### Some general comments about EFT analyses of NP searches & applications to Higgs physics

#### **IRFU/SPP CEA Saclay**

April 2015



M. González-Alonso

## Outline

- Intro: searching for NP;
- \* EFT: General comments.
  - What's an EFT?
  - Illustrative example: W boson & the (V-A)(V-A) effective theory
  - EFT at the EW scale? Linear EFT?
- Higgs physics:
  - Generalizing the kappa framework: Higgs pseudo-observables
  - New Physics room in  $h \rightarrow 4$  leptons ?

[MGA & Isidori, PLB733 (2014)] [MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, EPJC75 (2015)] [MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, arXiv:1504.xxxx]

## Intro: the search for 'New Physics'

**NEW PHYSICS** : a new theory that completes the SM and solves (at least some of) the current puzzles.

- Every experiment has a discovery potential... but we cannot make them all!
- Theory can provide some guidance... useful to prioritize & interpret results.
- Which theory?
  - Simple option: specific NP model
  - Can we be more general? Yes: Effective Field Theories. Caveat: Model-indep., but not assumption-indep.;







#### M. González-Alonso

## What's an EFT?



M. González-Alonso

## What's an EFT?



#### M. González-Alonso

## Simple example: beta decays



M. González-Alonso

## Simple example: beta decays

\* In real life, the process is the other way around:



## EFT at the EW scale





M. González-Alonso

## EFT at the EW scale: linear EFT



## EFT at the EW scale: linear EFT



• Parametrization of BSM effects in any given process (#dof). E.g.  $\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{d\Gamma_{SM}}{dq^2} + f(\alpha_4; q^2)$ 

#### M. González-Alonso

## EFT at the EW scale:

#### Correlating measurements (or how to play the EFT game)

- \* Choose your EFT, *e.g. linear EFT*
- \* Choose an operator basis {O<sub>1</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, ..., O<sub>n</sub>}, *e.g. the Warsaw basis*  $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \Sigma \alpha_i O_i$
- Calculate the observable you like in the EFT,  $e.g. O = O_{SM} + \sum c_i \alpha_i = O_{SM} + 3\alpha_l - \alpha_6$
- What are the known limits on the Wilson coefficients? e.g. from LEP...  $\alpha_1 = 0.001(3)$ ,  $\alpha_2$  unkown, ...

More precisely:  $\chi^2$  with (*LEP*) measurements gives you central values and error matrix

Implications for your observable?

e.g. error matrix  $\rightarrow 3\alpha_1 - \alpha_6 = 0.02(4)$ 

- ~4% sensitivity (th+exp) to be competitive (or to check a LEP anomaly);
- A deviation larger than that indicates some wrong assumptions in your EFT!

A) Pseudo-observables in Higgs decays (EFT-inspired)B) Linear EFT: h→4l

[MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, EPJC75 (2015)] [MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, arXiv:1504.xxxx]

M. González-Alonso

- After the discovery, we enter a high-precision Higgs physics era.
- How to analyze exp results? How to pass them to the theory community?
  - Extreme case (no theory bias): all available experimental info... we wouldn't know what todo!
  - The other extreme (max theory bias): assume a simple model with 1 free parameter P, analyze all Higgs data and extract P.







What was done in run 1? Kappa framework

$$\sigma(ii \to \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{X}) \times \mathrm{BR}(\mathbf{h} \to ff) = \sigma_{ii} \frac{\Gamma_{ff}}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{h}}} = \frac{\kappa_{ii}^2 \kappa_{ff}^2}{\kappa_{\mathbf{h}}^2} \sigma_{\mathrm{SM}} \times \mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{SM}}$$

Virtues: Clean SM limit  $(k \rightarrow 1)$ , well-def. exp & th, quite general.

#### Limitations:

- What about NP affecting mainly diff. distr? (easy to conceive, e.g. CPV)
- \* What about hVff terms? (diff. in production & decay)







#### M. González-Alonso

• We need a larger set of "pseudo-observables" able to characterize NP in the Higgs sector with the least theory bias.

