Fundamental physics and geodesy with atomic clocks

Pacôme DELVA

M.-C. Angonin, C. Guerlin, A. Hees, Ch. Le Poncin-Lafitte, E. Savalle, P. Wolf SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, LNE

and collaborators in BIPM, PTB, NPL, LUH, ESA, LAREG/IGN, UQ

Séminaire du Département de Physique des Particules du CEA-Saclay Paris-Saclay, 24 February 2020





- Microwave clocks accuracy $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16}$
- Optical clock: accuracy = 1.4×10^{-18} , stability = 4.5×10^{-19} (McGrew et al. 2018, NIST)
- Very active, innovative and competitive field of physics



- Satellite (GNSS,TW): intercontinental but limited to 10⁻¹⁶ 10⁻¹⁷, rather long integration time
- Fibre links: best stability but limited to continental scales
- Free space coherent optical links through turbulent atmosphere are in their infancy, but show potential for similar performance as fibre links

P. DELVA (SYRTE/OBSPM/SU)

Outline

Gravitational redshift test with the future ACES mission

2 Gravitational Redshift test with Galileo eccentric satellites

- Ohronometric geodesy
- 4 Dark matter detection with atomic clocks

Outline

Gravitational redshift test with the future ACES mission

2 Gravitational Redshift test with Galileo eccentric satellites

3 Chronometric geodesy

4 Dark matter detection with atomic clocks

The ACES mission

Goals

- Realize best timescale in orbit to date
- Allow time and frequency comparison between this timescale and the best ground clocks worldwide
- Use this data to perform fundamental physics tests
- Demonstrate possible applications in chronometric geodesy, inter-continental optical clocks comparisons, etc







10-13

Pharao, Cs jet (CNES, SODERN, SYRTE)



PHARAO

SHM



Pharao schema

P. DELVA (SYRTE/OBSPM/SU)



7 / 40

10

Expected launch date: 2021 (SpaceX, Columbus module)





- Consider two points A and B at rest in an accelerated frame with uniform acceleration **a**
- Frame velocity increases by $\delta v = ah_0/c$ $(a = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}})$ between emission and reception



- Consider two points A and B at rest in an accelerated frame with uniform acceleration **a**
- Frame velocity increases by $\delta v = ah_0/c$ $(a = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}})$ between emission and reception

• Frequency at B is shifted compared to frequency at A:

$$\frac{\nu_B}{\nu_A} = 1 - \frac{\delta v}{c} = 1 - \frac{ah_0}{c^2}$$



- Consider two points A and B at rest in an accelerated frame with uniform acceleration **a**
- Frame velocity increases by $\delta v = ah_0/c$ $(a = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}})$ between emission and reception
- Frequency at B is shifted compared to frequency at A:

$$rac{
u_B}{
u_A} = 1 - rac{\delta v}{c} = 1 - rac{ah_0}{c^2}$$

• Equivalence Principle postulates that $\mathbf{a} \Leftrightarrow -\mathbf{g}$ (locally)

$$\frac{\nu_B}{\nu_A} = 1 - \frac{gh_0}{c^2}$$



- Consider two points A and B at rest in an accelerated frame with uniform acceleration **a**
- Frame velocity increases by $\delta v = ah_0/c$ $(a = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}})$ between emission and reception
- Frequency at B is shifted compared to frequency at A:

$$rac{\partial
u_B}{\partial
u_A} = 1 - rac{\delta v}{c} = 1 - rac{ah_0}{c^2}$$

 \bullet Equivalence Principle postulates that $a \Leftrightarrow -g$ (locally)

$$\frac{\nu_B}{\nu_A} = 1 - \frac{gh_0}{c^2}$$

• $\nu_B < \nu_A$: the frequency is red-shifted



- Consider two points A and B at rest in an accelerated frame with uniform acceleration **a**
- Frame velocity increases by $\delta v = ah_0/c$ $(a = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}})$ between emission and reception

