A Staged Muon Accelerator Facility for future Neutrino and Collider Physics in the multi-TeV energy range

J.P. Delahaye / CERN


www.calxibe.com
"The greater danger for most of us lies not in setting our aim too high and falling short; but in setting our aim too low, and achieving our mark"
-- Michelangelo

Particle Physics

Particle physics studies the fundamental nature of energy, matter, space, and time, and applies that knowledge to understand the birth, evolution and fate of the universe

Time

BIG BANG





Particle Accelerators recreating conditions at the early stages of the universe





Collisions in Particle Accelerators with energy density comparable to early Universe and generating particles:



- **Present exploration from 10^{-15} s after Big Bang**
- **Performing the archeology of particles from this early date to today**
- **Observing the rules governing their evolution**
- **More powerful collisions required to simulate conditions closer to Big Bang**

Accelerators acting as "super-microscope" at the dimensions of sub-particles



de Broglie: $\lambda \sim 1/E$





Complementarity between experiments:

- **infinity small scale (particle physics)**
- **infinity large scale (cosmology)**

Higher resolution requires even more powerful accelerators

A powerful & successful "standard model"









Model consistent with up to now observations and with extremely high precision

Strong predictive power:

Higgs boson interaction by which fundamental particles get mass

Many questions still to be answered



Why so many types of elementary particles?



New Physics Beyond Standard Model (BSM) mandatory

Which Physics?
At which energy and scale?







Why universe made of matter ? What happened to antimatter?

What about dark energy & dark matter = 96% universe?



Trends of High Energy Physics facilities







Towards Energy frontier **Towards Luminosity frontier**

Hadron & Lepton Colliders complementary for High Energy Physics

- **Hadron colliders as discovery facilities**
  - Broad range scanning
  - Huge QCD background
  - Nucleon energy (partly only) available in collision



- **Lepton colliders for precision physics**
  - Well defined initial energy for reaction
  - Colliding "point" like particles



- **Consensus (?) for Lepton Collider as next facility @ High Energy Frontier after LHC**
  - Energy determined by LHC discoveries
  - Study in detail the properties of new physics identified by LHC (when and if confirmed?):

presently HIGGS, possibly BSM in the future





Lepton Colliders at the Energy Frontier



Linear Collider layouts

http://www.linearcollider.org/cms http://clic-study.web.cern.ch/CLIC-Study/





ILC 0.5 TeV – 30 km
ILC 1 TeV – 50 km
Mature technology: TDR

31.5MV/m

Drive beam - 95 A, 300 ns from 2.4 GeV to 240 MeV



Main beam – 1 A, 200 ns from 9 GeV to 1.5 TeV





100MV/m

CLIC 0.5 TeV: 13 km
CLIC 3 TeV: 48 km
Feasibility: CDR->TDR

ILC and CLIC layouts in CERN area



Future Circular Collider (FCC) study
100TeV Hadron Collider in new 100km tunnel



	Z	Z	W	H	tt
Circumference [km]	100				
Bending radius [km]	11				
Beam energy [GeV]	45.6		80	120	175
Beam current [mA]	1450		152	30	6.6
Bunches / beam	30180	91500	5260	780	81
Bunch spacing [ns]	7.5	2.5	50	400	4000
Bunch population [10^{11}]	1.0	0.33	0.6	0.8	1.7
Horizontal emittance ε [nm] Vertical emittance ε [pm]	0.2 1	0.09 1	0.26 1	0.61 1.2	1.3 2.5
Momentum comp. [10^{-5}]	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Betatron function at IP - Horizontal β* [m] - Vertical β* [mm]	 0.5 1	 1 2	 1 2	 1 2	 1 2
Horizontal beam size at IP σ* [μm] Vertical beam size at IP σ* [nm]	10 32	9.5 45	16 45	25 49	36 70
Crossing angle at IP [mrad]	30				
Energy spread [%] - Synchrotron radiation - Total (including BS)	 0.04 0.22	 0.04 0.09	 0.07 0.10	 0.10 0.12	 0.14 0.17
Bunch length [mm] - Synchrotron radiation - Total	 1.2 6.7	 1.6 3.8	 2.0 3.1	 2.0 2.4	 2.1 2.5
Energy loss / turn [GeV]	0.03		0.33	1.67	7.55
SR power / beam [MW]	50				
Total RF voltage [GV]	0.4	0.2	0.8	3	10
RF frequency [MHz]	400				
Longitudinal damping time [turns]	1320		243	72	23
Energy acceptance RF [%]	7.2	4.7	5.5	7.0	6.7
Synchrotron tune Q_s	0.036	0.025	0.037	0.056	0.075
Polarization time τ_p [min]	11200		672	89	13
Interaction region length L_i [mm]	0.66	0.62	1.02	1.35	1.74
Hourglass factor $H(L_i)$	0.92	0.98	0.95	0.92	0.88
Luminosity/IP for 2IPs [10^{34} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$]	207	90	19.1	5.1	1.3
Beam-beam parameter - Horizontal - Vertical	 0.025 0.16	 0.05 0.13	 0.07 0.16	 0.08 0.14	 0.08 0.12
Luminosity lifetime [min]	94	185	90	67	57
Beamstrahlung critical	No/Yes	No	No	No	Yes

