The influence of frequencydependent radiative transfer on the structures of radiative shocks

Matthias GONZÁLEZ

AIM, Université Paris Diderot - CEA - CNRS, France

Neil Vaytet, Edouard Audit, Gilles Chabrier

Outline

Introduction to radiation hydrodynamics

I. Multigroup M1 model

II. Numerical method and tests

III. Multigroup radiative shocks

Conclusions and perspectives

The radiation hydrodynamics

Radiative transfer treatment: 2 solutions

- 1. diagnostic and interpretation tool
- no feedback with hydrodynamics

fine transfer (atomic data, lines....)

2. dynamic effects of the radiation

global budget (energy – impulsion)

This is **radiation hydrodynamics**

Relevant applications for radiation hydrodynamics:

In astrophysics

- > accretion shocks on massive object or in formation
- ➤ stellar jets and flows
- ➤ radiative winds of pulsating stars
- supernovae explosions...
- In laboratory plasmas
 - > physics of Inertial Confinement Fusion
 - radiative shocks

How to solve the transfer equation ?

 $\left(\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{n}.\nabla\right)I(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{n}, \nu) = \eta(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{n}, \nu) - \chi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{n}, \nu)I(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{n}, \nu)$

Direct integration

✓ high cost (time – memory)

Monte-Carlo methods

 \checkmark coupling with hydrodynamics not natural

 \checkmark high cost in optically thick regions

Moments models

 \checkmark approximations of the physical model

$$\begin{cases} E_r(\mathbf{x}, t, \nu) &= \frac{1}{c} \quad \oint I(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{n}, \nu) & d\omega & \text{Radiative energy} \\ \mathbf{F}_r(\mathbf{x}, t, \nu) &= & \oint I(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{n}, \nu) \mathbf{n} & d\omega & \text{Radiative flux} \\ \mathbf{P}_r(\mathbf{x}, t, \nu) &= & \frac{1}{c} & \oint I(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{n}, \nu) \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} & d\omega & \text{Radiative pressure} \end{cases}$$

ASTRONUM-2013

The moments models

If LTE and no scattering:

$$\partial_t E_r^{\nu} + \nabla F_r^{\nu} = \sigma^{\nu} c (4\pi B(\nu, T) - E_r^{\nu})$$
$$\partial_t F_r^{\nu} + c^2 \nabla F_r^{\nu} = -\sigma^{\nu} c F_r^{\nu}$$

Needs then a closure relation to the system:

 $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{r}}^{\mathsf{v}} = \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{r}}^{\mathsf{v}}, \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{r}}^{\mathsf{v}})$

e.g. Diffusion :
$$F_r = -\frac{c}{\sigma} \nabla P_r + \mathbb{P}_r = \frac{1}{3} E_r \mathbb{I}$$

 $\partial_t E_r^{\nu} + \nabla \cdot \lambda \frac{c}{3\sigma^{\nu}} \nabla E_r^{\nu} = \sigma^{\nu} c (4\pi B(\nu, T) - E_r^{\nu})$

rapid BUT - flux always colinear and proportional with the energy gradient

- ad-hoc flux limiter $\,\lambda$

1

Planck function:

$$B(\nu, T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} [\exp(\frac{h\nu}{kT}) - 1]^{-1}$$

$$\begin{split} \underline{\mathsf{M1 \ distribution \ function:}}\\ B(\nu, \vec{\Omega}, T^*) &= \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} [\exp(\frac{h\nu}{kT^*} (1 - \frac{2 - \sqrt{4 - 3f^2}}{f^2} \vec{f}.\vec{\Omega})) - 1]^{-1}\\ & \text{with} \quad T^* = \frac{2}{f} \left(-1 + \sqrt{4 - 3f^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{f^2 - 2 + \sqrt{4 - 3f^2}} T_R\\ & \text{and} \quad f = \frac{F_r}{cE_r} \end{split}$$

> Lorentz transformation of a Planck function

The M1 model



Advantages

Iow cost

take radiation anisotropies into account

exact in both diffusive and free streaming limits

> can take (anisotropic) diffusion into account

> allow "proper" means over opacities

The radiation hydrodynamics

In the comoving frame... at O(v/c)

(Mihalas & Mihalas 1984)

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho u) = 0 \partial_t (\rho u) + \partial_x (\rho u^2 + p) = \int_0^\infty (\sigma_\nu / c) F_\nu d\nu \partial_t e + \partial_x (u(e+p)) = -\int_0^\infty \sigma_\nu (4\pi B - cE_\nu - uF_\nu / c) d\nu$$



The multigroup approach

The idea is to make some means over frequency ranges.