• Frequency at B is shifted compared to frequency at A:

$$rac{
u_B}{
u_A} = 1 - rac{\delta v}{c} = 1 - rac{ah_0}{c^2}$$

• Equivalence Principle postulates that $\mathbf{a} \Leftrightarrow -\mathbf{g}$ (locally)

$$\frac{\nu_B}{\nu_A} = 1 - \frac{gh_0}{c^2}$$

• $\nu_B < \nu_A$: the frequency is red-shifted

Total redshift between ACES (S) and a ground clock (G)

$$\frac{\delta f}{f} = \underbrace{\frac{GM}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{r_G} - \frac{1}{r_S}\right)}_{4.1 \times 10^{-11}} + \underbrace{\frac{v_G^2 - v_S^2}_{-3.3 \times 10^{-10}}}_{-3.3 \times 10^{-10}} \simeq -2.9 \times 10^{-10}$$



• Desynchronisation:

- $\delta \sim 0.1\,\mu {
 m s}$ for 1 pass
- $\delta\sim 250\,\mu{
 m s}$ for 10 days

• PHARAO accuracy $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16}$ (after 10d)

 $\frac{1\times 10^{-16}}{4\times 10^{-11}}\approx 2.5\times 10^{-6}$

elaborated simulations confirms this number (work by E. Savalle et al., arxiv 1907.12320) Total redshift between ACES (S) and a ground clock (G)

$$\frac{\delta f}{f} = \underbrace{\frac{GM}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{r_G} - \frac{1}{r_S}\right)}_{4.1 \times 10^{-11}} + \underbrace{\frac{v_G^2 - v_S^2}_{-3.3 \times 10^{-10}}}_{-3.3 \times 10^{-10}} \simeq -2.9 \times 10^{-10}$$



• Desynchronisation:

- $\delta \sim 0.1\,\mu {
 m s}$ for 1 pass
- $\delta\sim 250\,\mu{
 m s}$ for 10 days
- PHARAO accuracy $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16}$ (after 10d)

$$\frac{1 \times 10^{-16}}{4 \times 10^{-11}} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$$

elaborated simulations confirms this number (work by E. Savalle et al., arxiv 1907.12320)

ACES Ground segment



ACES Ground segment



After 6 years, many TimeTech and ADS (Airbus Defence and Space) documents, countless meetings and a few headaches...

- Simulation software: 1500 lines of Matlab, highly flexible, produces input (blue) and output (red)
- Processing software: 6300 lines of Python, designed for operation, takes input (blue) and produces output (red)



Meynadier et al. 2018 (Class. Quantum Grav.)

Gravitational redshift test with the future ACES mission

E Savalle^{1,*}, C Guerlin^{1,2,*}, P Delva¹, F Meynadier^{1,3}, C le Poncin-Lafitte¹, P Wolf¹ ¹SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, LNE, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France ²Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, ENS-Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Collège de France, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France ³Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, Pavillon de Breteuil, 92312 Sèvres Cedex, France

Classical and Quantum Gravity 36(24), 2019



Uncertainty of the gravitational redshift test as a function of the experiment duration (blue vertical line at 20 days), considering realistic noise (White Phase Noise + White Frequency Noise).

Outline

Gravitational redshift test with the future ACES mission

2 Gravitational Redshift test with Galileo eccentric satellites

3 Chronometric geodesy

4 Dark matter detection with atomic clocks

Galileo satellites 201&202 orbit



Galileo sats 201&202 launched in 08/22/2014 on the wrong orbit due to a technical problem \Rightarrow GRedshift test (GREAT Study)





Gravitational Redshift test with Galileo eccentric satellites

Why Galileo 201 & 202 are perfect candidates?

• An elliptic orbit induces a periodic modulation of the clock proper time at orbital frequency

$$au(t) = \left(1 - rac{3Gm}{2ac^2}
ight)t - rac{2\sqrt{Gma}}{c^2}e\sin E(t) + Cste$$



 Very good stability of the on-board atomic clocks → test of the variation of the redshift

- Satellite life-time → accumulate the relativistic effect on the long term
- Visibility
 → the satellite are permanently monitored by several ground receivers

(Delva et al. 2018)

P. DELVA (SYRTE/OBSPM/SU)

- Orbit and clock solutions: ESA/ESOC
- Transformation of orbits into GCRS with SOFA routines
- Theoretical relativistic shift and LPI violation

$$x_{
m redshift} = \int \left[1 - rac{v^2}{2c^2} - rac{U_E + U_T}{c^2}
ight] dt$$
; $x_{
m LPI} = -lpha imes \int rac{U_E + U_T}{c^2} dt$