First phase: e^+/e^- collider with colliding beam energy of up to 350 GeV

Novel technologies for high gradient acceleration

- High gradient acceleration requires high peak power and structures that can sustain high fields
  - Beams and lasers can be generated with high peak power
  - Dielectrics and plasmas can withstand high fields

- Many paths towards high gradient acceleration
  - RF source driven metallic structures
  - Beam-driven metallic structures

  } ~100 MV/m

  - Laser-driven dielectric structures
  - Beam-driven dielectric structures

  } ~1 GV/m

  - Laser-driven plasmas
  - Beam-driven plasmas

  } ~10 GV/m

Courtesy Tor Raubenheimer

New Acceleration Techniques
Page 10

SLAC NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY

Plasma Acceleration (Beam-driven or Laser-driven)

Critical challenges:

Beam quality preservation

Multi-stages acceleration (high filling factor)

Positron operation

Wall-plug to beam power (in)efficiency

Presently addressed in ambitious Test Facilities

BELLA @ LBL: laser driven

FACET @ SLAC: electron beam driven

AWAKE @ CERN: proton beam driven





Muons, an attractive alternative with high potential and critical challenges

Muons are leptons like electrons & positrons but with a mass 207 times larger

- Negligible synchrotron radiation emission (α m^{-4})
  - Multi-pass collisions (few thousand turns) in ring
    - High luminosity with reasonable beam power and power consumption
      - relaxed beam emittances & sizes, alignment & stability
    - Multi-detectors supporting broad physics communities
    - Large time (15 μs) between bunch crossings
  - No beam-strahlung at collision:
    - narrow luminosity spectrum
  - Multi-pass acceleration:
    - Cost effective construction & operation
    - Compact acceleration system and collider
  - No cooling by synchrotron Radiation in standard Damping rings
    - Requires development of novel cooling method



The beauty of Muons

- **Strong coupling to Higgs mechanism by s channel**
  - **Cross section enhanced by $(m_\mu/m_e)^2$ =40000 with sharp peak at 126GeV resonance**
    - ☺ **Higgs factory allowing energy scan with high energy resolution for direct mass and width measurements at half colliding beam energy and 10^3 less luminosity than with e+/e-**
    - ☹ **Requires colliding beam with extremely small momentum spread ($4\ 10^{-5}$) and high stability**



As with an e^+e^- collider, a $\mu^+\mu^-$ collider offers a precision probe of fundamental interactions

without limitations:

- **By synchrotron radiation as in circular colliders**
- **By beamstrahlung as in linear colliders**

Muon Colliders extending high energy frontier with excellent performance in the Multi-TeV range



Lepton Collider Technoloy for largest Luminosity

- Low energy range (0-350GeV): Circular colliders
- Medium energy range (350-2000GeV): Linear Colliders
- High energy range (Multi-TeV): Muon Colliders

Provided their feasibility is demonstrated!