Let's focus on  $h\rightarrow 4l$  (where the limitations of the kappa framework are more relevant)

Assumption #1: Chirality-conserving interactions

$$\mathcal{A} = i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L, e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L, \mu_R} (\bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha} e) (\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta}\mu) \times T^{\alpha\beta}(q_1, q_2)$$

Lorentz symmetry:

$$T^{\alpha\beta}(q_1,q_2) = F_1^{e\mu}(q_1^2,q_2^2)g^{\alpha\beta} + F_3^{e\mu}(q_1^2,q_2^2)\frac{q_1 \cdot q_2 \ g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2{}^{\alpha}q_1{}^{\beta}}{m_Z^2} + F_4^{e\mu}(q_1^2,q_2^2)\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}q_{2\rho}q_{1\sigma}}{m_Z^2}$$

==> One could simply extract FFs but it requires an enormous amount of data & general considerations (EFT-inspired) tells us quite a lot about them...

[MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, 2014]

1



[MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, 2014]

Leading NP effects (linear & non-linear EFT):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} = & i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L,e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L,\mu_R} \left( \bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha} e \right) (\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta}\mu) \times \\ & \left[ \left( \kappa_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Ze}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Z\mu}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^e}{P_Z(q_1^2)} \right) g^{\alpha\beta} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{SM-1L} \left( \frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^{\mu}}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{SM-1L} \frac{e^2 Q_e Q_{\mu}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2 \ g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}}{m_Z^2} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ}^{CP} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{CP} \left( \frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^{\mu}}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{CP} \frac{e^2 Q_e Q_{\mu}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} q_{2\rho} q_1\sigma}{m_Z^2} \right] \\ & P_Z(q^2) = q^2 - m_Z^2 + im_Z \Gamma_Z \end{split}$$



PS: Absence of light states is crucial...

M. González-Alonso



[MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, 2014]

Leading NP effects (linear & non-linear EFT):

$$\begin{split} A &= i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L,e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L,\mu_R} \left(\bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha}e\right) (\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta}\mu) \times \\ & \left[ \left( \kappa_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Ze}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Z\mu}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^e}{P_Z(q_1^2)} \right) g^{\alpha\beta} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{SM-1L} \left( \frac{eQ_\mu g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^\mu}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \left( \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \right)_{\gamma\gamma}^{SM-1L} \frac{e^{2Q_e}Q_\mu}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{g_1 \cdot q_2 \ g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^\alpha q_1^\beta}{m_Z^2} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ}^{CP} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^\mu}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{CP} \left( \frac{eQ_\mu g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^\mu}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \left( \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{CP} \frac{e^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}q_2\rho q_1\sigma}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{e^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}q_2\rho q_1\sigma}{m_Z^2} \right] \\ & h \to \gamma\gamma \\ & \mathcal{A} \left[ h \to \gamma(q,\epsilon)\gamma(q',\epsilon') \right] = i \frac{2}{v_F} \epsilon_{\mu}' \epsilon_{\nu} \left( \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \right)_{\gamma\gamma}^{SM-1L} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \ q \cdot q' - q^{\mu}q'^{\nu} \right) + \left( \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{CP} \right)_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_{\rho} q_{\sigma}' \right], \end{split}$$

-



[MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, 2014]

Leading NP effects (linear & non-linear EFT):

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A} = & i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L,e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L,\mu_R} \left( \bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha} e \right) (\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta}\mu) \times \\ & \left[ \left( \kappa_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Ze}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Z\mu}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^e}{P_Z(q_1^2)} \right) g^{\alpha\beta} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM-1L}} \left( \frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^{\mu}}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM-1L}} \frac{e^2 Q_e Q_{\mu}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2 \ g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}}{m_Z^2} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ}^{\mathrm{CP}} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{\mathrm{CP}} \left( \frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^{\mu}}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{CP}} \frac{e^2 Q_e Q_{\mu}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} q_{2\rho} q_{1\sigma}}{m_Z^2} \right] \end{split}$$



M. González-Alonso



[MGA, Greljo, Isidori & Marzocca, 2014]

Leading NP effects (linear & non-linear EFT):

