## Understanding nucleusnucleus collisions at the LHC



Jean-Yves Ollitrault, IPhT Seminar, IRFU/SPP, April 10th, 2012

## RHIC (Brookhaven), since 2000

Au-Au collisions (mostly) up to 200 GeV/nucleon pair, now lower energies.

Theory breakthroughs until 2010, just in time for LHC



## Heavy-ions at LHC

- First heavy-ion run at 2.76 TeV/nucleon pair (Pb-Pb): Nov. 2010.
- First detailed results (ALICE, CMS, ATLAS) presented at Quark Matter 2011, May 23-28, Annecy.



## Outline

#### • Soft probes

- Why heavy-ion collisions are special: why we are interested in soft physics
- pair correlations: proton-proton versus heavy-ion collisions
- The little bang: the old picture (2000) and the new picture (2010): qualitative ideas
- Quantitative prediction versus new LHC data (2011)
- Hard probes
  - from p-p to Pb-Pb collisions: weakly-interacting versus strongly-interacting probes
  - Jet quenching : from leading particles to fully-reconstructed jets

#### Conclusions

## Space-time picture of an ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collision

Thanks to the strong Lorentz contraction, clear separation of time scales – and theory tasks

cartoon using the Monte-Carlo transport code UrQMD



### Two-particle correlations at RHIC

 $\approx$  number of particle pairs versus *relative* azimuthal angle  $\Delta \Phi$  and rapidity  $\Delta \eta$ 

G. Stephans (PHOBOS), talk at QM'09



✓ short range in rapidity✓ little azimuthal structure



✓ long range in rapidity
 ✓ specific azimuthal
 structure

### Two-particle correlations at RHIC

 $\approx$  number of particle pairs versus *relative* azimuthal angle  $\Delta \Phi$  and rapidity  $\Delta \eta$ 

G. Stephans (PHOBOS), talk at QM'09



✓ short range in rapidity✓ little azimuthal structure



✓ long range in rapidity
 ✓ specific azimuthal
 structure

## Correlations in pp @ LHC

7



proton proton collisions at LHC: again, all correlations are at small  $\Delta \eta$ qualitatively reproduced by models (Pythia)



### Correlations in Pb-Pb @ LHC (semicentral) (())



#### Additional correlation, independent of $\Delta \eta$

not quite apples-to-apples: restricted pt interval here, [2,3] GeV for ATLAS and [2,4][4,6] CMS









The system thermalizes and expands like a fluid



The system thermalizes and expands like a fluid

Particles are emitted independently in each event, with a  $\phi$ -dependent distribution  $dN/d\phi = (N/2\pi)[1+2v_2 \cos(2\phi)]v_2$ , elliptic flow, is a day 1 observable at RHIC and LHC it depends weakly on rapidity

STAR nucl-ex/0009011, 458 citations ALICE arXiv:1011.3914, 174 citations

## Independent particles explain pair correlations

$$v_2 = \langle e^{2i\varphi} \rangle$$
 (reference direction  $\varphi=0$  changes event by event!)

$$\langle e^{2i\Delta \phi} \rangle = \langle e^{2i(\phi I - \phi 2)} \rangle = \langle e^{2i\phi I} e^{-2i\phi 2} \rangle$$

= 
$$\langle e^{2i\phi I} \rangle$$
  $\langle e^{-2i\phi 2} \rangle$  =(v<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2</sup>

 $dN_{pair}/d\Delta \phi = (N_{pair}/2\pi)[1+2(v_2)^2\cos(2\Delta \phi)]$ 

### Is $v_2$ all we see at large $\Delta \eta$ ?

Au+Au 0%-10%



Correlation at large  $\Delta\eta$  clearly dominated by a cos( $2\Delta\varphi$ ) term but the peak at  $\Delta\varphi=0$  is narrower than that at  $\Delta\varphi=\pi$ this narrow, near-side ridge and this broad away-side structure have puzzled heavy ion physicists from 2005 to 2010.



