

Charged particle transport in turbulent media

F. Spanier A. Ivascenko S. Lange C. Schreiner

Center for Space Research, North-West University Astronum 2013, Biarritz



Motivation





- Particle transport in heliosphere and ISM
- What is the microphysics of transport?
- Turbulent magnetic fields
 ⇒ charged particle scattering



Motivation





- Particle transport in heliosphere and ISM
- What is the microphysics of transport?
- Turbulent magnetic fields
 ⇒ charged particle scattering





- Particle transport is described by the Fokker-Planck equation
- Vlasov equation in gyrocenter coordinates

Fokker-Planck-Equation

$$\frac{\partial F_{T}}{\partial t} + \nu \mu \frac{\partial F_{T}}{\partial Z} - \epsilon \Omega \frac{\partial F_{T}}{\partial \phi} = S_{T}(X_{\sigma}, t) + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\sigma}} \left(\rho^{2} D_{X_{\sigma} X_{\nu}} \frac{\partial F_{T}}{\partial X_{\nu}} \right)$$

- Diffusion-convection equation
- Pitch angle diffusion coefficient $D_{\mu\mu}$ particularly important
- Mean free path λ_{\parallel} derived from that

MHD Simulations





< □ > < 同 > <

-

MHD Simulations



Test particle Simulations





< 口 > < 同

▶ < Ξ ▶</p>

Test particle Simulations







Testing the interaction of particles with a single wave

- Inject isotropic, monoenergetic particle distribution
- Assume background plasma with one Alfvén wave
- Plot Δµ(t) vs. µ₀



μο

2 gyrations, wave amplitude $\delta B/B_0 = 0.01$, QLT prediction

Δµ





2 gyrations, wave amplitude $\delta B/B_0 = 0.01$





10 gyrations, wave amplitude $\delta B/B_0 = 0.001$





2 gyrations, wave amplitude $\delta B/B_0 = 0.1$





50 gyrations, wave amplitude $\delta B/B_0 = 0.001$

Testing the interaction of particles with turbulence



$$D_{\alpha\alpha} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha^2 \rangle}{2\Delta t}$$

scattering angle diffusion coefficient

Scattering in MHD turbulence





Scattering in MHD turbulence





MHD excitation





Felix Spanier (NWU)

Scattering with excited modes I k_{\parallel}





Scattering with excited modes I k_{\parallel}





Scattering with excited modes II k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp}



Scattering with excited modes II k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp}



Quasilinear comparison







- Good agreement of testparticle and QLT results in $D_{\alpha\alpha}$
- SQLT misses Cherenkov resonance (n = 0)
- Limited spectrum yields resonance gap
- Finite simulation time results in broadened resonances



$\mu - \Delta \mu$ plots show the physics of scattering For further use $D_{\mu\mu}$ or $D_{\alpha}\alpha$ is needed! Determination is - as seen - flawed, especially for strong turbulence.

Running coefficient



Derivation of $D_{\mu\mu}$ via its definition:

$$D_{\mu\mu} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{(\Delta \mu)^2}{2\,\Delta t} \stackrel{t \gg t_0}{\approx} \frac{(\Delta \mu)^2}{2\,\Delta t}$$



Kubo-Formalismus



Integration along trajectories:

$$D_{\mu\mu} = \sum_{T} \frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{t_0=0}^t \Delta t \, \dot{\mu}(t_0) \dot{\mu}(t)$$





Discretisation of the diffusion equation for each μ^n :

$$\partial_t f = rac{D_{\mu\mu}^{n+1} - D_{\mu\mu}^{n-1}}{2 \cdot \Delta \mu} \partial_\mu f + D_{\mu\mu}^n \partial_{\mu\mu} f$$

Tridiagonal matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\mu\mu}f^{0} & \frac{\partial_{\mu}f^{0}}{2\Delta\mu} & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{\partial_{\mu}f^{1}}{2\Delta\mu} & \partial_{\mu\mu}f^{1} & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{\partial_{\mu}f^{n-1}}{2\Delta\mu}\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\partial_{\mu}f^{n}}{2\Delta\mu} & \partial_{\mu\mu}f^{n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} D^{0}_{\mu\mu}\\ D^{1}_{\mu\mu}\\ \vdots\\ D^{n}_{\mu\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{t}f^{0}\\ \partial_{t}f^{1}\\ \vdots\\ \partial_{t}f^{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix inversion with standard methods!



- Ensemble averaging over several simulations would be statistically correct, but expensive
- Fitting or smoothing is usually required
- Integration of the diffusion equation over μ smoothes time derivatives

$$\int_{-1}^{\mu} \frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu, t)}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}\mu = \mathcal{D}_{\mu\mu}(\mu) \frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu, t)}{\partial \mu} = -j_{\mu}(\mu)$$

• Diffusion coefficients are calculated via the integration of μ -stream

Results





Results







Physical Background:

magnetized plasma in heliosphere / solar wind

- thermal background plasma
- non thermal component of energetic particles
- resonant scattering of particles on plasma waves
- Fermi-II-acceleration



Physical Background:

magnetized plasma in heliosphere / solar wind

- thermal background plasma
- non thermal component of energetic particles
- resonant scattering of particles on plasma waves
- Fermi-II-acceleration

Numerical Setting:

- magnetized thermal background plasma
- one excited wave mode
- population of relativistic test particles





Simulation Setup:

 excitation of low frequency wave (ideal case: *Alfvén wave*)
 → huge number of cells and timesteps required



- use resonance condition to determine the parallel component of the test particles' velocities
 - \rightarrow parameters k_w , ω_w and Ω_i give constraints
 - ightarrow resonant pitch angle $\mu_{\rm res}$ is free
- initialize monoenergetic test particles ($|\mathbf{v}| = v_{\parallel}$) with isotropic angular distribution
 - \rightarrow resonant scattering only for particles with $\mu=\mu_{\rm res}$

Pitch Angle Diffusion



Scatter Plots:

- peaks at $\pm \mu_{\rm res}$
- left peak: lefthanded wave
- right peak: righthanded wave
- ballistic transport (smaller peaks)
- QLT approximation



Pitch Angle Diffusion with PiC





Pitch Angle Diffusion with PiC







- basic characteristics of resonant wave-particle interaction are found
- results are comparable to MHD simulations
- deviations from QLT due to
 - \rightarrow dispersive wave modes?
 - \rightarrow thermal broadening of test particle population?
 - \rightarrow 1D and 2D simulation effects?
- full 3D simulations are yet to come
 - \rightarrow waiting for computing time...