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A} = & i \frac{2m_Z^2}{v_F} \sum_{e=e_L,e_R} \sum_{\mu=\mu_L,\mu_R} (\bar{e}\gamma_{\alpha}e)(\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\beta}\mu) \times \\ & \left[ \left( \kappa_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Ze}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_2^2)} + \frac{\epsilon_{Z\mu}}{m_Z^2} \frac{g_Z^e}{P_Z(q_1^2)} \right) g^{\alpha\beta} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM-1L}} \left( \frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^{\mu}}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM-1L}} \frac{e^2 Q_e Q_{\mu}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{m_Z^2} \frac{g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}}{m_Z^2} + \\ & + \left( \epsilon_{ZZ}^{\mathrm{CP}} \frac{g_Z^e g_Z^{\mu}}{P_Z(q_1^2) P_Z(q_2^2)} + \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{\mathrm{CP}} \left( \frac{eQ_{\mu}g_Z^e}{q_2^2 P_Z(q_1^2)} + \frac{eQ_e g_Z^{\mu}}{q_1^2 P_Z(q_2^2)} \right) + \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{CP}} \frac{e^2 Q_e Q_{\mu}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} q_{2\rho} q_{1\sigma}}{m_Z^2} \right] \end{split}$$

g<sup>f</sup><sub>Z</sub> are LEP pseudo-observables (Z→ff);
POs defined at the amplitude level (PO ≠ WC);
κ-framework limit: ε<sub>i</sub> = 0;
SM limit: κ<sub>i</sub> = 1, ε<sub>i</sub> = O(0.001) ~ 0;

M. González-Alonso

J

- General comments:
  - PO = general encoding of the exp. results in terms of a finite number of simplified "observables" of easy th. interpretation;
  - Their determination helps (but not replaces) model-dep. / EFT studies:  $PO = PO_{SM} + f(\alpha_i) + ...$
  - PO example: Z-mass;
- Higgs decays well within EFT validity: (not so for production...)

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\mathcal{O}(m, E)}{\Lambda} + \frac{\mathcal{O}(m^2, E^2, mE)}{\Lambda^2} + \dots \right)$$

Validity of the EFT: E <<  $\Lambda$ 

 Signal strengths only give us certain combinations; Distributions are necessary! (Montecarlo!)

[From talk by A. Greljo at Portoroz'2015]



[From talk by A. Greljo at Portoroz'2015]



Flavour universality
$\epsilon_{Ze_L} = \epsilon_{Z\mu_L} \; , \qquad$
$\epsilon_{Ze_R} = \epsilon_{Z\mu_R} \; ,$
$\epsilon_{Z\nu_e} = \epsilon_{Z\nu_\mu} \; , \qquad$
$\epsilon_{We_L} = \epsilon_{W\mu_L}$ .

M. González-Alonso

[From talk by A. Greljo at Portoroz'2015]





M. González-Alonso

[From talk by A. Greljo at Portoroz'2015]





$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & \textbf{Custodial symmetry} \\ \bigstar \epsilon_{WW} &= & c_w^2 \epsilon_{ZZ} + 2c_w s_w \epsilon_{Z\gamma} + s_w^2 \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma} \ , \\ \bigstar \epsilon_{WW}^{CP} &= & c_w^2 \epsilon_{ZZ}^{CP} + 2c_w s_w \epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{CP} + s_w^2 \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{CP} \ , \\ \kappa_{WW} - \kappa_{ZZ} &= & -\frac{2}{g} \left( \sqrt{2} \epsilon_{We_L^i} + 2c_w \epsilon_{Ze_L^i} \right) \ , \\ \bigstar \epsilon_{We_L^i} &= & \frac{c_w}{\sqrt{2}} (\epsilon_{Z\nu_L^i} - \epsilon_{Ze_L^i}) \ , \end{array}$$

★ (Accidentally) true in the linear EFT Linear-EFT can be ruled out using only Higgs data!

M. González-Alonso

#### Pseudo-observables in Higgs decays (linear EFT)

What's the room for NP in Higgs decays taking into account LEP results?