Each nucleus is made of a 208 nucleons. The collision takes a snapshot of the nuclear wavefunction: initial density is lumpy in the transverse plane, but approximately independent of rapidity

### Fluctuations + flow

The initial state is no longer symmetric under  $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi$  and  $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \pi$ The final  $\Phi$  distribution in a single event is arbitrary:  $dN/d\Phi = (N/2\pi)[1+2\sum_{n} v_{n} \cos(n(\Phi - \Psi_{n}))]$ both even and odd harmonics, each with its own direction  $\Psi_{n}$ 

 $\psi_1$ 

# From flow to correlations: the new picture (2010)

 $v_n = \langle e^{in(\phi - \Psi n)} \rangle$  (v<sub>n</sub> and reference directions  $\psi_n$  change event by event!)

$$\langle e^{in\Delta \phi} \rangle = \langle e^{in(\phi I - \Psi n)} e^{-in(\phi 2 - \Psi n)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle e^{in (\varphi I - \Psi n)} \rangle \quad \langle e^{-in (\varphi 2 - \Psi n)} \rangle \quad = (v_n)^2$$

 $dN_{pair}/d\Delta \phi = (N_{pair}/2\pi)[1+2\sum_{n}(v_{n})^{2}cos(n\Delta \phi)]$ 

this explains why near-side narrower than away-side! independent particle emission explains data up to  $p_t \approx 5 \text{GeV}$ 

Alver Roland, "triangular flow" arXiv:1003.0194 Luzum arXiv:1011.5773, ALICE arXiv:1109.2501

## Quantitative prediction for low pt physics

- Goal: compute the single particle distribution (in particular, Φ distribution) in an event
- Model for initial state, including fluctuations (bottleneck: the only models available on the market are very crude)
- Evolve through relativistic viscous hydrodynamics
- Fluid eventually freezes into particles: compute spectra, elliptic flow, etc.
- We now have data from ALICE, CMS, ATLAS

## Why hydrodynamics?

- The only theory describing the space-time history of a large, strongly-interacting system
- Can be formulated as a systematic gradient expansion = power expansion in I/R, where R=nuclear radius



Is a nucleus large enough? is the viscosity small enough for hydro?

## (some) Hydro groups

- · Luzum & Romatschke, arXiv:0901.4588
- · Shen, Heinz, Huovinen & Song ar Xiv: 1105.3226
- Bozek, Chojnacki, Florkowski & Tomasik, arXiv:1007.2294
- Schenke, Jeon & Gale, arXiv:1009.3244
- Petersen et al, arXiv:0806.1695
- · Takahashi et al, arXiv:0902:4870
- · Hirano, Monnai, arXiv:0903.4436
- Chaudhuri, arXiv:0910.0979
- · Holopainen et al, arXiv:1007.0368
- Werner et al, arXiv:1004.0805

lundi 9 avril 2012

# Lattice QCD enters the precision era

Equation of state of hot QCD (input of hydrodynamics) now calculated with dynamical quarks and physical quark masses!



Borsanyi et al, arXiv:1007.2580

However, the viscosity  $\eta$  is not yet calculated: free parameter in hydro

#### Hydro prediction versus I<sup>st</sup> LHC data



#### More details: dependence on particle momentum



Hydrodynamics captures the magnitude, the centrality dependence and the momentum dependence of both elliptic and triangular flow.