The multigroup method splits the frequency domain into domains (called groups) where the radiative variables are considered constant

$$E_g = \int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} E_{\nu} d\nu \qquad F_g = \int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} F_{\nu} d\nu \qquad P_g = \int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} P_{\nu} d\nu$$

Then, opacities weighted averages over these groups appear in the equations:

$$\sigma_{Pg} = \frac{\int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} \sigma_{\nu} B(\nu, T) d\nu}{\int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} B(\nu, T) d\nu} \quad \sigma_{Eg} = \frac{\int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} \sigma_{\nu} E_{\nu} d\nu}{E_{g}} \quad \sigma_{Fg} = \frac{\int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} \sigma_{\nu} F_{\nu} d\nu}{F_{g}}$$

The comoving frame multigroup radiation hydrodynamics

(Turpault 2005 ; Vaytet et al. 2011)

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho u) = 0$$

$$\partial_t (\rho u) + \partial_x (\rho u^2 + p) = \sum_{g=1}^{Ng} (\sigma_{Fg}/c) F_g$$

$$\partial_t e + \partial_x (u(e+p)) = -\sum_{g=1}^{Ng} \left(c(\sigma_{Pg} \Theta_g(T) - \sigma_{Eg} E_g) - (\sigma_{Fg}/c) u F_g \right)$$

$$\partial_t E_g + \partial_x F_g + \partial_x (uE_g) + P_g \partial_x u - \partial_x u \int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} \partial_\nu (\nu P_\nu) d\nu = c(\sigma_{Pg} \Theta_g(T) - \sigma_{Eg} E_g)$$

$$\partial_t F_g + c^2 \partial_x P_g + \partial_x (uF_g) + F_g \partial_x u - \partial_x u \int_{\nu_{g-1/2}}^{\nu_{g+1/2}} \partial_\nu (\nu Q_\nu) d\nu = -\sigma_{Fg} cF_g$$

interaction of neighboring groups

ASTRONUM-2013



They are treated with a finite volume scheme in the frequency domain

$$\frac{E_g^{n+1} - E_g^n}{\Delta t} - \partial_x u \left(\nu_{g+1/2} P_{g+1/2}^n - \nu_{g-1/2} P_{g-1/2}^n \right) = 0$$
$$\frac{F_g^{n+1} - F_g^n}{\Delta t} - \partial_x u \left(\nu_{g+1/2} Q_{g+1/2}^n - \nu_{g-1/2} Q_{g-1/2}^n \right) = 0$$



The HERACLES code

➤ An Eulerian 3D MPI RMHD code

González et al. A&A 2007

- > Hydrodynamics: explicit, MUSCL-Hancock
- Implicit (Gauss-Seidel) multigroup M1 radiative transfer
- http://irfu.cea.fr/Projets/Site_heracles/



Numerical tests: Marshak wave

- \succ T=1000K in domain with T=300K
- constant or frequency-, T-dependent opacities
- comparison with a kinetic model : error about 0.5%





> They are found in astrophysics in a lot of situations: supernovae, stellar atmospheres, star formation, jets...

➤ They are reproduced on Earth on laser facilities: LMJ, OMEGA, Orion...

Radiative shocks: 2 categories



subcritical

supercritical

Radiative shocks: setup

- > Argon gas (opacities from ODALISC database)
- \succ in the shock frame so that it is stationary
- > pre-shock gas at $\rho = 10^{-3}$ g cm⁻³, T = 1 eV, T_r=T
- ➤ u = 30 km/s (subcritical) or u = 100 km/s (supercritical)
- post-shock quantities computed with Rankine-Hugoniot relations
- \succ we run the simulation until the stationary regime is obtained
- ➤ 1-5-10-20-50-100 groups



Argon opacities at initial conditions and post-shock conditions for u = 100 km/s

ASTRONUM-2013

Temperature profiles: 1 group



Temperature profiles: 5 groups



Temperature profiles: 10 groups



Temperature profiles: 20 groups



Temperature profiles: 50 groups



Temperature profiles: 100 groups



Precursor size



Electron densities



ASTRONUM-2013

Zel'dovich spike: 1 group



Zel'dovich spike: 5 groups



Zel'dovich spike: 100 groups



ASTRONUM-2013

Zel'dovich spike: explanation



So
$$E^{\text{abs}} \propto [\kappa_1 F_1 + \kappa_2 F_2] \Delta \nu$$

 $\propto [5 \times 10^{-3} cE_0 + 5 \times 10^{-3} cE_0] \Delta \nu$

which is twice the amount found by considering only the dominant group 1.

Including group 2 does not change the total radiative temperature, but does change the amount of energy absorbed by the gas, and the gas and radiative temperatures can hence be decoupled (cf. Drake 2007)

Adaptation zones





Summary and perspectives

Summary

- ✓ development of M1 multigroup model
- \checkmark influence of multigroup on the precursor size
- \checkmark effects on electron densities detectable in experiments
- \checkmark detection of adaptation zones

Perspectives

- \checkmark effects of different model of opacities
- ✓ development of multigroup M1 model in the AMR RAMSES code
- ✓ simulations of star formation (cf. N. Vaytet's talk on Thursday 12h15)