Muons: Issues & Challenges

- **Limited lifetime: 2.2 μs at rest**

☹

  - **Race against death: fast generation, acceleration & collision before decay**
  - **Muons decay in accelerator and detector**
    - **Physics feasibility with large background?**
    - **Shielding of detector and facility irradiation**
  - **Decays in neutrinos:**
    - **Ideal source of well defined electron and muons neutrinos in equal quantities :**

☺

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$$

The neutrino factory concept

☹

    - **Limitation in energy reach by neutrino radiation**

- **Generated as tertiary particles in large emittances**

☹

  - **powerful MW(s) driver**
  - **novel cooling method (6D 10^6 emittance reduction)**

Development of novel technologies

with key accelerator and detector challenges

Muon Accelerator Program (MAP @ FNAL/USA) addressing feasibility of muon based accelerators

focused on developing a facility that can address critical questions spanning two frontiers…

The Intensity Frontier:

with a ***Neutrino Factory*** producing well-characterized ν beams for precise, high sensitivity studies

The Energy Frontier:

with a ***Muon Collider*** capable of reaching multi-TeV CoM energies

and a

Higgs Factory *with unique property*



The unique potential of a facility based on muon accelerators is physics reach that SPANS 2 FRONTIERS

Muon Accelerator Concept

Muon production from Proton driven Pions decay

$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$ $\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu$

Key issues and R&D to address feasibility



Key Challenges

- ~10^{13}-10^{14} μ / sec Tertiary particle $p \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \mu$:
- Fast cooling (τ=2μs) by 10^6 (6D)
- Fast acceleration mitigating μ decay
- Background by μ decay

Key R&D

- MW proton driver; MW class target; NCRF in magnetic field
- Ionization cooling; High field solenoids (30T); High Temp Superconductor
- Cost eff. low RF SC; Fast pulsed magnet (1kHz)
- Detector/ machine interface

Technical challenges
Muon production as tertiary particle

A MW class Target with Graphite Core



Multi-MW class liquid HG target

- The MERIT Experiment at the CERN PS
  - Demonstrated a 20m/s liquid Hg jet injected into a 15 T solenoid and hit with a 115 KJ/pulse beam!
  - ⇨ Jets could operate with beam powers up to **8 MW** with a repetition rate of 70 Hz
- MAP staging aimed at initial 1 MW target





Hg jet in a 15 T solenoid with measured disruption length ~ 28 cm

Strong synergy with high power proton drivers

An Efficient Front End
Tertiary Particle Production





A MW class proton source enables muons from pions decay to be produced, captured and bunched in a front end with an overall efficiency of 0.15 muon / proton before being cooled to fit within the acceptances of an accelerator

Technology Challenges – Capture Solenoid

- A Neutrino Factory and/or Muon Collider Facility requires challenging magnet design in several areas:
  - Target Capture Solenoid (15-20T with large aperture)

E_{stored} ~ 3 GJ

O(10MW) resistive coil in high radiation environment

Possible application for High Temperature Superconducting magnet technology



Technical challenges
Fast and cost efficient acceleration



Strong synergy with high power, high efficiency SC accelerating structures



Strong synergy with high field SC magnets & fast ramping NC magnets





Technical challenges
Collider Rings

Detailed optics studies for Higgs, 1.5 TeV, 3 TeV and 6 TeV CoM

- **With supporting magnet designs and background studies**



Higgs Ring (single IR)



Dipole/Quad



Quad/Dipole



Higgs Factory lattice and optics functions for β*=2.5cm in a half-ring starting from IP

Higgs 126 GeV



β*=1cm

1.5 TeV



Optics functions from IP to the end of the first arc cell (6 such cells / arc) for β*=5mm

3 TeV

Machine Detector Interface Background mitigation









	Cut	Rejection
Tracker hits	1 ns, dedx	9×10^{-4}
Calorimeter neutrons	2 ns	2.4×10^{-3}
Calorimeter photons	2 ns	2.2×10^{-3}



Much of background soft and out of time

Timing window with ns resolution is key to reduce background by three orders of magnitude

Requires a detector with fast, pixelated tracker and calorimeter

Technical Challenge
Detector with Background Mitigation

Trackers: Employ double-layer structure with 1mm separation for neutral background suppression





MARS Bkgds ⇨ ILCRoot Det Model



Strong synergy with high energy proton & lepton colliders



Preliminary detector study promising

- 1st pass setup: Further improvements anticipated

Cooling Methods

The challenge of muon cooling is due to the short muon lifetime (2 μs at rest)

- Cooling must take place very quickly
- More quickly than any of the cooling methods presently in use