## First measurement of $v_1$ at LHC + first viscous hydro calculation of $v_1$



(from Lamia Benhabib, talk at HCP2011) Heavy ion run @2.76 TeV

+ Z boson

- Around 40 Z candidates in both ATLAS Phys. Lett. B 697 (2011) 294-312 CMS PRL 106, 212301 (2011)
  - Almost background free (one like sign count only)
- Z production scales with the number of NN collisions





• Using POWHEG cross-section: -  $d\sigma_{pp}/dy = 59.6pb$  in |y| < 2The resulting  $R_{AA}$  is:  $dN_{AA}/(T_{AB} d\sigma_{pp})$ =  $1.00 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.14$ 



(from Lamia Benhabib, talk at HCP2011) Heavy ion run @2.76 TeV

+ Z boson Events/(2 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> CMS PbPb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$ Opposite-sign - Same-sign Ldt =  $7.2 \,\mu b^{-1}$ CMS pp 7 TeV 2.9 pb  $p_{\tau}^{\mu} > 10 \text{ GeV/c}, \ln^{\mu} l < 2.4$ Around 40 Z candidates in both ATLAS Phys. Lett. B 697 (2011) 294-312 CMS PRL 106, 212301 (2011) 5 Almost background free (one like sign count only) 70 60 80 90 100 110 Dimuon mass (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) Z production scales with the number of NN collisions 100 CMS PbPb 7.2  $\mu$ b<sup>-1</sup> at $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}$  = 2.76 TeV <sub>90</sub>⊨ a) 80<sup>[30-100]%</sup> • Comparaison to different theoretical predictions  $\widehat{\underline{e}}$ [10-30]% 70 dN/dy (lyl<2.0) / T<sub>AB</sub> 60 50 [0-100]% [0-10]% Using POWHEG cross-section: 40  $- d\sigma_{pp} / dy = 59.6 pb in |y| < 2$ POWHEG + PYTHIA 6.4 30 Paukkunen et al., CT10+isospin The resulting  $R_{AA}$  is:  $dN_{AA}/(T_{AB} d\sigma_{pp})$ 20 Paukkunen et al., idem+EPS09 Neufeld et al., MSTW+isospin 10  $= 1.00 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.14$ Neufeld et al., idem+eloss <u>....|....|....|....|....|...</u> 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 50 N<sub>part</sub>

(from Lamia Benhabib, talk at HCP2011)

#### + W boson

• W signal: built a template from  $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$  decays

> Use MC @ √s = 2.76TeV

 Use a function to describe background and find the best estimate of number of W with fitting signal + background to data





The results are consistent with no suppression

 $R_{W/Z} = 10.5 \pm 2.3$ : in agreement with standard model prediction

(from Lamia Benhabib, talk at HCP2011)

23

#### + W boson

• W signal: built a template from  $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$  decays

> Use MC @ √s = 2.76TeV

 Use a function to describe background and find the best estimate of number of W with fitting signal + background to data





The results are consistent with no suppression

 $R_{W/Z} = 10.5 \pm 2.3$ : in agreement with standard model prediction



Supression of high pt hadrons (leading particles)
One jet loses more energy : dijet asymmetry

## Early observations of jet quenching: suppression of high-pt particles

HT: X-N Wang et al, arXiv:1102.5614 (PRC) HT: Majumder, Shen, arXiv:1103.0809 TR: T. Renk et al, arXiv:1103.5308 (PRC) WHDG: Horowitz and Gyulassy, arXiv:1104.4958



0

10

20

30

40

50

p<sub>+</sub> (GeV/c)

## Studying quenching with fully reconstructed jets (from Lamia Benhabib, talk at HCP2011)

- +Dijet imbalance
- Dijet selection
  - Leading jet  $p_T > 100 \text{ GeV/c}$
  - Subleading jet  $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV/c}$
- Quantify dijet imbalance by asymmetry ratio

$$E_{T} [GeV]$$

$$Calorimeter$$
Towers
$$Calorimeter$$

$$Covers$$

$$A_{j} = \frac{E_{T1} - E_{T2}}{E_{T1} + E_{T2}}$$



- Larger imbalance in central collisions, but not in peripheral collisions, with respect to pp reference
- Parton energy loss is observed as pronounced energy imbalance in reconstructed dijets

26

### Conclusions

- Ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions produce a lump of fluid, with an extremely low viscosity/entropy, characteristic of a strongly-coupled plasma.
- Quantum fluctuations+flow+viscous damping generate the anisotropies observed in the little bang. This is very similar to the mechanism driving CMB anisotropies in big bang cosmology.
- Soft physics in heavy-ion collisions is largely understood from first principles. Room for progress in understanding of "initial state" and fluctuations.