Peak-to-peak effect \sim 400 ns: model and systematic effects at orbital period should be controlled down to 4 ps in order to have $\delta \alpha \sim 1 \times 10^{-5}$

Choice of clock



GAL-202: only PHM (RAFS is removed) → 649 days of data

P. DELVA (SYRTE/OBSPM/SU)

Data analysis flowchart



Galileo final result

	LPI violat $[\times 10^{-5}]$	Tot unc $[\times 10^{-5}]$	Stat unc $[\times 10^{-5}]$	Orbit unc $[\times 10^{-5}]$	Temp unc $[\times 10^{-5}]$	MF unc $[\times 10^{-5}]$
GAL-201	-0.77	2.73	1.48	1.09	0.59	1.93
GAL-202	6.75	5.62	1.41	5.09	0.13	1.92
Combined	0.19	2.48	1.32	0.70	0.55	1.91

- Local Position Invariance is confirmed down to 2.5×10^{-5} uncertainty
- more than 5 times improvements with respect to Gravity Probe A measurement (1976)
- PRL cover: Delva et al. PRL 121.23 (2018) and Herrmann et al., PRL 121.23 (2018)
- Nice outreach video by Derek Muller on Veritasium (youtube channel www.youtube.com/watch?v=aKwJayXTZUs)

Outline

- Gravitational redshift test with the future ACES mission
- 2 Gravitational Redshift test with Galileo eccentric satellites
- Ohronometric geodesy
- 4 Dark matter detection with atomic clocks

Basic principle of chronometric geodesy

The flow of time, or the rate of a clock when compared to coordinate time, depends on the velocity of the clock and on the space-time metric (which depends on the mass/energy distribution).

In the weak-field approximation:

$$\frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau} = \frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{U_B - U_A}{c^2} + \frac{v_B^2 - v_A^2}{2c^2} + O(c^{-4})$$
$$= \frac{W_B - W_A}{c^2} + O(c^{-4})$$
(1)



Basic principle of chronometric geodesy

The flow of time, or the rate of a clock when compared to coordinate time, depends on the velocity of the clock and on the space-time metric (which depends on the mass/energy distribution).

In the weak-field approximation:

$$\frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau} = \frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{U_B - U_A}{c^2} + \frac{v_B^2 - v_A^2}{2c^2} + O(c^{-4})$$
$$= \frac{W_B - W_A}{c^2} + O(c^{-4})$$
(1)



Basic principle of chronometric geodesy

The flow of time, or the rate of a clock when compared to coordinate time, depends on the velocity of the clock and on the space-time metric (which depends on the mass/energy distribution).

In the weak-field approximation:

$$\frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau} = \frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{U_B - U_A}{c^2} + \frac{v_B^2 - v_A^2}{2c^2} + O(c^{-4})$$
$$= \frac{W_B - W_A}{c^2} + O(c^{-4})$$
(1)



Chronometric observables in geodesy

- Chronometric observables are a completely new type of observable in geodesy: gravity potential differences are directly observed
- Accuracy of optical clocks starts to be competitive with classical methods



Chronometric observables in geodesy

- Chronometric observables are a completely new type of observable in geodesy: gravity potential differences are directly observed
- Accuracy of optical clocks starts to be competitive with classical methods
- Complementary to gravimetry and satellite-based geodesy



Chronometric observables in geodesy

- Chronometric observables are a completely new type of observable in geodesy: gravity potential differences are directly observed
- Accuracy of optical clocks starts to be competitive with classical methods
- Complementary to gravimetry and satellite-based geodesy



A local comparison

Experimental demonstration of the dependency of clock frequency with local height with two Al^+ optical clocks (Chou et al. 2010)

Starting at data point 14, one of the clock is elevated by 33 cm. The net relative shift is measured to be $(41 \pm 16) \times 10^{-18}$.



