humidity could induce gas degradation
  - $\rightarrow$  PCB porosity ?
  - $\rightarrow$  Other sources ?

- Stronger effect with CF4 :
  - → HF production ? But no visible clues (Liquid under 20°C + aging)

- Other unknown pollutant
  - → Could it be Oxygen
  - → No major gas leak in our detector. Need to investigate.

# Micromegas R&D

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Diamond Like Carbon (DLC) Micromegas**

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

- 50 x 50 cm<sup>2</sup> active surface
- Resistive DLC
  - → Chemical deposition technique
  - → No alignement needed
  - → More homogeneous than strips
  - → Pressed and glued by Rui de Oliveira at CERN
  - → Bulked at Saclay
- Integrated resistivity ~ 50MΩ
  - → higher than resistive strips
  - → Clusters' size are expected to be equal in X/Y readout

### **Characterization of DLC Micromegas Cluster size distribution**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Increasing of clusters' size due to the position of Y strips (Charge collection along the resistive strips)
- For cluster size >16
  - Ambiguities can appear
  - Spatial resolution degraded
  - Need to improve X coordinate

### **Characterization of DLC Micromegas** Cluster size distribution

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Higher resistivity for DLC + no strips structure
  - → Less spreading
  - $\rightarrow$  DLC Clusters' size are equal in X/Y readout

## **Characterization of DLC Micromegas** 2D Map of amplitude

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- → Unstuck pillars zone
- Nevertheless, the unstuck zone is still efficient when the HV increases

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

- No problem with pillars
- Inhomogeneous zone of gain
- Problem during cleaning process
  - → Development bath
  - → Remnant of photoresist film

23

## **Characterization of DLC Micromegas** 2D Map of amplitude

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Nevertheless, the unstuck zone is still efficient when the HV increases

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Still Inhomogeneous zone of gain after alcool + karcher
- Deposit of photoresist film (change of mesh color observed)

#### **Characterization of DLC Micromegas** Amplitude distribution S041

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Charge mostly received by upper strips
  - → Factor 3 between Y (up) and X (down) strips
  - → Capacitance coupling

### **Characterization of DLC Micromegas** Amplitude distribution S041

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Charge mostly received by upper strips
  - → Factor 3 between Y (up) and X (down) strips
  - → Capacitance coupling
  - → If strips on DLC, better coupling between X and Y

# **Stripped DLC**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Standard DLC with photoresist films above
- Abrasion done with harsh stones to eliminate the DLC between the strips
- Photolithography to eliminate the photoresist film
  - At the end, only DLC strips
  - Homogeneity + good capacitance coupling

# **Stripped DLC**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Map of hits position : zone of inefficiency
  - → Current due to remanent of photoresist
  - → laddered stripped on PCB
- Issue observed in CLAS12
- Factor 2 between X and Y strips

Discovery of a big void in a concrete slab by observation of cosmic-ray muons

nature

International weekly journal of science

# **Two modes of tomography** $\mathcal{V}_{x,im}^{\theta_{x,im}}$

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Transmission

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $- < \frac{dE}{\rho dx} > = K z^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 T_{max}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta \gamma)}{2} \right)$ 

- Coulomb diffusion
  - → deflection angle depend of density
  - → 10 cm of lead ~ 1° of deflection
- 3D Imaging
- Use for homeland security
- Spatial resolution is drastic
- Faster than transmission

- Muon survival probability depends of the density
  - → A density map can be made from the muon flux
  - → Volcanoes
  - → Geological prospection
- Muon flux at ground : 1 muon/cm<sup>2</sup>/mn
  - → Tradeoff between sensitivity and acquisition time
  - → Better precision can extract the most information of each muon

#### Imaging faults in a concrete slab

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Imaging faults in a concrete slab

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

→ Two mode tested : transmission and absorption (deviation not adapted. Not dense enough)

#### New mode in Tomomu : absorption

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Relative muons excess in transmission =  $S_1/S_2 \Rightarrow$  Object with high density (pyramids, volcanoes, buildings) Relative muons excess in absorption =  $S_1/(S_1 + S_0) \Rightarrow$  Object with low/intermediate density

#### Simulations

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Better in absorption than transmission because of the sensibility
- Simulations also made with a 97% efficient Cerenkov detector

# Help us Bayes

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

- H0 : M and N are distributed with the same poisson distribution with  $\lambda$ .
- H1 : M and N are distributed with differents poisson distribution ( $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ )

$$P(m|n,\lambda) \propto \frac{\Gamma(m+1/2+n)}{m! \Gamma(n+1/2)} 2^{-(m+1/2+n)} \quad \text{avec } \Gamma \text{ la fonction } \Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty u^{t-1} e^{-u} du$$

# Help us Bayes

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Once we found the probability, we have to take the cumulative to reject or accept the hypothesis H0

#### **Simulations in absorption - results**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

N N N

سليسيل سليسان والمباسيل سيلسيل

CL 99,99994%

#### Imaging faults in a concrete slab

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

Two position allowed for the void
Symmetry by 180° rotation

- Analysis done between I vs II and I vs III
  - Detectors were moved by 15cm
  - No faults appeared after dividing the two histograms
  - Blurring due to acceptance (geometry and efficiency) and diffusion of muons in the concrete slab

#### Imaging faults in a concrete slab

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Two position allowed for the void
Symmetry by 180° rotation

1000 mm

- Analysis done between I vs II and I vs III
  - Comparison shows a significant difference

VINCI

the fault moved by 15cm as we hoped

#### **Other method**

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Calculate the nb of muons in controlled size square in both 2D distribution : N1, N2
- Estimate the difference of these two numbers and normalised it :  $(N1-N2) / \sqrt{(N1^2+N2^2)}$

#### **Other method**

2,7

 $\sigma$ 

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

6,7

7,2

5,1

3,8

Λ	1
-	1

7,9

## Recap

#### • More R&D on Micromegas

- → Choose between two technologies (serigraphy or DLC)
- → Make sure the spatial and time resolution are improved to plan  $\mu$ TPC algorithm
- $\rightarrow$  Understand gain unstability

#### Reconstruction

- → Detection of faults in concrete slab with a new method
- → Next time : 3D reconstruction and tomography !?

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

# THANKS

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

Cez