## Backup slides

## Models for the multiplicity

The increase of particle multiplicity from RHIC to LHC was accurately predicted using evolution equations from perturbative QCD (running coupling BK)

More generally, properties of the initial state can be studied within a perturbative framework, generally known as CGC (color glass condensate)



(B. Wyslouch, talk at Quark Mater 2011)

### Correlations in central Pb-Pb

central collisions are  $\Phi$  symmetric, except for fluctuations!



30

### Correlations in central Pb-Pb

central collisions are  $\Phi$  symmetric, except for fluctuations!



Jia CATLAS, talk at QM2011



### Correlations in central Pb-Pb

central collisions are  $\Phi$  symmetric, except for fluctuations!



#### Identified particle spectra (Michele Floris, talk at Quark Matter 2011)



At RHIC: STAR proton data generally not feed-down corrected.

Large feed down correction

Consistent picture with feed-down corrected spectra

At LHC: ALICE spectra are feed-down corrected

- Harder spectra, flatter p at low pt
- Strong push on the p due to radial flow?

STAR, PRL97, 152301 (2006) STAR, PRC 79 , 034909 (2009) PHENIX, PRC69, 03409 (2004)

#### Hydro prediction versus I<sup>st</sup> LHC data



Raimond Snellings, plenary talk at QM2011

ALICE, arXiv:1105.3865 [nucl-ex]

#### $v_2(p_T)$ and $v_3(p_T)$ : sensitivity to $\eta/s$

Glauber initial conditions



- $v_2$  and  $v_3$  together have better sensitivity
- The centrality dependence adds further constraints



## Other Harmonics





The overall dependence of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  is described However there is no simultaneous description with a single  $\eta$ /s of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  for Glauber initial conditions

### v<sub>1</sub> from fluctuations not yet measured!

Extracted from 2-particle correlation data at RHIC

Luzum JYO, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106:102301 (2011) STAR arXiv:1010.0690



#### Flow vs. non-flow correlations

#### **Collective effects**

Flow-related effects imply correlation through a plane of symmetry  $\psi_n$ .

Flow-dominated correlations should factorize:

```
\begin{aligned} <\!\!\cos n\Delta \phi\!\!> &= <\!\!\cos n(\phi_{\text{trig}} - \phi_{\text{assoc}})\!\!> \\ &= <\!\!\cos n(\phi_{\text{trig}} - \psi_n)\!\!> <\!\!\cos n(\phi_{\text{assoc}} - \psi_n)\!\!> \\ &= v_n(p_{\text{Ttrig}}) v_n(p_{\text{Tassoc}}) \end{aligned}
```

Pair coefficients are just products of familiar single-particle  $v_ns$ .

#### **Jet-related effects**

A few energetic particles are highly correlated by fragmentation, but not directly through  $\psi_n$ .

Caveat: there can be indirect correlations, i.e. length-dependent quenching. Would be largest w.r.t.  $\psi_2$  since it reflects the collision geometry.

The collectivity relation

 $<\cos n\Delta \phi > = v_n(p_{Ttrig}) v_n(p_{Tassoc})$ 

is a quantitative hypothesis that can be tested!

### **Global fit of 2-particle Fourier moments**

Find best  $v_n(p_T)$ Fit <cos  $n\Delta \phi$ > for all  $p_T$ bins simultaneously Fit function:  $V_{n\Delta} = v_n^t v_n^a$ .

Fit breaks at high  $p_T$ , where jets dominate.

Key idea If fit matches data suggests flow-type correlations

If fit diverges collective description less appropriate.

Transition between cases follows clear trends.