As a proof-of-principle, one can determine (roughly) J_2 with two clocks:

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{W_B - W_A}{c^2} + O(c^{-4}) , \ W = U + \frac{v^2}{2}$$
$$U = \frac{GM_E}{r} \left[1 + \frac{J_2 R_E^2}{2r^2} \left(1 - 3\sin(\phi)^2 \right) \right]$$



 using INRIM CsF1 vs. SYRTE FO2 comparison we find:

$$J_2 = (1.097 \pm 0.016) \times 10^{-3}$$

As a proof-of-principle, one can determine (roughly) J_2 with two clocks:

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{W_B - W_A}{c^2} + O(c^{-4}) , \ W = U + \frac{v^2}{2}$$
$$U = \frac{GM_E}{r} \left[1 + \frac{J_2 R_E^2}{2r^2} \left(1 - 3\sin(\phi)^2 \right) \right]$$



 using INRIM CsF1 vs. SYRTE FO2 comparison we find:

$$J_2 = (1.097 \pm 0.016) \times 10^{-3}$$

 $\bullet~{\rm Error}$ of $\sim 1.4\%$ compare to best known value

As a proof-of-principle, one can determine (roughly) J_2 with two clocks:

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{W_B - W_A}{c^2} + O(c^{-4}) , \ W = U + \frac{v^2}{2}$$
$$U = \frac{GM_E}{r} \left[1 + \frac{J_2 R_E^2}{2r^2} \left(1 - 3\sin(\phi)^2 \right) \right]$$



 using INRIM CsF1 vs. SYRTE FO2 comparison we find:

$$J_2 = (1.097 \pm 0.016) \times 10^{-3}$$

- $\bullet~{\rm Error}$ of $\sim 1.4\%$ compare to best known value
- However, ground clocks are sensitive to higher order multipoles of the grav. potential

As a proof-of-principle, one can determine (roughly) J_2 with two clocks:

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{W_B - W_A}{c^2} + O(c^{-4}) , \ W = U + \frac{v^2}{2}$$
$$U = \frac{GM_E}{r} \left[1 + \frac{J_2 R_E^2}{2r^2} \left(1 - 3\sin(\phi)^2 \right) \right]$$



 using INRIM CsF1 vs. SYRTE FO2 comparison we find:

$$J_2 = (1.097 \pm 0.016) \times 10^{-3}$$

- $\bullet~{\rm Error}$ of $\sim 1.4\%$ compare to best known value
- However, ground clocks are sensitive to higher order multipoles of the grav. potential

P. DELVA (SYRTE/OBSPM/SU)

Large-scale demonstration of chronometric geodesy

International Timescales with Optical Clocks (ITOC): Demonstrate that optical clocks can be used to measure gravity potential differences over medium-long baselines with high temporal resolution

Height difference $\sim 1 \text{ km} \Rightarrow \text{Gravitational redshift} \sim 10^{-13}$



J. Grotti et al., Nature Physics 14(5), 2018



Chronometric geodesy for high resolution geopotential



- Collaboration between SYRTE/Obs.Paris, LAREG/IGN and LKB, with the support of GRAM, First-TF and ERC grants
- Goals
 - evaluating the contribution of optical clocks for the determination of the geopotential at high spatial resolution
 - Find the best locations to put optical clocks to improve the determination of the geopotential
- Lion, G., Panet, I., Wolf, P., Guerlin, C., Bize, S., Delva, P., 2017. Determination of a high spatial resolution geopotential model using atomic clock comparisons. J Geod 115.

STEP 1: build synthetic field model Reference model gravity anomaly $\bar{\delta g}$ potential anomaly $\bar{\delta T}$











Lion et al. 2017 (*J Geod*)

- Estimation of potential from gravimetric data
 - Standard deviation $\sigma = 0.25 \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-2}$
 - Mean
 - $\mu = -0.04 \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-2}$
 - Trend from West to East of the residuals
- Estimation of potential from gravimetric and clock data (\sim 30)
 - Standard deviation $\sigma = 0.07 \text{ m}^2 \text{.s}^{-2}$
 - Mean
 - $\mu = -0.002 \ {\rm m}^2.{\rm s}^{-2}$
 - The residual trend disappeared

Outline

- Gravitational redshift test with the future ACES mission
- 2 Gravitational Redshift test with Galileo eccentric satellites
- 3 Chronometric geodesy
- 4 Dark matter detection with atomic clocks

Content of the Universe



Ben Finney (CC-BY-3.0)

"Mostly it's coffee, which is dark energy, then there's a fair amount of cream, which is dark matter and then there's a tiny bit of sugar – this is the ordinary matter, and this is what science has been all about for thousands of years – until now." (Ulf Danielsson)

Dark Matter: What is it?