⇨ Utilize energy loss in materials with RF re-acceleration

- Muons cool via dE/dx in low-Z medium

Ionization Cooling





- ionization minimum is ≈ optimal working point:
  - longitudinal +ive feedback at lower p
  - straggling & expense of reacceleration at higher p

– Absorbers:
$$\begin{cases} E \to E - \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle \Delta s \\ \theta \to \theta + \theta^{rms}_{space} \end{cases}$$

ionization energy loss

multiple Coulomb scattering

- 2 competing effects ⇒ ∃ equilibrium emittance

– RF cavities between absorbers replace ΔE

– Net effect: reduction in $p_\perp$ at constant $p_\parallel$, i.e., transverse cooling

$$\frac{d\epsilon_N}{ds} \approx -\frac{1}{\beta^2}\left\langle \frac{dE_\mu}{ds} \right\rangle \frac{\epsilon_N}{E_\mu} + \frac{\beta_\perp (0.014\ \text{GeV})^2}{2\beta^3 E_\mu m_\mu X_0}$$ (emittance change per unit length)

Novel Muon Ionization Cooling



Ionization cooling



Two methods

Vacuum Cooling Channel (VCC)



Helical Cooling Channel (HCC)



Major challenges

Accelerating structures embedded In large magnetic field (10 T)

High pressure (160atm) Gas (GH_2) filled RF cavities

Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment MICE @ RAL (International Collaboration)



emittance measurements with 1% precision and 1‰ resolution (muon by muon)

Goals:

- **Demonstrate in steps the method with beam and its feasibility**
- **Validate cooling simulation tools**
- **System integration**





MICE completed (2015)
Data taking with beam (2016-17)
Analysis and simulation (2017-18)











MICE experimental results
First ionization cooling demonstation
Validation of beam dynamics & simulation tools



FIGURE 9. Beam amplitude f... ...ut emittance vs. phase-space components (a) upstream ...H absorber.

6% transverse emittance reduction in absorber



simulated

measured

Beam core densification

FIGURE 10. (a) Behavior of e_9 subemittance (emittance of central 9% of beam) in MICE, comp... ...ominal 6 mm input emittance ...sorber: shaded band shows systematic uncertainty; (... ...ratios measured in MICE f... ...t beam emittance and three absorber configurations: ...ical ...ertainties, gray-shaded bands are systematics.

An attractive novel alternative Low Emittance Muon Accelerator (LEMMA)

Muon production by e+/e- annihilation at 45 GeV threshold no cooling required



Low EMmittance Muon Accelerator (LEMMA):
10^{11} $\propto$ pairs/sec from e^+e^- interactions. The small production emittance allows lower overall charge in the collider rings – hence, lower backgrounds in a collider detector and a higher potential CoM energy due to neutrino radiation.



Major challenge: Large positron flux (10^{18} e^+/s) required

CERN, a success story

Staged approach

Multi-purpose applications



Principles of an ideal project scenario

Series of STAGED facilities

- **physics interest at each stage**
- **Technology with increasing complexity progressively developed and validated**

Possibly MULTIPURPOSE

- **maximizing supported physics community and funding!**

Affordable steps (<? G€) from one facility to next

- **Stage built-on previous stage with additional facilities**

Taking advantage of existing facilities

- **synergy between present and future program**

Unique opportunity of Muon based accelerators to enable facilities at both High Intensity and High Energy Frontiers in a staged approach



Enabling a series of facilities with physics interest at each stage

Intensity Frontier



Energy Frontier



Staged Neutrino Factory and Muon Colliders
Increasing complexity and challenges
main parameters