Example: 
$$h \rightarrow e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$$

 $\kappa_{ZZ}, \kappa_{Z\gamma}, \kappa_{\gamma\gamma}, \epsilon_{ZZ}$ , 
$$\begin{split} \epsilon^{CP}_{Z\gamma}, \epsilon^{CP}_{\gamma\gamma}, \epsilon^{CP}_{ZZ} , \\ \epsilon_{Ze_L}, \epsilon_{Ze_R}, \epsilon_{Z\mu_L}, \epsilon_{Z\mu_R} \end{split}$$



#### Pseudo-observables in Higgs decays (línear EFT)

What's the room for NP in Higgs decays taking into account LEP results?

Example:  

$$h \to e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$\begin{split} &\kappa_{ZZ}, \kappa_{Z\gamma}, \kappa_{\gamma\gamma}, \epsilon_{ZZ} ,\\ &\epsilon_{Z\gamma}^{CP}, \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma}^{CP}, \epsilon_{ZZ}^{CP} ,\\ &\epsilon_{Ze_L}, \epsilon_{Ze_R}, \epsilon_{Z\mu_L}, \epsilon_{I\mu_R} \end{split}$$

 $\epsilon_{\mathbf{Zf}} = \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \left( \delta g^{Zf} - (c_\theta^2 T_f^3 + s_\theta^2 Y_f) \mathbf{1} \left( \delta g_{1,z} \right) + t_\theta^2 Y_f \mathbf{1} \left( \delta \kappa_\gamma \right) \right),$ 

LEP I

LEP II

Only flavor dep.

 $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$  [Efrati, Falkowski & Soreq'2015]

Flavour univ. derived from data (not imposed!)

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

M. González-Alonso

#### Pseudo-observables in Higgs decays (línear EFT)



#### M. González-Alonso

#### Pseudo-observables in Higgs decays (línear EFT)



M. González-Alonso

What's the room for NP in Higgs decays taking into account LEP results?

Example:  

$$h \to e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \kappa_{ZZ}, \kappa_{J\gamma}, \kappa_{J\gamma}, \epsilon_{JZ} , \\ \epsilon_{J\gamma}^{P}, \epsilon_{J\gamma}^{P}, \epsilon_{ZZ}^{CP} , \\ \epsilon_{fe_{L}}, \epsilon_{fe_{R}}, \epsilon_{J\mu_{L}}, \epsilon_{J\mu_{R}} \end{array}$$



Large effects on total decay rates allowed, but huge correlation between 4e,  $4\mu$  and  $2e2\mu$ (consequence of flavor univ, which in turn is a consequence of the linear EFT!)



M. González-Alonso



M. González-Alonso

#### Not assumption-independent...

- All these approaches neglect new light states...
   which are not ruled out & indeed deserve their own separate attention (Exotic Higgs decays)
- Discovery potential: worth searching! Current cuts: 12 GeV!



[Davoudiasl et al'2012-2013, Curtin et al'2013, MGA & G. Isidori, 2014 Falkowski & Vega-Morales, 2014, ...]

#### M. González-Alonso

## Summary

- Introd. to EFT analysis of NP searches NP encoded in Wilson coefficients;
- Higgs decays:
  - Pseudo-observables as a convenient & general way to encode the experimental results; (generalization of the kappa framework)
  - Many NP hypothesis testable;
  - LEP implications for Higgs decays analyzed.





### Merci beaucoup!

# Backup slides

#### Pseudo-observables in Higgs decays (linear EFT)



Taking into account the other PO, there is still limited room for NP.



## EFT limitations...

- Light new particles are not ruled out! (historical example: neutrino!)
- Exotic Higgs decays?
  - Tiny  $\Gamma_h$ ;
  - O(500,000) Higgses produced at LHC7+LHC8!
  - ◆ BR(h→BSM) could be as large as O(20-50%); [Belanger et al'2013, Giardino et al'2013, Ellis & You'2013, ...]
  - Can be connected with some anomalies (g-2).
- Low-energy QCD effects can be important;



[MGA & G. Isidori, 2014 Davoudiasl et al'2012-2013, Curtin et al'2013, Falkowski & Vega-Morales, 2014, ...]











EFT analyses of NP

#### M. González-Alonso