- \bullet Possible mass range: \sim 90 orders-of-magnitude
- In our study we concentrate on low masses (< 1 eV), where standard collisional detection techniques fail



US Cosmic Visions report, arXiv:1707.04591

(context:
$$m_{\rm Earth} \sim 10^{60} \,\text{eV}$$
 $m_{\rm electron} \sim 10^{6} \,\text{eV}$)
 \implies Wide range of possibilities: requires large range of searches

Variations of fundamental constants: atomic clocks tests

When dark matter fields couple to standard matter violation of local position invariance occurs, and thus of Einstein equivalence principle, through the variation of fundamental constants:

- linear temporal drift (Rosenband et al. 2008; Guéna et al. 2012; Leefer et al. 2013; Godun et al. 2014; Huntemann et al. 2014)
- harmonic temporal variation (Van Tilburg et al. 2015; Hees et al. 2016; Geraci et al. 2018)
- spatial variation w.r.t. the Sun gravitational potential (Ashby et al. 2007; Guéna et al. 2012; Leefer et al. 2013; Peil et al. 2013)
- Transients (Flambaum and Dzuba 2009; Derevianko and Pospelov 2014; Wcisło, Morzyński, et al. 2016; Roberts et al. 2017; Wcisło, Ablewski, et al. 2018) ⇒ transient shifts in energy levels ⇒ shifts in clock frequencies

$$\frac{\delta\nu_0}{\nu_{AB}} = K_{AB}\frac{\delta\alpha_0}{\alpha} = K_{AB}\frac{\phi_0^2}{\Lambda_\alpha^2}$$

Topological Defect DM

• Ultralight $(m_\phi \ll eV) \implies$ high occupation number

Topological Defects

- monopoles, strings, walls,
- Defect width: $d \sim 1/m_{\phi}$
- $\bullet~{\rm Earth}{-}{\rm scale}~{\rm object} \sim 10^{-14}\,{\rm eV}$

Dark matter: Gas of defects

- DM: galactic speeds: $v_g \sim 10^{-3}c$
- ϕ_0^2 , d, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{b/w\, collisions}} \implies \rho_{\mathrm{DM}}$





 $\phi_0^2 = \rho_{\rm DM} v_g \ d \ {\cal T},$ • Vilekin '85, Coleman '85, Lee '89, Kibble '80, ...

Another possibility is an oscillating classical field

P. DELVA (SYRTE/OBSPM/SU)

Shift in atomic clock frequencies

Monitor Atomic Clocks

- Temporary frequency shift \rightarrow bias (phase) build-up
- Initially synchronised clocks become desynchronised



European fibre-linked optical clock network



Fibre network

- High-accuracy long-distance clock-clock (atom-atom) comparisons
- Different clocks: $Hg/Sr/Yb^+$
- ullet ~ Days weeks synchronous running
- Sensitivity: $\checkmark (\delta \alpha, \Lambda)$ limited only by clocks: Sr-Sr: $\delta \omega / \omega \sim 3 \times 10^{-17}$ at 1000s
- Long observation time: \checkmark (\mathcal{T})
- Long-term stability: 🗸 (d)



- Lisdat et al. (PTB, LNE-SYRTE), Nature Commun. 7, 12443 (2016).
- Delva et al. (PTB, SYRTE, NPL, ..), Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 221102 (2017).