Neutrino Factory at intensity frontier

System	Parameters	Unit	nuSTORM	NuMAX Commissioning	NuMAX	NuMAX+
Performance	ν_e or ν_μ to detectors/year	-	3×10^{17}	4.9×10^{19}	1.8×10^{20}	5.0×10^{20}
	Stored μ+ or μ-/year	-	8×10^{17}	1.25×10^{20}	4.65×10^{20}	1.3×10^{21}
Detector	*Far Detector:*	Type	SuperBIND	MIND / Mag LAr	MIND / Mag LAr	MIND / Mag LAr
	Distance from Ring	km	1.9	1300	1300	1300
	Mass	kT	1.3	100 / 30	100 / 30	100 / 30
	Magnetic Field	T	2	0.5-2	0.5-2	0.5-2
	Near Detector:	Type	SuperBIND	Suite	Suite	Suite
	Distance from Ring	m	50	100	100	100
	Mass	kT	0.1	1	1	2.7
	Magnetic Field	T	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Neutrino Ring	Ring Momentum	GeV/c	3.8	5	5	5
	Circumference (C)	m	480	737	737	737
	Straight section	m	184	281	281	281
	Number of bunches	-		60	60	60
	Charge per bunch	1×10^9		6.9	26	35
Acceleration	Initial Momentum	GeV/c	-	0.25	0.25	0.25
	Single-pass Linacs	GeV/c	-	1.0, 3.75	1.0, 3.75	1.0, 3.75
		MHz	-	325, 650	325, 650	325, 650
	Repetition	Hz	-	30	30	60
Cooling			No	No	Initial	Initial
Proton Driver	Proton Beam Power	MW	0.2	1	1	2.75
	Proton Beam	GeV	120	6.75	6.75	6.75
	Protons/year	1×10^{21}	0.1	9.2	9.2	25.4
	Repetition	Hz	0.75	15	15	15

Muon Collider at the energy frontier

		Higgs Factory		Top Threshold Options		Multi-TeV Baselines		
Parameter	*Units*	*Startup Operation*	*Production Operation*	*High Resolution*	*High Luminosity*			*Accounts for Site Radiation Mitigation*
CoM Energy	TeV	0.126	0.126	0.35	0.35	1.5	3.0	6.0
Avg. Luminosity	$10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	0.0017	0.008	0.07	0.6	1.25	4.4	12
Beam Energy Spread	%	0.003	0.004	0.01	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Higgs* or Top† Production/10^7sec		3,500*	13,500*	7,000†	60,000†	37,500*	200,000*	820,000*
Circumference	km	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.7	2.5	4.5	6
No. of IPs		1	1	1	1	2	2	2
Repetition Rate	Hz	30	15	15	15	15	12	6
β^*	cm	3.3	1.7	1.5	0.5	1 (0.5-2)	0.5 (0.3-3)	0.25
No. muons/bunch	10^{12}	2	4	4	3	2	2	2
No. bunches/beam		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Norm. Trans. Emittance, ε_{TN}	π mm-rad	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.025	0.025	0.025
Norm. Long. Emittance, ε_{LN}	π mm-rad	1	1.5	1.5	10	70	70	70
Bunch Length, σ_s	cm	5.6	6.3	0.9	0.5	1	0.5	0.2
Proton Driver Power	MW	4‡	4	4	4	4	4	1.6

Cooling — **6D no final** (Higgs Factory) — **Full 6D** (Top Threshold Options and Multi-TeV Baselines)

Progressive installation in stages with technology validation at each stage (FNAL example)



A Potential Muon Accelerator Complex at Fermilab: νSTORM ➔ NuMAX ➔ Higgs Factory



Staging scenario fully compatible with the PIP-II stage option

Later upgradable to a Muon Collider with Tevatron size at 6 TeV

A Muon Collider in the CERN LHC tunnel Unique and attractive opportunity in Europe for a realistic precision & exploratory facility

D.Neuffer
V.Shiltsev

Taking advantage of CERN LHC tunnel and injectors infrastructure

for substantial cost savings

14 TeV muon collider in the (existing) 27kms LHC tunnel



Parameter	"PS"	"MAP"	"LEMC"
Luminosity $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	$1.2\cdot10^{33}$	$3.5\cdot10^{35}$	$2.4\cdot10^{32}$
Beam δE/E	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Rep rate, Hz	5	5	2200
N_μ/bunch	$1.2\cdot10^{11}$	$2\cdot10^{12}$	4.5×10^{7}
n_b	1	1	1*
$\varepsilon_{t,N}$ mm-mrad	25	25	0.04
β^* , mm	1	1	0.2
σ^*(IR), μm	0.6	0.6	0.011
Bunch length, m	0.001	0.001	0.0002
μ production source	24 GeV p	8 GeV p	45 GeV e^+
p or e/pulse	$8\cdot10^{12}$	$2\cdot10^{14}$	$3\cdot10^{13}$
Driver beam power	0.15MW	1.3MW	40 MW
Acceleration,	1-3.5, 3.5-7 RCS	1-3.5, 3.5-7 RCS	75 GV, RLA 100 turn
ν rad. (unmitigated)	0.02	0.30	0.003 mSv/yr

14 TeV c.m. at constituants level equivalent energy reach

FCC-hh : 100 TeV pp collider

**100 TeV FCChh
350GeV FCCee
in 100km tunnel
(to be built)**



**14TeV
Muon Collider
27km LHC tunnel
(existing)**

14 TeV (c.m.)

"HE-LHC"
27 km, **20 T**
33 TeV (c.m.)

PPAP communit

Courtesy V. Shiltsev,

July 2014



Figure 1: The energy at which the proton collider cross-section equals that of a muon collider. The dashed line assumes comparable Feynman amplitudes for the muon and the proton production processes. A factor of ten enhancement of the proton production amplitude squared, possibly due to QCD production, is considered in the continuous line.

Multi-TeV Muon Collider
Outstanding HIGGS physics performance

A.Wultzer @ EPPSU2019



Limitation of HEP facilities by practicalities
Wall-plug power consumption

Wall-plug power consumption function of energy and luminosity

- **In linear collider:**
- **$P \propto L*E$ + offset (Injectors+ conventional facilities)**

Fair comparison through a Figure of merit (FoM):

FoM = Luminosity / Wall Plug consumption (L per MW)

Figure of merit of future candidates of Proton & Lepton colliders



Muon Collider the ideal technology to extend high energy frontier in the multi-TeV range with reasonable dimension, cost and power consumption

Muon Collider in LHC tunnel (27km)
Similar energy reach (14 TeV) as FCC hh (100km)
Enabling both precison and exploratory physics

Conclusion (1)

Most appropriate Lepton Collider Technoloy depends on Colliding Energy

- Low energy range (0-350GeV): Circular Colliders
- Medium energy range (350-2000GeV): Linear Colliders
- High energy range (Multi-TeV): Muon Colliders

Muon based technology provides unique opportunity to enable facilities at both the high intensity and the high energy frontiers

- High precision neutrino physics and lepton colliders in multi-TeV range
- Great progress of R&D addressing key issues & feasibility of novel, challenging tech.
- Strong synergy with the R&D of alternative technologies
- Mature proton driven MAP & novel positron driven LEMMA scenarios

Muon colliders greatest potential to extend energy frontier in the multi-TeV colliding beam energy range

- High energy for exploratory physics & High luminosity for precision physics
- Ideal tool for physics beyond standard model
- With reasonable dimensions, cost & power consumption

Conclusion (2)

A multi-TeV Muon Collider especially attractive in the existing CERN/LHC tunnel

- An opportunity not to be missed, taking advantage of available infrastructures & injectors for substantial cost savings
- Potential of equivalent energy reach as a FCCpp in a (new) 100km tunnel
- Building-up on impressive R&D and progress during last 30 years
- Exploratory study to be confirmed by feasibility study

Proposal to European Particle Physics Strategy Upgrade by dedicated Muon Collider Working Group

- Set-up International Collaboration to promote Muon Colliders
  - Develop concepts based on proton driven and positron driven scenarios
  - R&D towards Muon Collider (Accelerator & Detector)
- By next European Strategy Upgrade (5 years):
  - Baseline Design
  - Road map to a Conceptual Design Report (CDR)
  - Design of an ambitious and convincing Test Facility

Welcome to join & participate

Tentative timeline



Documentation

Muon Collider Working Group web site (under construction)

https://muoncollider.web.cern.ch/

Proposal of Muon Collider study to European Particle Physics Strategy Upgrade, http://arxiv.org/abs/1901.06150

Recent RAST review about the various muon based scenarios

M. Boscolo, J. P. Delahaye and M. Palmer, "The future prospects of muon colliders and neutrino factories,"

arXiv:1808.01858

Rev. of Acc. Sci. and Tech. vol 10 (2019) To appear

Supporting slides

Beam induced background studies neutrino radiation hazard



The source, ring or section, is placed at the fixed depth of 550 m.



Ambient dose assuming 1.2×10^{21} decays/year

Need to study for higher energies (scaling E^3)

Straights in LHC might increase problem
⇒ Another reason to consider this as accelerator