Transient variation of fine-structure constant

Orders-of-magnitude improvement: especially for large objects (τ)

- $\delta lpha (au) / lpha \lesssim 5 imes 10^{-17}$ @ $au = 10^3 \, {
 m s}$, & ${\cal T} = 1 \, {
 m hr}$
- $\delta lpha (au) / lpha \lesssim 4 imes 10^{-15}$ @ $au = 10^4$ s, & $\mathcal{T} =$ 45 hr



Topological defect dark matter

Assume DM is made from Topological Defects:



- nb: GPS results (orange): go up to $\mathcal{T} \sim 10 \, {\rm yrs} \sim 10^5 \, \text{hrs}$

$$\implies \mathsf{\Lambda}^2_\alpha(\mathcal{T}, d) > \frac{\hbar c \alpha \rho_{\mathrm{DM}} \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{g}} \mathcal{T} d}{|\delta \alpha_0(\mathcal{T}, \tau_{\mathrm{int}})|}.$$

arXiv:1907.02661

Search for transient variations of the fine structure constant and dark matter using fiber-linked optical atomic clocks

B. M. Roberts,^{1,*} P. Delva,¹ A. Al-Masoudi,² A. Amv-Klein,³ C. Bærentsen,¹ C. F. A. Baynham,⁴ E. Benkler,² S. Bilicki,¹ S. Bize,¹ W. Bowden,⁴ J. Calvert,¹ V. Cambier,¹ E. Cantin,^{1,3} E. A. Curtis,⁴ S. Dörscher,² M. Favier,¹ F. Frank,¹ P. Gill,⁴ R. M. Godun,⁴ G. Grosche,² C. Guo,¹ A. Hees,¹ I. R. Hill,⁴ R. Hobson,⁴ N. Huntemann,² J. Kronjäger,⁴ S. Koke,² A. Kuhl,² R. Lange,² T. Legero,² B. Lipphardt,² C. Lisdat,² J. Lodewyck,¹ O. Lopez,³ H. S. Margolis,⁴ H. Álvarez-Martínez,^{1,5} F. Mevnadier,^{1,6} F. Ozimek,⁴ E. Peik,² P.-E. Pottie,¹ N. Quintin,⁷ C. Sanner,^{2,†} L. De Sarlo,¹ M. Schioppo,⁴ R. Schwarz,² A. Silva,⁴ U. Sterr² Chr. Tamm² R. Le Targat¹ P. Tuckev¹ G. Vallet¹ T. Waterholter² D. Xu¹ and P. Wolf^{1,‡} ¹SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, LNE, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France ²Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Bundesallee 100, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany ³Laboratoire de Physique des Lasers, Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS, 99 Avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France ⁴National Physical Laboratory, Hampton Road, Teddington TW11 0LW, United Kingdom ⁵Sección de Hora. Real Instituto y Observatorio de la Armada, San Fernando, Spain ⁶Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, BIPM, Pavillon de Breteuil, 92312 Sèvres, France ⁷Réseau National de télécommunications pour la Technologie, l'Enseignement et la Recherche, 23-25 Rue Daviel, 75013 Paris, France (Dated: July 10, 2019)



Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace

P. DELVA (SYRTE/OBSPM/SU)





Fundamental physics

- ACES SYRTE DAC is ready \rightarrow ACES to be launched in 2020, targeting 2×10^{-6} gravitational redshift test
- Gravitational redshift test with eccentric Galileo to 2.5×10^{-5} accuracy $\rightarrow 5.6 \times$ improvement with respect to GP-A (1976)
- Time dilation (SR) test with optical clocks at the level the best lves-Stilwell type experiments ($\sim 10^{-8})$
- $\bullet\,$ Search for Dark Matter with networks of optical clocks $\to\,$ bounds on model parameters

Chromometric geodesy

- Best determination of redshift correction within ITOC for a set of European optical clocks and fountains
- Possible improvement of regional geoid models with a few tens of comparisons

Fundamental physics

- ACES SYRTE DAC is ready \rightarrow ACES to be launched in 2020, targeting 2×10^{-6} gravitational redshift test
- Gravitational redshift test with eccentric Galileo to 2.5×10^{-5} accuracy $\rightarrow 5.6 \times$ improvement with respect to GP-A (1976)
- Time dilation (SR) test with optical clocks at the level the best lves-Stilwell type experiments ($\sim 10^{-8})$
- $\bullet\,$ Search for Dark Matter with networks of optical clocks $\to\,$ bounds on model parameters

Chromometric geodesy

- Best determination of redshift correction within ITOC for a set of European optical clocks and fountains
- Possible improvement of regional geoid models with a few tens of comparisons